ED 117 275

95

UD 015 679

AUTHOR Bynum; Effie; And Others

TITLE Desegregation in Birmingham, Alabama: A Case

Study.

INSTITUTION Columbia Univ., New York, N.Y. Teachers College. SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington,

D.C.

PUB DATE 74

∠GRANT NE-G-00-3-0156

NOTE 188p.

EDRS 'PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$9.51 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS Administrator Attitudes; *Case Studies; Community
Attitudes; *Field Interviews; Integration Effects;
*Integration Studies; Observation; Parent Attitudes;
Pacial Integration: Page 7th Mothodology; *School

Pacial Integration; Research Methodology; *School Integration; School Visitation; Student Attitudes;

Teacher Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS *Alabama (Birmingham)

ABSTRACT

In May 1974, a five member study team from Teachers College, Columbia University spent four and one-half days in Birmingham, Alabama, for the purpose of (1) collecting information that describes the desegregation process as it evolved,. (2) interviewing principals, administrators, teachers, students and community leaders relative to their impressions of the desegregation move and its impact, and (3) observing random classrooms, hallways, cafeterias, and playgrounds of 12 selected schools. Birmingham City School District was selected to participate in this study because it was identified from a collection of resource data as a district that developed and implemented a conflict free (the current plan) and effective plan. The/major purpose of the overall project was to -identify districts that have been effective and successful in their attempts at desegregation and to describe the processes that led to their effectiveness and/or success. The Birmingham sample included schools (1) with an almost equal distribution of black and white students, (2) those having both a 60 percent black and white population, (3) those having almost an 80 percent black and white enrollment, and, (4) those that had all black students and several having an almost all_white student body. A total of 12 schools were sampled from the more than 90 that serve the young people of Birmingham. (Author/JM)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *

* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions

* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *

* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.

DESEGREGATION IN BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A CASE STUDY

Data Collected by Desegregation Study Team Including:

> Effie Bynum Robert Young Brian Estrada Ed Hattauer and Robert Phillips Project Coordinator

Edmund W. Gordon, Ed.D. Prinicpal Investigator

Teachers College, Columbia University New York, New York 1974

This report was prepared under contract NE-G-00-3-0156 between the National Institute of Education (DHEW) and 'Teachers College, Columbia University. Points of view or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent official views or opinions of the National Institute of Aducation.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS. DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OF ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEWOR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY



PREFACE

In May, 1974, a five member study team from Teachers College, Columbia University spent four and one-half days in Birmingham, Alabama for the purposes of (1) collecting information that describes the desegregation process as it evolved, (2) interviewing principals, administrators, teachers, students and community leaders relative to their impressions of the desegregation move and its impact, and observing random classrooms, hallways, cafeterias and playgrounds at twelve selected schools.

Given our time constraints and budgetary limitations we systematically chose twelve schools from the more than ninety that serve the young people of Birmingham. Our sample included schools (1) with an almost equal distribution of Black and White students, (2) those having both a sixty percent Black and White population, (3) those having almost an eighty percent Black and White enrollment, and (4) those that had all Black students and several having an almost all White student body. Thus, our sample allowed us to view a microcosm of the Birmingham school system.

We extend our gratitude to all school personnel, students and community people who graciously gave us a part of their time. Special thanks are extended to Superintendent Cody who gave us permission to come to Birmingham, to Dr. Matherson who coordinated our work and paved the way for our kind of reception, and to Dr. Goodson who spent the four and one-half days with us providing transportation, introducing us and keeping us on a rigid schedule.

CHAPTER I

BACKGROUND

Description of Town and Demographic Data 1

In the 1840's iron ore, coal and limestone were found in a midlands region of Alabama called Jones Valley. By 1860 plans had been made to intersect a railway connection in this valley between Chattanooga in the North and Montgomery in the South in order to exploit these vast mineral deposits. The Civil War broke out and delayed these railway ties until 1870. A year later the area surrounding this junction was purchased by a private corporation, the Elyton Land Company. Plots were established and put up for auction. Additional spaces were reserved for churches, parks and streets. The Land Company also decreed that the city to be built would be called Birmingham in honor of "the best workshop in all of England."

In December, 1871, the city received its charter and was inhabited by approximately 1,000 people. During the succeeding 100 years its population has grown to more than 300,000 and has come to be recognized as a major industrial and medical center of the South.

When one thinks historically of Birmingham thoughts of slavery, inhumane actions against Blacks, police dogs, fire hoses, civil rights marches, and the bombing of a church in 1962 where four little Black girls were killed are evoked. It is the city that the late Rev. Dr. Martin King, Jr. called "the most segregated city in America." These events happened, and are truths of Birmingham,'s past.

One can easily advance the opinion that the treatment of Black citizens up through the mid-nineteen sixties was directly related to the sentiments expressed by a majority of the community and the political leadership. It is more difficult to speculate about the internal feelings and attitudes of the general populace at present - it is conceivable that these old attitudes persist with many - but a brief description of Birmingham's demography and political leadership over the past ten years suggests that in relation to its past it no longer deserves the reputation it gained in the late 1950's and early 1960's.



The data included in this section are primarily taken from reprints of articles appearing in the March 28, 1972, edition of the New York

Times, the June 10, 1972, edition of the Birmingham Post, Birmingham, England and from an information packet compiled by Dr. W.C. Matherson of the City School System.

The New York Times, March 28, 1972.

Perhaps the torning point in the minds of the people was prompted by 1962 church bombing. For by 1967 the first Republican mayor ever was elected. By 1971 two Black men had been elected to the city council as well as a White female and two White male liberals. Since that time the governing body of the city has been composed of a moderate-liberal majority. One of the first acts performed by this new body was to appoint the city's first Black judge. A Black and White group of leaders was formed into a community affairs committee. They are credited for eliminating the dual lines of progression for Blacks and Whites in the steel industry.

The political leadership of Birmingham has done much to improve the living conditions in the city for its citizens and to bring in revenue. This point is viewed as critical since we have witnessed the decay and bankruptcy of many major cities and a number of smaller ones over the past ten years. Quite often problems of White migration to the suburbs and the transition of cities into an ethnic minority and a poor economic population has been hailed as a major factor contributing to this decay, which has consistently been linked to forced integration. In spite of being subjected to similar conditions, Birmingham has achieved a higher level of economy and prestige subsequent to desegregation. This progress is viewed as remarkable when one considers the violent stance taken by the community in the late 1950's and early 1960's.

During the late 50's and early 60's Birmingham was in an economic depression due to progressing automation in the steel industry. By contrast, since the late 1960's, the city "has completed twenty sanitary sewer projects, filled thiry-three open ditches and storm sewers, built three railroad overpasses, installed 8,800 new street lights, torn down 2,173 abandoned dwellings, opened nineteen new recreational facilities,...invested \$556,000 in youth activities,...has helped fund the new Metropolitan Development Board, and has begun a rehabilitation program for jailed prisioners. And Most of this work was achieved because the voters passed a 50 million dollar bond issue for public improvements in 1968, the largest in the city's history. By 1972 building permits had increased from \$52 million in 1968 to \$110 million due to outside companies deciding to move or open branches here.

Also in 1972, twenty-three industrial plants were closed because they had contributed to an especially foul period of air pollution. These companies then announced they were undertaking expensive measures to combat pollution.

Recently, several million dollars of construction has been spent in the building of several large hotels and two skyscraper-like office buildings.

Birmingham's prosperity is obvious - the research team flew into a $\underline{\text{new}}$ airport; stayed in a $\underline{\text{new}}$ hotel overlooking the $\underline{\text{new}}$ \$35 million civic center; walked along the newly refurbished main street with its wide, grassy, tree and bench lined median while gazing into the beautiful buildings on each side



-2-

 $^{^3}$ Reprint from the Centennial edition of Birmingham Magazine, December, 1971.

of the street; and drove past 69,000 seat Legion Field, the home of a new professional football team beginning in the fall of 1974.

Many feel that Birmingham will come to be known as an educational and medical center rather than as a steel town. Presently, the seven higher education institutions are attracting professionals who probably would not have thought of coming here ten years ago. The University of Alabama in Birmingham is becoming a major institution in its own right and presently employs the second largest number of people in the city, U.S. Steel is first. The University Medical Center has come to be known as one of the nation's best in its study and treatment of the heart. Projections suggest then when this center is expanded it will be the most prestigious of its kind in the country.

Back in 1972 the University of Alabama in Birmingham, with only a ten percent Black enrollment, elected a Black student as its president. During the week of our field study Alabama State University, a predominantly Black school, conferred honorary doctorates on both Ralph Abernathy and Governor Wallace at their commencement exercises.

Although Birmingham's future seems bright, it acknowledges that there are problems yet to be dealt with. Some priorities include: a more professional police force, increased measures for public safety, and a natural expansion of city limits. Birmingham has experienced a flight to the suburbs by White citizens; by 1972 the city population had decreased by 40,000. Much of the land surrounding the city limits is owned by the steel industries and they have been instrumental in delaying annexation. Were annexation possible, more taxes would be paid by the industries as well as the people living in those areas who would then be required to pay the city's occupational tax. Including Birmingham and its suburbs the population exceeds 700,000.

Because of its racial and cultural progress Birmingham was named an All American City in 1971.

See following inserts for a more comprehensive listing of demographic data relative to census information and public school information.

Description of the School District Prior to Present Desegregation

As desegregation has been in progress since 1963, during which time three plans have been employed, only a brief statement is necessary to describe the school district prior to 1963.

Up to 1962 Birmingham operated a dual school system. That is, all White students went to designated White schools and all Black students attended designated Black schools. The teaching staffs were divided in the same manner. Of course the principals were of the same race as the schools were designated. At the central administrative level there were no Blacks filling a superintendent or assistant superintendent's position. A Black did act as Director of Negro schools. In essence, Birmingham was a house completely divided along racial lines.

Community housing was similarly divided. There were some large areas with Black residents while there were smaller "pockets" of Blacks adjacent to White housing areas. In order to serve both Black and White students separately one might have found a large or regular sized school for Black children in a heavily populated Black residential area. But it was also common to find a small four to six room building put up and staffed by four to six teachers Black "pocket" housing area. Thus, much care was taken to prevent integration of children in public schools.



SCHOOL CALENDAR

School begins the last week in August and ends the first week in June. There are 176 total days in the school session. Holidays include: Labor Day, Veterans Day; Thanksgiving, an extended Christmas holiday, ASA convention and Epring Vacation. Report cards are given every six weeks.

FILLANCES

Sources of income

11.5% Federal 60.4% State 26.1% Local

4.09

Expenditures:

83.8% Instruction
13.8% Plant Operation
% Waintenance
2.4% Administration

The total incore of funds was S31,480,083.
The amount of per pupil expenditure was about \$503. The total expenditure was \$29,924,676. (£stim 4fcs)

SEE

Secause of a lack of public funds to provide all school needs, a small fee may be charged builticient to defray the cost of the materials used by the pupil. All first grade textbooks are furnished free of charge. Laboratory fees may be charged in the secondary schools.

LLI data quoted 1972-73 unless oth

9

WHAT YOU CAN DOI

- i. Got the facts about your school. Do not rely on rumors. Inquire at your school or the. Board of Education,
- . Attend a Board of Education meeting.
- 3. Support your school staff and school board. Volunteer your services at school or through the P.T.A.
- provide more local dollars for cducation and see that monies are spent intelligently. Parents and the community must be willing to pay more to get the kind of schools we need and want. Every dollar we spent is an investment not only in our children but in the future of currenmentity. Support added taxes for the schools.

Knew Your Schools

BIRAINGHAM CITY SCHOOL SYSTEM.

The Birmingham City School System is the largest of the nine independent school systemicated in Jefferson County. It has a total centroliment of SYJY students (Get. '73), with 3GP/9 in the elementary schools and 17022— in the secondary schools. The first public school was opened for attendance on March 1, 1874. There are ningty schools today of which seventy seven are elementary schools and thirteen are secondary schools.

BOARD OF EDUCATION

The Board of Education as first organized by the adoption of an ordinance dated July 16, 1884. Policies for the schools are set by a Board of Education now consisting of five membrys appointed for five years on a rotating basis. They are appointed in Harch by the Cliy Council. The Board of Education meets the Second and fourth Tuesdays of the month, at 3:30 p.m., in the Loard Root, Admin. Bidg.

SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION

Ulrmingham, Al. 35202

The first Superintendent of Schools was appointed in September 1883. To date six superintendents have been appointed. The Superintendent of Education is appointed by the Dand of Education who is a nonvoting member. He acts a the secretary of the Board, conduct all correspondence, and administers all Board policies. The Superintendent as of October 1, 1973 is 'Dr. Wilmer St. Cody.

ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS

entrance requirerents. Although not mandatory, of the year they enter. Acceptable record of birth must be presented in order to complete Elementary: To be eligible for first grade, General physical examination by a physician It is surgested that the child also have a pupils must be six years old by October 1

to six year old will be enrolled after the

first two weeks of school.

resulting from a paysterl or emotional handicap. There is provision for home instruction

There are 140 full-time teachers who serve

SPECIAL EDUCATION

those children who cannot function in the

classroom because of their special needs

in certain instances, in a dition, there is.

a full-time Head Star't program and a Title I

was six years of age on or before January 1, grede instruction in a private school and if a child received nine months of first is is eligible for the advanced standing

program and for placement in a second grade cirr. For further information call 322-6321, Ex., 245.

Socondary: Pupils coning from an accredited according to the credits transferred, school -111 be given grade placement Date of the minimum for all students. uni ts 3 units 4 units GENDURTION REQUIREMENTS Social Studies Matheratics Inglish

or 1:07C) Phy. Ed. (or Band Statence

4 units un i t

Utere is a choice of instructional programs,

russ the alternatives.

ACCREDITATION

Schools and by the State of Alabama,

the secondary schools are all accredited by he Southern Association of Colleges and

further information call the Woodlawn Center and which offer courses and activities to meet the needs of all age groups in the community. For in operation, Woodlaw, Avendale and West End, community. There are thruc community schools extension of the regular school day with a Comminity education is the concept of the program to serve all the prople of the isk for Jim Felton. regress is being nade toward the accredita-Fig. 1 Personnel Services Lepartment to dis-

At the present the the pupil-teacher rails

TEACHING STAFF

is projected that in the school year 1973-74 In grades nine through in the elementary schools is 30 to 1. It There are sixty guidance teachers whose placement and evaluation of educational responsibility is testing, counseling, thelve the ratio is 22 to 1. it will be 26 to 1. programms.

2452, of which 1776 hold bachelor's degrees, The total number of teachers employed is 628 hold master's degrees and 48 hold AA art, etc.) in almost every school. certificate.

There are special teachers (P.E., reading,

Since providing education for all its pupils ; is the primary function of the Board of USE OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS

kindergarten classes on an experimental basis

in 1972-73.

The Strmingham City School System had 20

There are four elased etreutt channels of

Instructional Television.

Tiere are adult programs in session.

COMMUNITY SCHOOLS

it operates a summer school program for

remedial as well as accelerated work.

are fully employed to provide these services

to the pupils and their families.

EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES

tenchers from grades one through eight who

service for all the pupils. There are 60

The Birmingham City School System provides

PUPIL PERSONNEL SERVICES

testing, *counsaling, vocational guidance, evaluation services, and special placement

ities at all tires. Perrission to use school have first claim on the public school facil-Incilities of the Board of Education may bo Education, the regular school program will granted to public educational, cavic, govorganizations under the rules and regulaernmental, or recreational nonprofit lons of the Board.

LUNCHROCM PROGRAMS

a. Lunchroom program providing a well-balanced, hot lunch at a low cost. Children may also Most of the Birmingham public schools have bring their lunches to school. A written request is necessory if a child goes home to have lunch. ^

or write to the Birmingham Board of Education, secretary of the school in your neighborhood, referred to the principal or the educational Additional questions or information be P. O. Drawer 1007, Birmingham, Al. FURTIER DEPORTION

19

日本の一大学の日本

City Government and the School Board

Before describing the three desegregation plans it is well to describe the structure and organization of the city government and its relation to the school board as each of these bodies played a vital role in the desegregation movement.

Ten years ago there were three commissioners who ran the city. It was during the time of the most violent racial conflicts that these individuals and their system of government began to decline. Leadership changes then began and a new form of government was initiated—municipal government which resulted in a bi-lateral power structure of a mayor and city council. These new leaders were described as social progressives who strived for equal treatment for both races. The current mayor was one of the early members of the city council that decided to open the schools under the first desegregation plan in spite of the ensuing conflicts which were anticipated.

It has been indicated earlier that by 1971 there were two Black males on the city council and a White female. The council appoints school board members to overlapping five year terms. At present there are three White board members and two Black. A Black male is currently serving his second term and a Black female is in her first. Due to the fact that board members are appointed by a progressive city council it is obvious that school board decisions also take on a liberal flavor.

The First and Second Desegregation Plans

The desegregation plan adopted in 1963 was the result of a voluntary action taken by the school board. The table below illustrates the proposed plan to desegregation two grades per year and the number of Black children who attended heretofore white schools. This plan was implemented during the school years 1963-64 to 1966-67.

TABLE 1

Black Students Enrolled in Predominantly White Schools: 1963-64 - 1966-67.

,		Black Enrollment in White School				
School Year	Grades Desegregated	Elementary	Secondary	Total		
1963-64	1-12	2	3	• 5		
1964-65	1-11-12	2	7	9		
1965-66 '	1-2-9-10-11-12	7	50	57 °		
1966-67	1-2-3-8-9-10-11-12	115	246	361, .		

Table 2 is a listing, by school, of the number of Black students, who entered predominantly White schools from 1963-64 to 1966-67. This table as well as the one above indicates that the movement to desegrate did not receive much impetus until the third year. There was no move to send White students to Black schools.



HOUSING

106,977

Weding Family Income 014- \$14.003 Nen-shite Under \$3,000 ligh acted or more Krd.an years completed Education 25 years old & aver Pegulation over 65 Petulation under 18 Papulation for household Cimore Jefferson County Bi--- mid. Pepulation Per Square Mile 1579 reone. Per Femily .ess inan 5) cars Average Temperature: Jefferson County with the service 1118.0 sq. mi Rank . Birminghes Ares 1970 Pepuletien U.S. Census 1970 felle son County CITY POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS 1970 July J-3U-1) POPULATION AND AREA 2727.0 sq. mi. 39.7~ 80.02 sq. mi. 12.0 300,910 1970 739,27 ! 33% 81.6.F \$7,737 7.4% Daily Passeng Average daily . Alr Lines Average daily local ter Birmingham Ba Scheduled bus Jellerson . . . Multi-Fam Production 197 Birmingham Belt and conne Major systems Reilroods Air Freight to Enplaned Pass Air Lines Number of care Route Miles 19 Minor Arterials Major Arterials Revenue Pass Grade Separati Freeways Terminals Intercity Bus Local Bus Tra Metor Freight Public He Cars. galca.en Including Municipa Total Housing Stock 1970

31.5	Birmingham is connected to the Wantor-Tombigbee Waterway via acilities at Port Birmingham 17 .
Exhibition Hall (completed)100,000 sq	Weler Transportation
Civic Center	Daily freight trainsque
Various Auditoriums and Halis (15) 13.	Delt and connecting lines 2 Daily Passenger Trains
	Major systems 7
Nunicipal Auditorium	Reilroods
	Cars.
Stodiums and Halls (Capac	cluding 15 addition
Fark budget 19/1-19/2	in improvements to the terminal
Vest pocket playgrounds	Presently under construction at the
Swimming pools	Average daily flights112
Tennis courts	Enplaned Passenges 1970 3144.1 tons Air Freight founder enplaned 1970 3144.1 tons
Golf courses	Alr Lines
Acres of Parks 2,381	Air Lines
City Ferk System	Overage daily track movements out of
2	Birmingham Based Freight Lines
· PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES	Termînels 100
wcio .	Metar Freight
×	Scheduled buses daily,
Total Enfolment = 1971	Number of carriers
	Intercity Bus Transportation
Private and Parochial Schools	Route Miles 1971
Business and Technical Schools	Revenue Passengers 1971
Faculty	Local Bus Transportation
(Jefferson County)	Grade Separations
Colleges and Universities	Minor Arterials
Circulation 1971	Freeways
Public Libratics	1972-1977 Project Improvements
Total City School Enrollment54	MAJOR STREETS
	TRANSPORTATION 1972
Elementary	Total 4,975
	Jefferson County Single Family 3,017
CITY EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES 1973	:.
Common carriet	
D. unia	Total Hoteld Alve Month 1810.

Teminois Warrior-Tombighe traffic 1969 10, 587,423 tons
Terminals ICATIONAL FACILITIES 1973

"Reigil Trade

ECONOMICS BIRMINUMAN AREA

ol Enrollment 14845

............ 3, 378, 978

n County) niversities capita sublic libraries

ochial Schools echnical Schools

\$3,089, **5**0 (Capacity) 18,000

completed) ___100.000 sq. ft.

____ 50 2ft \$3.019.03

\$5,184.00

miles to the west of the City.

1

7

が近

No.

い し

Ĺ

民治

The area groduces approximately \$2% of the steel in the south and 60% of all the cast from pipe in Wheleso' Trede Eriployees 1970 Wanufacturing Value of Shipmonia 1967 חכיוווט־11.9

Wholesale Market population

7,000,000

The city is the state headquarters for the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the regional headquarters for the Social Security Administration.

Employ ces - 1970

.....1, 196

Employ ces - 1971 Communications

Daily Newspapers

A.M. Radio Stations Commercial T.V. Scattons -F.M. Radio Stations clegraph Center Rank

-7-

dountoun Birringham. South Central Bell serves their customers in fire 9th in nation

Banking and Finance

Major Danks Rescerch Employees - 1970 \$27.6 billion .S billion 15,057

The Southern Research Institute, only private

69,000 10,000

5,030

technical staff of nearly 500. scientific research facility to serve infastry and government in the southeast, with 315,000 squark feet of laboratory space in 12 buildings and s

TABLE 2
NEGROES IN PREDOMINANTLY WHITE SCHOOLS

1963-64 to 1966-67

High Schools 19	<u>63-64</u>	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	
Banks ·				· • 2	,
Ensley .	-	2	13	44	
Jones Valley		1	5	67	
Phillips	•	3	10	. 33	` .
Ramsay	1	,	13	52	* •
West End	1 2	1	3	. 24	A
Woodlawn _			6_	24	
Total High	3	7	50	246	•
· ·		,	. 4	240	
		, •	γ		•
			,	, h 2	
Elementary Schools	<u>1963–6</u>	4 1964-	65 1965-6	6 *1966-67	
Brown	•		, , , ,		
Bush	_	(^ .	_^ 13	
Eagan	,			1	
Elyton		,	4	14	, '. , '
Graymont	. 2	2	6 🕺	* 4 2	`
Jones Valley Elem.			. 2	1 9 -	
Kennedy	•	. 3.	1	, 1 ,	-
Lee	•	, ,	•	15	1
McArthur	r	*	4	£ . 4	
Norwood		~		* 1	•
Powe11		,		2.	
Pratt '		•	• .	, , 1	•
Elyton Charlanne PH					`
Total Elem.	2	2-	7	115	
Grand Total	' 5	` 9	57	361	*

Table 3 is provided to show the percentage of Black students enrolled in predominantly White schools during the 1965-66 and 1966-67 school years. Note that in 1965-66 only .17% of the Black students attended predominantly White schools, 1.05% in 1966-67.

The originally proposed plan for desegregation was nullified by a district dourt order requiring Birmingham to utilize the "Freedom of Choice Plan" in the 1967-1968 school year. This second plan lasted through the 1969-70 school year.



The court oder decreed that the parents of all students under fifteen years of age and not yet in the ninth grade would be allowed to select the school of their choice for their child to attend. Students in the ninth grade or above and of fiteen years of age could select the school they wished to attend. By this plan no Black or White student was required to select a school of a racial composition other than his own. In addition, no staff were to lose their employment, be discriminatorily reassigned or demoted as a consequence of this court order. Another stipulation required that all schools that remained open would be of equal quality. Periodic reports to the court in order to demonstrate compliance was required of the board of education. (A transcript of this decision is found in Appendix A.) Although no specific instructions were given, the court recommended that staff desegregation begin and that more than one member of the minority race (white or black) be assigned to the newly desegregated faculty.

(See Appendix B for a copy of the Feeedom of Choice form including a listing of all Birmingham schools.)

TABLE 3

Total Enrollment Figures for 1965-	66 and	1966-67	,	`
Tabal angal man Histor and Disal A		60 101		
Total enrollment White and Black	=.	68,121		
Enrollment in predominantly White schools	=	34,217		
Enrollment in Black schools	==	33,904	• •	
				٠.
Total	=,	68,12 1	•	3
•		•		
Blacks enrolled in predominantly White schools		57	,	
Blacks enrolled in the system $(33,904 + 57)$ or	, <u>m</u>	33,961		
% Blacks in predominantly White schools	_	•		
33,961	=	.17%	* *	
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. /. ***	~	i,	_	
FOR SCHOOL YEAR 1966-196	7_			
*				
Total enrollment White and Black	=	68,023		
Enrollment in predominantly White schools	=	33,968	• •	٠.
Enrollment in Black schools		34,055		
•	•		-	
. Total .		68,023	,	
		,		
Blacks enrolled in predominantly White schools	=	361		
Blacks enrolled in the system $(34,055 + 361)$ or	= ,	34,416	•	
% Blacks in predominantly White schools 361	*	1.05%		
34,416	•	1.05%		
. 54,420				

TABLE 4

Black Students Enrolled in Predominantly White Schools During Freedom of Choice Plan

··	· Cho.	ice tran	•	
HIGH SC WOLS	1967-68	1968-69	• 1969-70	
Banks	8	<i>i</i> 3	6	
Ensley	* 181	122	, 110	
Glenn ;	. 7	19	20	٠.
Jones Valley	190	160	140	
Phillips .	237	461	662	•
Ramsay	203	222	263	r
West End	71		103	
Woodlawn	93	72 1 97		. 1
Homebound -	<i>)</i>	. 8	115	· ·
,				· •
Total High '	990	1,164	1,426	**
ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	1967-68	1968-69	. 1969-70	
Avondale	2			
Baker	7	5	. 5	
Barrett	6	. 7	13 .	•
Brown	. 14	16	20	
Bush .	185	. 154	202	
Gomer	18	6 (4	
Dupuy		24 ~	155	
Eagan	60	· 117	312	
Elyton	168	160	164	
Fairmont	100	100	43	\
Gate City	1	29	123	•
Gibson	12 .	23	65	
Glen Iris	48			/
_	42	85 56	133	•
Gorgas	<u>ት</u> ታ	, 56 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	60	
Graymont		495	527	
Huffman	3 16	1	1	
Inglenook		39	63	
Jackson ·	10 %	17	72 .	
Jones Valley	100	132	162	
Kennedy .	, 52	67	52	
Lakeview	51 44	85	121	
Lee		85 55 480	63	, ,
McArthur "	328		677	• / •
Minor	· 5 .	13 -	· 12	
Morth Birmingham	7	17	51 <i>i</i>	
North Roebuck		6 .	13	`**
Norwood	7	*` 33	41	;
Powell	· 37	· 77	11,1	•
Pratt	165	359	401.	
Robinson		ì	3	
South East Lake	•	1	Ĭ4.	
Wilson	1	2	6	•
Wylam	47	, 52 ·	84	16
Homebound	• •	8	6	10
Speech and Hearing	4 -	12	17	•
• • •		· ———	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.0
Total Elementary Grand Total	1,884 2,874 X	2,634 1 3,798	3,786 5,212	•
	-,-,,	33170	J 9 ******	-

Table 4 indicates the number of Black students, by school, enrolled in predominantly White schools during implementation of the freedom of choice plan. The increase in Blacks attending White schools took a notable rise during this time compared to that of the earlier plan. In 1966-67 there were 361 Black students attending predominantly White schools compared to 2,874 in 1967-68, thus indicating an increase of approximately nine hundred percent. By 1969-70 there were 5,212 Black students attending predominently White schools.

During the first year of freedom of choice Birmingham's pupil count, as compared to the enrollment of exactly one year before, was down 1,231 of which 157 were Black. As 1,074 fewer White students were in attendance 1966-67, it seems that the exodus to the suburbs had begun. Since we cannot affirm that other factors did not contribute to a flight from the city, the previous statement should be interpreted with caution.

Table 5 illustrates that status of faculty integration during these three school years. A quick glance reveals that Black faculty members moved to predominantly White schools at a much more rapid rate than White teachers to Black schools. Specific reasons for this disparity were not revealed. However, we are led to believe that all transfers were voluntary. (See Appendix C for a copy of Superintendent's letter to staff requesting, transfers. Also see Appendix D for a copy of a report on efforts to integrate staffs submitted to the court by the Board of Education. Appendix E shows a copy of "Targets for the School year 1968-69" toward staff integration by the school board).

Table 6 shows the status of integration in the Birmingham Public schools as of March, 1969.

TABLE 5
Faculty Desegregation Under Freedom of Choice Plan

	5							•	
	v* . ·	1967-68	*	-	1968-69		19	69-70	
1	High	Elem.	Total	High	Elem.	Total	High	Elem.	Total
Black Teachers in Predominantly White Schools	1 <u>1</u>	20	31	22.	89	111	. 23	·103	126
White Teachers in Predominantly Negro	,		, "		,		`	,	77.6
Schools	3		3	11	38	. 49	. 25	51	76

TABLE 6

Status of Integration in Birmingham Public Schools in March, 1969

ITEM	
	. , _
No. Schools	· 98
No. Schools with Integrated Student Bodies	43
No. Schools with Integrated Faculties	80
No. Students in Schools with Integrated Faculities	58,443
No. Students in Schools with Integrated Student Bodies	28,731
Percent of Teachers as Members of Integrated Faculities	87.4%
Percent of Students as Members of Integrated Faculities'	87.3%
Percent of Students as Members of Integrated Student Bodies	47.0%
No. of Blacks in Formerly All White Schools ,	4,092
No. of Whites in Formerly All Black Schools	3
No. of Schools without Integrated Faculities	18
No. of Schools without Integrated Student Bodies	55

To summarize Table 6, we find that less than half of the schools had integrated student bodies by the end of the freedom of choice plan; approximately 90% had integrated faculities as well as approximately 90% of the students being in schools with integrated faculities. These figures do not indicate the extent to which students within these schools were exposed to a teacher of a different race. An estimate is that such opportunity for exposure was minimal given the small number of faculty member employed in schools where they represented a racial minority.



CHAPTER TT

Development and Description of Current Desegregation Plan

In 1969 the United States District Court held freedom of choice to be inadequate for the disestablishment of dual school systems and directed Birmingham to submit a plan to the court, to be effective during the 1970-71 school year, which primarily called for the use of zone assignments to end the dual system. The school board was also instructed to collaborate with the U.S. Office of Health, Education and Welfane in the preparation of such plan and to direct their efforts toward student and faculty assignments, facilities, athletic and other school activities in order to make a conversion to the status of a unitary system. Busing was not a factor in this directive as Birmingham employed no school transportation system.

The designated collaborator, representing the U.S. Office of Education, was the Auburn University Center for Assistance to School Systems with Problems Occasioned by Desegregation. Jointly they developed the plan that was submitted to the court on December 30, 1969. In an interview with an assistant superintendent it was revealed that in addition to the select eight member panel, these individuals worked on the plan in conjunction with members of the NAACP and the Justice Department prior to presenting a document to the court. A summary of the proposed plan, which was approved by the court with modifications, follows.

Student Assignments. Birmingham continued to employ the same organizational structure consisting of elementary schools (grades 1-8) and high schools (grades 9-12). Eight previously all Black elementary schools were closed and the remaining 76 divided into attendance areas, and one previously all Black high school was closed and the city divided into 13 high school attendance areas. A provision was provided for majority-to-minority student transfers at both levels; such right to transfer dependent on the capacity of the receiver school. An additional provision allowed high school students to transfer to a school offering a particular curriculum that was unavailable in his designated school zone. Twelfth graders were allowed, if their parents desired, to continue in the school they attended in 1969-70 in 1970-71. Finally, a small number of students from the county were allowed to continue in particular city schools.

Faculty and Staff Assignments. The board's intention was to assign staff so that 25-33 1/3% of each school's faculty would be in the racial minority.

Buildings and Facilities; Construction and Site Selection. The plan proposed 18 improvement projects for elementary schools and six projects for high schools in order to facilitate the achievement of a unitary school system.

School Activities. The plan merely provided for a merger of all school sponsored functions, i.e. athletics, clubs, leadership groups, etc., insuring equal opportunities.

Minor modifications were suggested by the court but most were eventually, ruled inappropriate and concurred with the sohool board's proposal.

(See Appendix F for a full copy of the court decision, Memorandums from the Superintendent's office and the Division of Personnel relative to the reassignment of faculties are found in Appendices G and H).

Of interest at this point is to view total enrollment figures of the Birmingham Public Schools from 1960 to 1973 in order to compare the number of students enrolled prior to the first desegregation plan with enrollment figures throughout the implementation of all three plans. Table 7 shows the total student enrollment in the Birmingham Public Schools from 1960 to 1973 according to race. From 1960 to 1962 the White population remained relatively stable while the Black population showed an increase of approximately 1,300. With the beginning of the first plan in 1963 the White enrollment dropped by about 850 while the Black enrollment increased by more than 600. At this time there were approximately 2,500 more Whites than Blacks in the Public School System. In 1967, the beginning of freedom of choice, the Black population outnumbered the White by more than 1,000. By the end of the freedom of choice option the Black students' enrollment exceeded that of the White by almost 4,000. During the current plan White enrollment has decreased by more than 7,500 over the four years while the Black enrollment has dropped by less than 200. Total figures over the 13 year period indicate a relatively stable Black population, varying by less than 3,000, with an overall gain of almost 1,000. On the other hand, since the first desegregation plan, there has been a steady decrease in White enrollment. Over the 13 year time span White enrollment has dropped by approximately 17,000. With a combined racial attendance count we find a total school enrollment in 1973 of 15,700 less than in 1960. In 1960 the White enrollment accounted for 53% of the total, whereas in 1973 they accounted for only 38% of the total enrollment. The greatest drops in White enrollment coincide with the current plan, forced desegregation. Where these students have gone is undetermined; however, it is felt that many moved to the predominantly White suburbs while others attend one of the many private schools.

Table 8 and 9 show enrollment figures over the 13 year period according to elementary and high school levels. Considering that the ratio of grades in the elementary schools is 2-1 compared to the high schools we see that the attendance drops were comparable at both levels. Again, it should be pointed out that other factors combined with school desegregation could easily account for a part of this withdrawal of Whites from the public schools, i.e. escaping from the occupational tax, construction of freeways eliminating housing property, etc. In view of these other possible factors one still simply must assume that forced integration in 1970-71 continues to be the prime reason for the dramatic decrease in White enrollment over the past four years.

Some of our field investigators carefully studied a map of the school district that identified the schools as they functioned under the dual school structure which, in addition, had the new attendance zones superimposed on the surface. By comparing formerly all Black schools, in terms of size and location, with those still open and the racial composition of each, it is highly visible that Birmingham is in a transition phase in Mousing patterns over almost three-fourths of the city. The eastern end

of the city limits remains primarily a White community, whereas the center of the city is heavily populated by Blacks and the western section is rapidly becoming a Black community. It should be pointed out again that although it would seem that many of the city's wealthier residents have left, the city has become more prosperous. Perhaps the business centers, recreation areas, and entertainment attractions are continuing to pull in the money from suburbanites although they no longer live within the city boundaries. This seems to be an extremely important factor; otherwise, the future of Birmingham would be precarious.

TABLE 7

To tal	Student	Enrollment for	Birmingham Public	Schools:	1960-to 1973
YEAR		WHITE	BLACK	TOTAL	
1973		20,934	33,907	54,841	
1972	•	23,659	. 34,440 %	58,099	
1971	•	26,246	33,990	60,236	· ·
1970	, •	28,236	34,168	62,404	
1969	, ·	31,406	35,145	66,551	
1968	•	32,504	34,679	67,183	, •
1967.		33,800	34,814	68,614	The state of the s
1966		34,750	34,970	. 69,720	
1965	~	35,657	34,957	70,614	•
1964پر	•		35,449	71,782	•
1963		37,202	34,839	72,041	, «···
1962		37,645	34,207	71,852	
1961		37,515	33,821	71,336	
1960		37,610	32,959	70,569	

Total Student Eprollment in Birmingham Public High Schools: 1960 to 1973

· YEAR	WHIŢE	BLACK	TOTAL -
1973 1972 1971 1970 1969 1968 1967 1966 1965 1964 1963 1962 1961	7,206 7,965 8,714 9,169 10,043 10,055 10,109 10,324 10,470 11,383 11,643 11,368 10,894 9,900	10,816 10,833 10,469 9,939 9,708 9,147 9,044 8,905 8,723 9,322 8,965 8,327 7,869 6,641	18,022 18,798 19,183 19,108 19,751 19,202 19,153 19,229 19,193 20,705 20,608 19,695 18,763 16,541

TABLE 9

Total Student Enrollment in Birmingham Elementary Schools: 1960 to 1973

YEAR WHITE BLACK TOTAL 1973 13,728 23,091 36,819 1972 15,694 23,607 39,301 1971 17,532 23,521 41,053 1970 19,067 24,229 43,296 1969 21,363 25,437 46,800 1968 22,449 25,532 47,981 1967 23,691 25,770 49,461 1966 24,426 26,065 50,491 1965 25,187 26,234 51,421 1964 24,950 26,127 51,077 1963 25,559 25,874 51,433 1962 26,277 25,880 52,157 1961 26,621 25,952 52,573 1960 27,710 26,318 54,028								
1972 15,694 23,607 39,301 1971 17,532 23,521 41,053 1970 19,067 24,229 43,296 1969 21,363 25,437 46,800 1968 22,449 25,532 47,981 1967 23,691 25,770 49,461 1966 24,426 26,065 50,491 1965 25,187 26,234 51,421 1964 24,950 26,127 51,077 1963 25,559 25,874 51,433 1962 26,277 25,880 52,157 1961 26,621 25,952 52,573 1960 27,710 26,318 54,028	YEAR	ā	 WHI	TE	BLACK	_	TOTAL	
	1972 1971 1970 1969 1968 1967 1966 1965 1964 1963 1962 1961		15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 26,	694 532 067 363 449 691 426 187 950 559 277 621	23,607 23,521 24,229 25,437 25,532 25,770 26,065 26,234 26,127 25,874 25,880 25,952		39,301 41,053 43,296 46,800 47,981 49,461 50,491 51,421 51,077 51,433 52,157 52,573	



A final point to consider here is the issue of faculty reassignments. Table 5 (see chapter 1) indicated that in the last school year of Freedom of Choice, 1969-70, there were 23 Black teachers in predominantly White high schools and 25 White teachers in predominantly Black high schools; in addition, there were 103 Black teachers in predominantly White elementary schools and 51 White teachers in predominantly Black elementary schools. The school board, in its effort to integrate faculties under the unitary plan, attempted to place equal ratios of Black and White teachers in each school. (See Appendices G and H). An absolute equal racial ratio has not been realized but the movement toward its achievement has been positive over the four years of the current plan (See Appendix I for student and teacher membership counts from 1970-71 through 1973-74 according to school).

Table 10 shows the number of White and Black teachers employed at the elementary and secondary levels since 1970-71.

TABLE 10

Number of Black and White Teachers 1970-71 to 1973-74

, , ,	High	School	Elementary	School	Total	Grand_Total	
Year U	Wht.	Blk.	Wht.	Blk.	Wht. Blk.		
1970-71	440	407	†35 ·	808	1,193 . 1,21	2,408	,
1971-72	439	425	726	744	1,232 1,19	9, 2,431	,
1972-73	430	421	762 .	764 •	1,192 1,18	5 2,377	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1973-74	438	415	• 687 [′] .	742	1,125 1,15	7 _ 2,282	

The above table indicates a relatively stable ratio, nearing the goal of employing 50% of each race. Over the four years we see that at the high school level there has consistently been more White than Black teachers and the reverse has persisted at the elementary level.

CHAPTER III

Process Leading Toward Implementation

The school board appointed committees to articulate the desegregation plan to the community. Community leaders, administrative and faculty personnel served on all the various committees. It was reported that the community leaders went to all sections of the city to hold "Rap-Sessions" with the people in the various neighborhoods. In many instances this approach helped in the transition to desegregation.

Local newspapers, churches and civic organizations pitched in and supported the implementation of the desegregation plan. The Black newspaper strongly supported the move; while the White press was favorable, it justified its stance by proclaiming that such was the law and it must be complied with. It was reported that many potential problems were squelched by this same rationale. In essence, desegregation would be put into effect in spite of protests, such was the law.

It is essential to understand the importance of the prevailing notion that there was no alternative to the desegregation plan - compliance was the only option. In the absence of alternatives, those who were dubious and potential agitators remained neutral and no significant issues arose to be used as a vehicle around which to rally opposition.

In the phases of information giving and preparation of the community, staff, and students there was an absence of community involvement. Decisions and plans were set at the highest level and all information was released internally; there was no external input.

Preparation of Staff, Students and Community

To properly service the faculties, staffs, students and parents of a community and system this large in preparation for desegregation is obviously a task of monumental proportion. In the discription which follows of the human relations program that was implemented, the reader will see that too few were directly effected. Federal aid was granted to help carry out the human relations activities. (A time chart identifying the activities that were proposed and implemented during 1970-71 follows).

The pre-desegregation in-service human relations training involved individuals from four population groups and was held during June of 1970. Consultants from Auburn University helped in the design and implementation of these workshops.

The first training program included approximately 125 principals, supervisors and program directors. These individuals were exposed to group techniques and worked toward the identification of potential problems and a means to deal with such problems. (See Appendix J-1 for an outline of activities).



24

APPENDIX

Much of the content will come from video tapes made during the several summer workshops. individual' schools. The Director and Associate will prepare ITV programs to be used in inservice training sessions in The Director and Associate will work with caculties helping them solve problems related Consultant fee will be needed when outside expert is needed to supply content. to desegregation

Needed October Funds Items 1 and 2 above will be continued

8,100

3,000

\$50.00 onsultant eptcmber

Workshop for 500 SLG's and 40 FACS - to report progress and set new goals 5 hours - Saturday - stipend \$15 per participant

100 teachers to visit in "good" minority-majority teaching situations Workshop for 200 teachers not included in previous workshops 5 hours - Saturday - stipend \$15 per participant

\$15 per day needed to pay substitute for teacher making visit

November fee I tems 4 and 5 will be continued. This month: there will be 2 item 4 workshops this month.

6,000 \$50 consultant Dacember 500 Item 1 will be continued this month

,000

January

Items

4 and 5 will be continued.

There will be 2 item 4 workshops this month.

breary Items 4 and 5 will be continued

,coo

1,500 Items **4-1** .2 4 and 5 will be continued

2 will be continued

Items and

Kay

850

\$50,8100,3,000,1,500

Items 1,

,

4 and 5 will be continued

The same

The second in-service program included approximately 500 staff members from the 89 schools; they were designated as the School Leadership Group and charged with the responsibility of coordinating human relation programs at the building level. (See Appendix J-2 for an outline of activities).

A third workshop was designed for 100 high school students representing the 13 high schools. This workshop was directed toward the development of activities to facilitate interracial understanding. Some were: a plan for welcoming and orienting new students; making posters and slogans, writing songs, poems and jingles to create better interracial understanding among students. (See Appendix J-3 for an outline of workshop activities).

A final workshop was conducted for approximatley 200 P.T.A. members and P.T.A. council officers. These sessions were oriented toward learning to work together, how to prepare communities to accept change, strategies for breaking down racial barriers, and exposure to teachers who had made a successful transition in schools where they represented a minority. (See Appendix J-4 for an outline of workshop activities).

A final pre-school activity in human relations was conducted in August, 1970. Over a five day period programs were conducted in individual schools. The nature of these activities varied according to the preferences of the leaders at the building level. (See Appendix J-5 for an outline of professional activities).



Programs and Practices Incident to Desegregation

As discussed in the second chapter, the primary goal in Birmingham was to develop a unitary school system. This included a plan to 1) zone students so that practically all schools would be desegregated, 2) reassign teachers so that approximately a third of each faculty would be represented by the racial minority (either Black or White), and 3) that all student activities, clubs and athletics would be a a unitary offering.

As a consequence of devising attendance zones nine formerly all Black schools were closed, eight elementary and one high school. For the most part those schools were the smaller ones that had serviced the small pockets of Blacks living adjacent to White neighborhoods. However, since 1970 two of those schools have been reopened due to an annexation and population shift.

The vast flight of Whites to the suburbs and the enrollment of others in private schools has already been described and illustrated by a table showing student enrollment by race since 1960 in Chapter II. Also, Appendix I shows enrollment by race since 1960 in Chapter II. Also, Appendix I show enrollment figures by school since the inception of the unitary plan. The obvious question is: To what extent are the Birmingham Public Schools now desegregated? Table II indicates student enrollment figures taken from an attendance report of October, 1973.

TABLE 11

Black Enrollment in Birmingham Public Schools by Percent: October, 1973

Number having Black Students enrolled within the Indicated Percent Ranges

Percent Rai	nge	High Schools	Elementary Schools		*
0-25%	5.	. 2 '	. 20 /	,	•
26-50%		2	12		
51-75%		4	4	8	
76-100%	7.	5 ¹	422		

- 1. Two had 100% Black enrollment.
- 2. Twenty-one had 100% Black enrollment.

Since twenty-three schools have all Black enrollments we find that 25% of the schools are mot desegregated, thus, in a technical sense,



Birmingham is a partially desegregated school system. The above mentioned reasons, White flight and private schools, accounts for much of this 25%. In addition, remember that three-quarters of the city housing is involved in a transition phase where Black families are moving into formerly White neighborhoods. These facts are included without the intent of either indicting or justifying the large percentage of all Black schools, but to indicate that mobility seems to be such a factor at present that the school board is rendered unable to desegregate all schools through a zone plan. In any event, the dual school system ceased to exist in 1970-71.4 However, the table indicates a better representation of racial distributions at the elementary Tevel, perhaps due to their being more conveniently located than the high schools. Whereas 59% of the elementary schools have more than a 50% Black enrollment, 69% of the high schools have more than a 50% Black enrollment. Recall that it is possible for a high school student to transfer to a school out of his zone if it offers a curriculum. that is not offered in his zoned school. Another means employed to obtain a transfer is for the parents to obtain a medical or psychological recommendation that their child's physical or emotional health requires that he/she be placed in a different school.

*Administration and Faculty Ratios

As mentioned in Chapter II, Birmingham chose to assign faculty members at equal ratios in each school. Table 10 clearly indicated an unequal but positive effort at achieving this goal. In many instances there is an uneven assignment of teachers at the various schools. When such is the case an effort is made to comply with the policy of filling the odd position with a teacher of the same race as the majority of students at that school. Teacher enrollment figures from 1973-74 indicate that this policy is probably followed at the elementary level but not at the high school level. It was indicated that in instances where teachers were needed in special academic areas, but no acceptable applicant was available from the preferred race, exceptions were made. It was reported that this had presented no problems. Principals are assigned to schools based on their being of the same race as the majority of the students; so are clerical workers. Although some principals resigned, this act created no problems or loss of jobs. No data was secured that permitted us to verify that all principals are currently assigned according to the policy statement.

Up to the time of the move to a unitary school system there were no minority assistant superintendents, just a Director of Negro Schools. Currently there are a few at the assistant level and in supervisory positions. No specific data is available.

When teachers were reassigned a few never reported to work while others reported to their former schools. Since there was no way to legally contest the reassignments most reported to their new assignments within a few days. Some teachers who proved it a hardhsip were reassigned, usually to a school where they were in the racial minority. Transfers were allowed if the teachers would accept assignments to schools where they would be in the minority. Attrition has been no problem as there are plenty of applications on file at the personnel office.



Although we, as a research team, cannot report on the equity in reassigning teachers based on an equal distribution of quality throughout the system, we can report that in one all Black elementary school that we visited all the seventh and eighth grade teachers were social studies specialists. Whether this was an exception or a frequent practice was undetermined.

Grouping Patterns

Grouping patterns were observed in several ways. In-class observations allowed us to count the enrollment by race; and interviews with teachers, students, principals and counselors permitted us to ask if students were ability grouped and whether they were allowed to choose their courses at the high school level.

At the elementary school level 29 teachers and 9 principals were interviewed. Amazingly, 77% of the teachers said ability grouping was utilized, only 22% of the principals admitted to this practice. The teachers said that they grouped children most often in reading and less frequently in math. Primarily, the basis for grouping was the use of scores from standardized achievement tests. Those teachers who did not group students were generally first grade teachers. A few, as well as most principals, said that ability grouping had been used previously but had not seemed to serve a useful function and had been discontinued.

What could have been a reason for the above discrepancy es in how teachers and principals might have interpreted the term ability grouping. Our observations generally indicated a good mixture of students in classrooms, racially. Perhaps students are assigned to classrooms hetergeneously by the principal but the teachers group within this general heterogeneous assignment for particular subjects.

Seventh and eighth grade students in the elementary schools take subjects just as high school students do. Although their math and reading teachers generally refer to each class as having relatively higher or lower abilities, they tend to view the students as having a rather heterogeneous pattern of abilities. At this level the students have no choice of courses, all are required and the curriculum is not diversified.

Table 12 shows the number and percentages of Black and White students in the elementary classes we observed. The figures reflect the students present in each classroom during our observations, not the total enrollment figures. Only twenty classrooms are included; two schools, one with an all Black and the other an all White enrollment, are omitted. Thus Table 12 includes observations in 7 elementary schools across most grades. Notice that the ratios of Black and White students vary a great deal but reflect the microcosm of racial distributions we chose in our sample.

At the high school level all schools used a type of ability grouping known as tracking. However, tracking was more in terms of offering curriculum that was appropriate for each of the three diploma programs - Academic, General and Business. The general opinion was offered that most

TABLE 12

Racial Distributions of Elementary School Classrooms Observed

Grade Level	Total No. Students	No. White Students	Percent White Students	No. Black Students	Percent Black Students
2	14	0 ,	00%	14	(A)
2	21	5 .	* 24%	16	76%
2	20	15	75%	, ₋ 5	25%
3	21	4	19%.	17	81%
3	30	17	57%	13	43% .
3	21	. '5	2/%	. 16	76%
4.	18 .	17	94%	.1	16%
4	24 ·	. 14	58%	10	42%
4	້25	, J1	44%	14	56%
5 .	. 21	, 6	29%	15	71%
6	28	22	79%	6.	21%
6	25	18	72%	, 7	28%
7	20	10	50%	10	50%
7	_ 22	. 6	26%	16	74%
7	24.	11 `	46%	13 -	5 4%
8	26	15	58%	11	42%
8	21 .	9	43%	12	57%
8	25	5	20%	20	80%
Sp.Ed.	13	10	77%	3	23%
Sp.Ed.	10	. 6	60%	4 ,	40%

⁽A) This class was for low readers in a 76% Black School.



students entered high school with an understanding of which program they would eventually enter based on their awareness of their abilities. The ninth grade served as a final opportunity for students to recognize their abilities and make a decision as to which diploma program they would pursue. Since students are enrolled in English and Math classes at the ninth grade level according to achievement test scores and teacher recommendations, it would seem that those wishing access to the college preparatory program are predetermined to a great extent prior to the tenth grade in that they have been placed in classes deemed commensurate with their ability. There is much research evidence that suggests students become aware of and internalize feelings of academic ability from the level of their placement, thus many must be locked into the general or business programs during the ninth grade. The term tracking is applied with reference to the three diploma programs in that the curriculum content varies with each program and is designed to correspond to the substance required for focus in each.

One of the high schools we visited had an approximately 50/50 racial ratio, one all Black and one 95% White. Since observations and information collected in the two latter cases were devoid of racial findings they are excluded in the remainder of this discussion.

In the high school with an approximately equal racial distribution it was found that an estimated 20% of the students were in the business program, 50% in the general program and 35-38% in the academic program. Some estimates obtained through interviews suggested that no more than 5% Black students are in the academic curriculum. Also classes in ROTC and food are almost all Black while classes in Trigonometry, Physics and Chemistry are almost all White. Interview data also indicated that there are more Blacks in basic courses (general curriculum) than Whites.

Given the above information we can conclude that by a process of selection through the use of grouping, Black students are disproportionately placed in lower tracks and remain there, at least in this school. And also, being aware of their placement in lower tracks, Black students select a general or business program. Such a procedure is doing very little to offer Black students an opportunity to experience an education that would encourage them to seek access to vocations offering the material comforts and rewards associated with middle-class American ideals.

We must also conclude that the five classrooms we visited in this high school were filled with students in the general program (see Table 13 below), based on the percentages of Blacks and Whites observed.

In our observations of general classroom seating arrangements we found that Black and White students were not segregated. However, in the few classrooms where we observed reading groups (primarily at the elementary level) we saw much evidence of resegregation.

It should be noted that disproportionate racial representation in higher and lower tracks and in reading and math groups does not seem to be intended as an act of resegregation. Similar procedures were followed



TABLE 13

Racial Distributions of Students Observed in One Integrated High School

Class	Total No. Students	No. White Students	Percent White Students	No. Black Students	Percent Black Students
Eng. Lit.	24	. 9	. 37%	15	63%
Soc. Stud.	26	15	58%	11	42%
Geometry	`27	. 14	52%	13	48%
Algebra	17	9	53%	8	47%
Library (A)	23	9	39%	14	61%

(A) For our purposes the library is a learning setting equivalent to that of a classroom. The significant observation here was that all of the students had completely segregated themselves by race in their seating and interaction.

in schools having all Black and all White student bodies. -

CURRICULUM

In the area of curriculum modifications, which accompanies desegregation, there was no apparent structure nor were guidelines provided. There was marginal evidence of supplying texts with multi-ethnic characters and themes and a few high school courses were primarily oriented toward Black History. Two observations of critical importance were made at the elementary level. (1) There is a tremendous disparity across schools in the quality of facilities and instructional materials; for the most part textbooks are very old. It seemed that the greater the minority enrollment the greater the disparity. The superintendent, who is relatively new, recognizes this problem and is . aware of the fact that there is a disparity in the allocation of funds. (2) Such contrasts, as mentioned above, are permitted with relative frequency because, in general, elementary schools in Alabama are not required to be accredited by a regional evaluation association. However, a movement toward accreditation is now in progress, In order for the elementary schools to meet the requirements of the accrediting board, all must pass minimal standards in terms of instructional supplies and materials, in-service plans, long range educational goals, staff development, adequate space for maximum enrollment, etc. . By undergoing the process of accreditiation the elementary schools will have to meet acceptable standards and thus upgrade the overall level of their quality. Therefore, an equalizing effect will have to come and funds will have to be allocated on a needs basis.

EXTRA-CURRIGULAR. ACTIVITIES

Participation of Black and White students in extra-curricular activities was examined at the 7th, 8th and high school levels. All data collected



through interviews with students, teachers and principals. Thus the following description is impressionistic rather than absolute.

At the elementary school level the only activities identified were sports related, with the exception of class elections reported in one school. In the case of the latter the principal decreed that class officers would be racially mixed. The general feeling was expressed that more attention should be given to establishing activities that promote social experiences for Black and White children.

The extent to which school athletic teams played each other was approached ambiguously. It seems that teams do play each other on an interschool basis but this program is more related to initiation at the building level than as a result of central administration policy or planning. There are no elementary school football teams. These are provided through the Parks and Recreation program. It was interesting to note that in two schools having a 55% White enrollment one had two Black and the other had three Black members on the basketball team while in a 55% Black school there was only one White basketball team member. This observation reflects the trend in schools having more or less of one race than the other with regard to basketball. Track teams are racially mixed. Soccer and badminton are predominantely White. One school having a 55% White enrollment had no Blacks on the softball team. Another school having a 55% White enrollment but a Black female coach had very few White girls on the volleyball team.

Our observations and interview data suggest that the majority race predominates athletic teams and the race of the coach also has a great influence on a team's racial make-up.

In the high school having less than 5% Black students the following was reported. There are no Blacks on the basketball or football teams. There are no Black cheerleaders. The track team has a few Black members. It was indicated that a few Black boys went out for basketball but didn't make the team. There are a few Black students on the yearbook committee and in the dramatics club. Also, a few Blacks are on the Teen Board - a cooperative program where students work and model clothes in department stores. Another finding was that no Black students hold a student council office or are officers in clubs.

Reporting or extra-curricular activities in the high school with an approximately 50/50 racial distribution (actually more Blacks than Whites) should be prefaced by stating that one should not generalize the following findings to other integrated high schools in Birmingham as they differ greatly in their demography. This school, for example, was formerly all white and has made a transition from having a highly regarded academic reputation to one having a qualitative reputation below the mean. Many Black families have moved into the school zone while the poor White families have remained. Thus the economic strata in this school population is predominately representative of the lower-middle income level.

This high school has a Black student body president. Clubs, organizations and athletic teams that are racially mixed included the Y-Teen group, Driver Education Club, Cheerleaders, Rocket Club, Junior Red Cross (officers



mixed also), Choir (mostly White), ROTC (70% Black but has White officers), Honor Society (2/3 White), Shop Club (mostly White, an unusual finding), Drama Club (predominantly Black), football and baseball teams. The Pep Club and basketball team are all Black.

Social and Friendship Patterns of Faculty, Staff, and Students

Data reported in this section gleaned from interviews with principals and teachers. Interview leads only required the respondents to express their perceptions. It should be noted that the data pool was comprised of 12 principal interviews and 40 teacher interviews.

Student Interaction

At the elementary school level the principals' impressions greately coincided with our observations; namely, that children mixed well in the classrooms and on the playground but not in the cafeterias. The following are some examples of typical responses:

"Kids don't even think Black and White this year." and "They may segregate in the cafeteria, but they do intermis on the playground."

Principals' observations at the two desegregated high schools follow:

"Almost no mixing extra curricularly" (attributed to the fact that Blacks have not chosen to participate). and "I'm especially proud of the way students here basically conducted themselves and the way they get along."

The 29 elementary teachers tended to give positive comments on the student's interaction. However, as a whole, their observations did include a wide range of responses. The comments cited below illustrate their answers; and it should be noted, there was no tendency for Blacks or Whites to express any point of view that could be attributed most often to either race.

"I see Blacks and Whites walking down the hall together."
"White children will nominate Black children for class offices
but the reverse is not true."

"Some White boys imitate the dress style of popular Black boys."
"This week is 'Good Citizen Week'. My class elected a Black girl and a White boy."

"The students interact quite well. Black students argue a lot among themselves. White students occasionally call the Blacks 'nigger':"

"Children play together and eat together."

"Small children bring prejudices from home. Many White children, don't want to play with Black children."

Teacher opinions at the senior high level seemed to be more positive and general in content. They made comments such as:

"They group themselves along racial lines but they get along very well." $\ \ \,$

"Student interaction is good and very much improved."
"There are always exceptions but students get along well in this school. However, they tend to polarize themselves."

To summarize, with respect to our sampling of teachers and principals from a few schools, it appears that students do interact in the classrooms and on the playgrounds better than in the lunchrooms. And at the social level they tend to group among themselves. It was reported that more open expressions of prejudice appear at the earlier grades as a result of home prejudice being brought to school. And everyone seemed to feel that racial interaction has continued to progress in a positive direction; however, it is noted that the word friendship was excluded from the comments offered in response to patterns of racial interaction among students.

Staff Interaction

With only a few exceptions almost all principals and teachers felt that racial interaction among staffs was good in the respective schools but that such interaction did not extend beyond the school itself. It was reported that in one school there had been family parties including both races and mixed baby showers. At their assigned schools teachers are reported to work well professionally, but at two of the buildings Black and White teachers have gradually come to use separate lounges. Only one interviewee indicated that teachers in her school had little professional interaction. It is apparent that although more than 95% of the principals and teachers viewed staff relationships as good there is a great deal of variance expressed in the content of the word good. Our impression is that Black staff are more willing to extend the current status of racial interaction than are the Whites, and as a result of the present conditions Blacks seem more uncomfortable and disenchanted with the nature of the interaction.

It was also learned that for the most part teachers and students of the same race tended to have better interaction than cross-racially.

In addition to asking about staff interactions, principals and teachers were asked what their schools do to promote racial interaction among themselves and students. A vast majority said their schools did "nothing." A few suggested that racial interaction took place through athletic teams and clubs which had been in existence before desegregation. Only one school indicated that through assemblies directed toward the theme of character building had there been any such efforts, and this at the student level. An additional few indicated that interracial relations should develop through experience and that intentionally designed programs are often counterproductive.

Equality of Student Discipline

Since an important aspect of equality of educational opportunity is that of the right to be treated equally as human beings, the study team sought to collect the impessions of advisors, principals, teachers, counselors and

ERIC

students relative to the consistency or inconsistency of disciplinary measures as applied to students of each race.

It is noted that the boy's advisor in the most integrated high school of our study stated that one more White than Black student had been suspended during the school year. This information was not collected at the elementary school level.

It seems that there are no specific guidelines or codes of student conduct established for the entire system. Therefore, varying degrees of conduct codes exist among the schools. As a consequence of desegregation administration of corporal punishment has become a system-wide policy. That is, only principals may administer paddlings at the elementary level, no longer are teachers able to render this type of punishment. At the high school level the boy's advisors are given the same authority.

Teachers on Discipline

Teachers were asked whether discipline and conduct codes were applied consistently across races.

In all instances the high school teachers felt that students were treated equally and fairly (this applies in both Black and White teacher interviews). At the well integrated high school teachers indicated that discipline problems were sent to the student advisors; problems are not racial, fights most often occur within races; and older students create more problems. In the predominantly White high school, teachers commented that treatment of students is fair; discipline codes are applied equally; the degree of discipline depends on the incident, e.g. boys are suspended for smoking, girls are usually given detention for the first offense; vandalism and heavy drugs are usually the biggest problem with White boys; discipline is primarily left to the classroom teacher, then to the student advisors, and at the highest level to the principal; Black students present no unusual problems. At the all Black school teachers reported the following: discipline problems tend to be personal, not racial, e.g. Black students who give trouble to White teachers also give trouble to Black teachers; sometimes White teachers have discipline problems with Black students; and, if White teachers are too nice they have difficulty disciplining Black students.

Some random samples of teacher comments on student discipline at the elementary school level follow: "White teachers have difficulty in disciplining Black kids," "There is too much favoritism for Blacks," "Not much of a problem for me, but problems with discipline have increased since corporal punishment was forbidden," "White students require direct, immediate attention...Black students need a lot of help in coping with home problems," "Discipline is more difficult with Blacks. I can't compensate for home instilled behavior."

Counselors on Discipline

Counselor responses are only represented at the high school level

as there were no elementary counselors in the schools the study team visited. Comments showed little variance across schools. Some were: "Blacks feel Whites get preferential treatment in terms of discipline," "There are few racial problems," "The ninth graders seem to have an adjustment problem," "There are no problems between students and teachers not even White teachers and Black students. I have not seen White teachers afraid of Black students," "Drugs predominate in this area."

Students on Discipline

A group of Black and White students in each of the three high schools and in two of the elementary schools were asked whether rules and discipline were equally enforced at their respective schools. In general the students indicated equal treatment. However, views were not always consistent. The high school students gave the following responses: "Black students get away with stuff the White kids would be suspended for, e.g. fighting in the cafeteria," "Drugs is the biggest problem and it's getting worse," "Advisors should advise not give out discipline," "There are quite a few pregnant girls and pot smoking, but the situation is getting better than last year," "A few Black and White teachers have problems disciplining students, it's an individual teacher thing."

Students interviewed in the elementary schools gave answers similar to those of the high school students — when asked about equality of discipline they tended to talk about behavioral problems more than the disciplinary action. Some examples of their responses follow: "There are some Black-White fights but they're not racial fights," "Both the Black and White students call each other names but Miss "Smith" makes them apoligize," "There are lots of fights between Blacks and Whites," "Some Black students say that White teachers are always hollering at Blacks — But that is not true."

Advisors on Discipline

Boys' and girls' advisors in each of the three high schools were asked about the nature of discipline administered to the students and their view of how fair such disciplinary actions were received by students of both races. Some responses follow: "This year I get a lot of cooperation from Black students, they accept their punishment alone with everyone else. Last year they were looking to see if they were going to be treated fair," "The major discipline problems have to do with students cutting classes, or being considered insubordinate to teachers. There are constant comments about a child being disrespectful over very minor affairs. Teachers seem to push too hard on insignificant issues," "Truant cases are the greatest problem," "The students don't care for science and English and take it out on these teachers. In the science department the problem is mostly between the Black students and the White teacher," "The major problem is that the Black girls are belligerent and the matriarchial system is the cause," "This year we can talk about problems without it being racial."

Principals on Discipline

The following are examples of typical comments by principals on stu-

dent discipline. They are so homogeneous that it is not necessary to separate responses of elementary school principals from high school principals. "Discipline is nondiscriminatory. The girls are more of a problem than boys: Black girls are less submissive than White girls. The majority of the problems are non-racial fist fights, Black on Black and White on White. Mixed fights don't appear to be over racial matters," "Major discipline problems result from outsiders, 18-21 year, olds, who are not in school. There is a problem in not being able to police the grounds," "My biggest problem is class-cutting. My teachers are becoming too lax and not caring about the whereabouts of kids," "Not, only is it true that many Whites cannot handle Black kids, but it is also true of Black teachers. However, I do think that more Whites have that problem than Black teachers," "The major problems are cutting class and smoking cigarettes, there is only a trace of drugs and drug problems are not a concern since it doesn't happen on school property," "Most of my problems are trifleness, like talking in class. And most problems came from kids just moving into the district. One or two teachers have sent disproportionate numbers of Blacks in for misbehavior. I talked to the teachers about it," "We have no big racial problems as far as integration. I'm determined that children treat each other with respect. I'm $\mbox{\`{a}}$ Christian and I demand that everyone be treated with respect," "We do have some ' problems... I believe in building a behavioral contract with a child after talking to that child. On the third time the child is brought into the office, he gets paddled. We will refer the child to the Board only in extreme cases. Visiting teachers are usually very effective."

SPECIAL FUNDED PROGRAMS

Special funds were provided for the human relations training program for the 1970-71 school year which has been described elsewhere in this study.

In addition, Birmingham Public Schools receives Title I funds to support educational programs directed at children with special educational needs. On page XXXIV and XXXV, the 1972-73 report, submitted by the Birmingham School District, is provided to describe the nature of those services provided with Title I funds. If other specially funded programs exist within the district they were not identified.

Student Achievement

The recent past has produced evidence that many factors other than innate intellectual potential influence student's performance on standardized achievement tests. Page XXXVI represents an example of how one educator in Alabama has attempted to account for some of these "other" variables when comparing Alabama student achievement results with that of the national norm sample. Note that four variables control economic status while the other accounts for the ratio of Whites and Blacks. It is well known that income level of individuals and communities is highly related to social status and opportunities for upward mobility; also,

SUPPMARY REPORT OF TITLE I PROJECT, ESEA, P.L. 89 - 10

1972 - 1973

Name and address of Local Educational Agency	Telephond number:
Birmingham Public Schools	323-851
2015 7th Av nue, North	Ext. 245-246-247
Birmingham, Alabama 35203	•
Brief Title: Services for Children with S	pecial Educational Needs

Cost:

Number of Participants:

\$1,880,932.00

8,374

Grade Levels Included: K - 11

Brief description of approved Title I activities designed to meet the special needs of educationally deprived children participating in this project:

Birmingham's Title I project is an unbrella type program with a number of different components designed to meet needs of pupils from pre-school through eleventh grade high school. Though the various component parts differ specifically in emphasis and in techniques used to accomplish their particular purposes, they share a common two fold goal of enabling disadvantaged learners to acquire and improve academic skills and to develop habits and attitudes needed to cope more effectively with everyday problems.

During the 1972-73 school year, 20 kindergarten classes, each staffed by a certificated teacher and an aide, enrolled 371 pupils. At the end of the year, scores on the Metropolitan Readiness Test fell in the A-C categories for 63% of the pupils.

Readiness classes developed for the immature 6-year old child provide a year of experiences and activities designed to "ready" the child for the formal first grade the following year. 381 pupils were enrolled in 25 classes. End of year testing, using the Metropolitan Readiness Test, indicates that 86% of the children had scores falling in the A-C category and on this basis should be successful in first grade.

The developmental reading program in which 4043 pupils from grades 2-8 participated supplements the regular reading program and provides an opportunity for poor readers to have individualized instruction at their own reading level. The 51 teachers located in 52 schools have an average load of approximately 80 pupils who are seen in groups ranging from 10 to 20. Pre and Post testing using appropriate forms of the Metropolitan Reading Tests show a mean gain for all pupils of .9 in Word Knowledge, 1.0 in Reading and .9 in Total Reading. At the high school level, 663 pupils were enrolled in grades 9-11. Extremely disabled readers, with long histories of frustration and failure, 410 of this group present for Pre and Post testing began the year with a mean grade score of 4.8 in Vocabulary (California Test of Basic Skills) and 4.6 in Comprehension.

End of the year mean scores were 5.3 and 4.8 respectively. Progress as measured by achievement tests has been poor. However, data included in case studies written by teachers, and questionnaires completed by students, reflect changes in attitude which are significant but which cannot be measured objectively. 650 9th and 10th grade pupils in 5 schools were enrolled in the Writing Lab Program. Progress as reported by pupils and teachers was significant. Skills developed in the Writing Lab enabled pupils to meet subject matter requirements in other areas more effectively, thus improving grades and attitudes toward school and learning in general.

The Basic Skills program provides a "growing place" vfor boys and girls lost and stunted in the regular classroom who have more than the average number of learning, emotional and/or social problems. These youngsters get individual help in basic reading, numbers, and communication skills for approximately one-half day in the Basic Skills room. The remainder of the day, they "travel" with their peers and another group of disadvantaged problem learners come to the Basic Skills room. This year 570 pupils in grades 1-8 participated in this program in 18 schools. The mean gain in Total Reading for 313 students in grades 3-8 present for Pre and Post testing using CTBS, was .7.

Mathematics improvement is a goal in the Basic Skills program at elementary level and in the Mathematics program in high school. The mean grade score gain in Total Arithmetic (CTBS) for 304 Basic Skills pupils in grades 3-8 was .6. 450 pupils in 6 schools, working with 6 teachers and 2 teacher assistants, participated in the high school math program. Students came to the Math Lab for assistance with work which they could not handle adequately in the regular Math class. Review, practice, reinforcement and support on an individualized basis enabled a number of these pupils to return to the regular class and perform successfully. A median raw score gain of 3.4 on Cooperative Arithmetic tests administered Pre and Post was reported at the end of the year.

PAL, a cross age tutorial program developed for pupils in grades 3-5 and staffed by 7th and 8th grade students working under the direction of 5 supervising PAL teachers serving 26 schools, enrolled 392 tutorees and 402 tutors. On Pre and Post testing, using the Slopson Oral Reading Test, the mean gain for tutorees was 1.1.

SOS, another cross age tutorial program in which older disabled readers helped younger disabled readers, thus differing from PAL, in which older able readers assist younger poor readers, enrolled 249 pupils, 131 younger and 118 older. Mean grade score gain on SORT at the end of the year for 100 pupils Pre and Post tested was 1.2 for the younger pupils and 1.0 for the older. Four teachers working in 8 schools served the pupils in this program.

Support personnel undergird and provide services to assist the various components of the program in reaching their goals. The elementary counselors working in 55 Title I schools, administered 5303 individual mental tests (495 Binet - 4808 Slosson). They reported having 2322 child conferences, 1119 parent conferences, and 3321 conferences with teachers. The two Title I nurses reported screening 1667 children for visual acuity. 781 hearing tests were given. They referred 118 pupils to clinics, made 53 follow-up visits and had conferences with students and teachers concerning student health problems.

More than one thousand contacts are reported for the two Title I visiting teachers. These contacts include initial and follow-up home visits, conferences with teachers and with pupils. In addition to working with attendance and conduct problems, the visiting teachers assisted poverty level families in securing food, clothing and transportation.



ALABAMA EDUCATION STUDY COMMISSION (AESC)

REPORT ON STUDENT PERFORMANCE AND PER CENT OF EXPECTANCY

Comments from Dr. B. D. Whetstone, as reported in the Birmingham News of 7/31/73:

While Dr. Whetstone was working on the AESC report, he attended several nationwide education conferences, at which considerable concern was expressed that achievement test scores are to a great extent a reflection of a person's economic and social background.

"The evidence was pretty strong that high test (scores) were made in school systems where the students were from families with higher economic and social status. In fact, the tests not only reflected what the schools had done but what the parents had done for the child."

Several states were building into the test scores socio-economic factors if they appeared to be affecting student performance. Whetstone became interested and decided to do the same for Alabama. "I boiled down from about twenty-five possible factors, five that definitely seemed to be influencing the Alabama scores. These included:

the wealth of the community, based on assessed evaluation of property; the amount of income tax paid by the area served by the school system; the percentage of the population earning more than \$10,000 annually; the percentage of the population earning \$3,000 or less annually; and the white-black ratio:"

He used a mathematical formula to determine how much each factor reduced or increased test scores and came up with an "expectancy table," which showed how a school should be ranked in comparison with the national norm considering the number of strikes against it for attaining that norm.

Whetstone feels raw achievement test data often puts school systems "in a bad light when actually they are doing an extraordinary job, considering the odds with which they have to work."

"I think it's been conclusively proven that the schools can bring achievement test scores up only so far. The rest has to be done by raising the economic status of the community."

ALABAMA EDUCATION STUDY COMMISSION

dance Dept B'ham Schools August 1973

STUDENT PERFORMANCE AND PER CENT OF

Based on 1971-1972 California Achtevenent Test Results

·	4	· ·		
SS	Per Cent of Expectancy	129*	103	106
MATHEMATICS	Weighted Average Grade Level	3.2,	.5.9	8.1
-	Average Grade Level	4.1*	6.7	8.6
, ,	Per Cent of Expectancy	135*	. 108	. 111
LANGUAGE	Weighted Average Grade Level	3.1	0.9	7.6
\	Average Grade Level	4.2*	5.9	. 8 . 4 . 4
	Per Cent of Expectancy	110*	118	111
READING	Weighted Average Grade Level	3.4	5.7	8.3
د د د	Averáge Grade Level	3.8*	6.7	9.2
,		4TH GRADE	8ТН GRADE	11ТН СВАДЕ

Average Grade Level for the 4th Grade is based on the April 1973 California Achievement Test results and was furnished by the State Department of Education. *Average Grade Level and Per Cent of Expectancy for the 4th Grade not reported by the Alabama Education Study. Commissic

SDE and the Weighted Average Grade Level furnished by the AESC.

Per cent of expectancy for the 4th Grade was computed by using the April 1973 achievement test results reported by the

especially in the Southern region, that traditionally the color of a man's skin has imposed a great inhibiting impact on his economic and social opportunities. Therefore, the following page should be considered with a respectful regard for its validity when looking at Birmingham Achievement scores.

It has already been described that Birmingham has a reasonably good nunicipal income in spite of a vast exodus of upper income White families, that many White children in Birmingham attend private schools, school funds are unevenly allocated, and that the city is becoming heavily populated with minority citizens. Given these conditions one could easily predict that as a whole student achievement is below the national norm, and that within the city itself the predominantly Black schools would yield lower achievement results than predominantly White schools.

The second insert indicates the expected performance levels of Alabama students on the California Achievement Test at the 8th and 11th grades, based on the results of the study reported on the page preceding it. For example, the national norm for 8th graders would be about 8:1 in Reading, Language and Mathematics subtests. However, for Alabama students the average norms on these three subtests are 6.7, 6.5 and 6.7. These figures indicate that, given the income and minority status of Alabama, Alabama students who perform more than one grade level below the national norm are still doing as well as could be expected given their status as citizens of Alabama.

A summary sheet is provided that shows the results of the 8th grade students on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test taken in September of 1973.

Also included is a summary of all 8th grades showing the average of all subtests of the California Achievement Test by school and their rank compared to each other. These summaries were distributed to each elementary school. Upon close inspection one finds that the average of all subtests ranked from 1 to 20 is 9.20 to 7.17. These average results are higher than that expected of students in Alabama as a whole. Seven of these schools have all White enrollments, ten have more than 90% White enrollment, two have 80-90% White enrollment and one has a 70% White enrollment. Three of the all Black schools ranked from 29 to 39, the highest average being 6.50 which is about what is expected in Alabama. Eighteen other all Black schools and twelve having 90% or more Black enrollments ranked from 40.5 to 74 and had average results from 6.04 to 4.44. Clearly then, these achievement results indicate that greater percentages of minority students in schools is related to lower achievement. Information was not secured to suggest that family income levels are in fact highly related to the levels of students performance although one could easily speculate that such is the case. Also, one could suggest that zoning has done litte to distribute students in a manner that has provided better educational opportunities for the poor and minorities. Two more observations can be inserted here: (1). The unequal apportioning of school budgets could be a factor that accounts for some of the lowest achieving schools being so equipped that the teaching materials are inadequate, and (2) as a number of mindrity persons indicated in interviews, that the best Black teachers had been assigned to predominantly White school and the poorest White teachers has been assigned to predominantly. Black schools.

GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST, GRADE 8, SEPTEMBER 1973

AVERAGE OF SUBTESTS BY SCHOOL

The first column gives the name of the school. The second column is the average grade placement score of all of the subtest grade placements for each school: Reading Vocabulary, Reading Comprehension, Mathematics: Computation, Mathematics: Concepts, Language: Mechanics, Usage and Structure, and Spelling. The third column provides the rank of the school on the achievement test compared with other elementary schools in Birmingham.

National Norm: 8.1

Note: The average grade scores for Robinson School and Central Park School include the 8th grade enrichment classes in those schools.

•	Average of	
School .	all Subtests	Rank
Arthur	. 7.37	13
Avondale .	7.77	15
Baker	6.34	33
Barrett	- 6.80	25.5
Brown .	7.91	12
Bush	6.85	24
Calloway	5.47	46.5
Center Street	6.04	40.5
Central Park	8.04	8
Christian	8.60	2
Comer	7.95	9.5
Councill .	4.61	71
Curry	6.24	37
Davis	5,30	57
Dupuy .	5.64	45
Eagan	5.47	46.5
Elyton	6.42	32 _. 25,5
Fairmont	6.80	25,5
Fairview	, 7. 31	14
Finley Avenue	4.80	66 ੂ
Gàte City`	5.72	44
Gibson	6.60	28
Glen Iris	7.00	2 2
Going 🥞 🖰	. 8.41	5
/ Gorgas	6.31	. 35,
Graymont	5.34	\ 55
Green Acres	7.47	13
Hemphill	6.30	36
Hill~	·5·41	52
llolman '	7.74	16
Hudson	4.81	65
•		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	
	' . U	

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

READING SKILLS OF EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS AS SHOWN ON THE READING COMPREHENSION SECTION OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST

Date of Test: September 1973

National Grade Placement:

Mean Grade Equivalent of Birmingham City Students: 6.7

The frequency distribution of scores made by 8th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Tests show that:

- 1. 71 percent, or 3,468 students, were reading below the national norm for 8th grade pupils.
- 2. 1,675 students, or 34 percent (more than one-third of the 8th grade students enrolled in the Birmingham Public Schools) were reading on a 4th grade level and below.
- 3. 9 percent, or 422 students, were reading at 8th grade level.
- 4. 21 percent, or 1,013 students, were reading above 8th grade level.

Total number of 8th grade students in the Birmingham City Schools who took the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test in September 1973, 4,903.

School -	Average of all Subtests	Rank
Huffman Inglenook	8.56 6.77	3 27
Jackson Jones Valley Kennedy	5.22 5.74 6.44	59 42.5 31
Kingston Lakeview	5.32 6.20	56 38
≠ Lee` ** ** ** *** *** *** *** **** ****	7.12 4.70 5.11	21. 69 61
McArthar McCaw	5.40 = 5.17	53° 60
McElwain Minor Minor North Birmingham	7.17 5.00	20 20 263
North Roebuck (" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	7.93 4.07	11 ·
Norwood (*) Oliver: Patterson	5.42 5.74 5.42	587 42.5 50`.5%
Powderly Powell	5.02.	62.3
Price Princeton	4.70% 7.37 4.98	19 19 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64
Putnam Riggins	8.47° - 4.55	12
Riley Robinson Scott	6.50 (5.5) 4. 8.16, (5.5) 6.04	7. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sherman Heights Shields	6.12	39° 50
Smith South East Lake Spaulding	7.95 7.69 4.70	9.3 17 69
Tuggle Tuxedo Washington	5.28 4.44 4.78	74°58 •
West Center Street. Whatley	6.48 4.50	30 • 73
Wilkerson Wilson Wright	5.45 6.88	48 23 6
Wylam	8.17 6.32	34

مر الله

JKC 4/1/74

The rollowing insert entitled, Comparison of Three Reading Programs Used in the Birmingham Public Schools, 1972-1973, gives a summary of first grade students' deviation IQ's and their reading achievement as measured by the Gates MacGinities Reading Test. Presumably, this summary is intended in some way to illustrate the effectiveness of the three reading programs, although the summary sheet fails to indicate such. It should be pointed out that those classes using the Ginn 360 program were from schools having a percentage of Black students ranging from 55% to 100%. According to the 8th grade results previously reported, these schools ranked from 38 to 57 as compared to other elementary schools. The classes using the Harcourt Brace program and having the highest IQ's and mean percentile reading score were represented by two all White, one 90% White, one 90% Black and one all Black school. The eighth grade rankings of the three 'predominantly White schools were 9, 11, and 18. Only one of the Black schools appeared in the eighth grade rankings and it was number 50. Those classes using the Scott Foresman series were also heavily weighted with White pupils, however, incidence of White pupils was less than in those classes using the Harcourt Brace program where there were five schools which were 70% or more White and three schools which were 80% or more Black. It appears that these IQ and achievement results probably are biased by economic and racial variables such that their comparison is useless in terms of evaluating reading programs.

All other achievement and mental abilities results are included in the following pages. Since they were given in each school in the district suffice it to say that these results reflect a 59% Black participation in the elementary grades and 69% Black participation in the high schools. As these results are reported well they can serve the role of being self-explanatory.

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS. GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

COMPARISON OF THREE READING PROGRAMS

USED IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS, 1972-1973

Reading Programs:

- 1. Ginn. 360 Program
- 2. Harcourt Brace Bookmark Program
- 3. Scott Foresman System

Tests Administered:

- 1. Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test, Primary II (for the first half of Grade 1), given in October 1972.
- 2. Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test, Primary A (for Grade 1), given first week of May 1973.

Vocabulary, 15 minutes Comprehension, 25 minutes

Variables:

- 1. Quality of instruction (skill of teacher)
- 2. Background of students (readiness for 1st Grade)
- 3. Number of students in class
- 4. Test administration and scoring:
 - a. Teacher preparation
 - b. Accuracy in scoring and in converting raw scores

SUMMARY:

Name of Program	Number of Classes	Number of Students	Median DIQ* of Students	Median Composite Standard Score on Reading Test**	Mean Composite Standard Score on Reading Test**	%-ile for Mean Composite Standard Score
Ginn 360	j 11	294	87	41	42.1	21
Harcourt Brace	9 ,	· 251 .	97	50	48.8	46
Scott Foresman	20	491	95	48	47.6	42

*Based on the Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test **Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test



CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF LOCAL RIGHT' TO READ PILOT SCHOOL'SITES

(1) Evidence that many students in the Birmingham Public Schools have a reading problem is shown by results of the city-wide testing programs. According to test scores, third grade students in May 1973 on the average were reading some seven months below the national norm; 11th grade students on the average in October 1972 were reading two years below the national norm.

Name of test	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Date of</u> <u>Test</u>	Actual Grade Placement	Grade Equivalent for Paragraph Meaning (or Reading Comprehension	Deviation from National Norm
Metropolitan Reading Test	3	May 1973	3.8	3.1 (Median) `	-0.7
California Achieve- ment Test	4	April 1973	4.7	3.6 (Mean)	-1.1
Stanford Achievement Test	5	April 1973	5.7	4.4 (Nean)	-1.3
Stanford Achievement Test	. 6	April 1973	6.7	5.2 (Mean)	-1.5
Stanford Achievement > Test	7 .	April 1973	7.7	5.9 (Mean)	~1.8
California Achieve- ment Test	8	Oct. 1972	8.1	6.7 (Mean)	~1. 4
· California Achieve- _ment Test	11	Oct. 1972	11.1	9.1 (Mean)	-2.0

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

RESULTS OF

METROPOLITAN READING TEST

THIRD GRADE

SEPTEMBER 1972 AND MAY 1973

/	,	``
GAIN		0.7
IN. FROM L. NORM	May 1973	-0.7
DEVIATION. FROM NATIONAL NORM	Sept. 1972 ·	9.0-
M MEDIAN ACEMENT	May 1973	3.1
BIRMINGHAM MEDIAN GRADE PLACEMENT	Sept. 1972	2.4
l norm Acement	.May 1973	3.8
NATIONAL NORM GRADE PLAÇEMENT	Sept. 1972	3.0
NUMBER TESTED	May 1973	4,382
NUMBER	Sept. 1972	3,980
j		The same of the sa

(top 25%) 995 students were reading at $\cdot 2.9$ grade placement and above. In the first quartile (bottom 25%) 995 students were reading at 2.0 grade level and below; in the third quartile (ton 25%) 995 students the third quartile Fall Testing

(top 25%) 1,095 students were reading at 3,8 grade placement and above. In the first quartile (bottom 25%) 1,095'students were reading at 2.5 grade level and below; in the third quartile Spring Testing

51

READING SKILLS OF FOURTH GRADE STUDENTS IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS As Shown on the Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test

Date of test: April 1973

National Grade Placement: 4.7

Mean Grade Equivalent of Birmingham City Students: . 3.6

The frequency distribution of scores made by 4th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test shows that:

- 1. 60 per cent, or 2,685 students, scored below the national norm for 4th grade pupils.
 - 1,514 students, or 34 per cent of the total number of 4th grade pupils who took the test, scored 2nd grade or below on the test.
- 2. 15 per cent, or 678 students, scored at the 4th grade level.
- 3. 25 per cent, or 1,097 students, scored above the 4th grade level.

Total number of 4th grade students in the Birmingham City Schools who took the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test in April 1973:

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES

READING COMPREHENSION SECTION OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST

Birmingham Public Schools
FOURTH GRADE, APRIL 1973

Frequency distribution of scores, showing the number and per cent of students scoring at each grade level:

	W 7		
Grade Level	Number of Students	Per Cent of Students	-
Below 1st Grade	52	1	
lst Grade	320	. 7	
2nd Grade	1,142	26 .	
3rd Grade	1,171	26 -	
4th Grade	678	25	
5th Grade	* 526 [*]	. 12	
6th Grade	. 221	5	
7th Grade	153	3	
8th Grade and	. 197	<u> </u>	
above		. 41	
	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

53

100

4,460

TOTAL

BIRMINGHAM : LIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEPARIMENT

CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

MEAN SCORES FOR APRIL 1973

GRADE 4

	·		$\overline{}$,							~
DEVIATION FROM STATE NORM		-0.2	-0-3	,	, 0	-0-3	, I	0	٥.	0 1	
DEVIATION FROM NATIONAL NORM		1.1-	1.1-	-	**0-	-1.2		0.5	-1.0	2.0-	
BIRMINGHAM MEAN GRADE PLACEMENT		3.6	3.6	ŧ	4.3	3.5		4.2	3.7	4.0	
STATE MEAN GRADE PLACEMENT	٠	8	3.9		4.3	3.8		4.2	3.7	4.0	
NATIONAL NORM GRADE PLACEMENT		4.7	4.7			./4.7		4.7	4.7	4.7	
•	READING:	VOCABULARY	COMPREHENSION	MATHEMATICS:	COMPUTATION	CONCEPTS & PROBLEMS	LANGUAGE	MECHANICS	USAGE & STRUCTURE	SPELLING	

54

BIRMINGHAM PU TC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEFARIMENT

STANFORD ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

•
1973
9
ı
ထ္ထ
1968
_
ťΩ
Ħ
š
1 AVERAGES
₽ S
٦ برم
₹
3
A
爱
BIRMINGHAM

	` (Gr.	Word . Mean.	Dev.	Par. Mean.	Dev.	Sp.	Dev.	WSS	Ďev.	Lang	Dev.	Arith.	Dev.	Arith. Conc.	D.C.	Arith. Appl.	Dev.
អូ	Nov 1968	5.2	4.3	6	4.1	-1.1	4.4	ω <u>.</u> ι	3.7	-1.5	4.1	7-	4.1	1.1.	4.2	-1.0	4.3	6.
n	Nov 1969	5.3	4.3	-1.0	4.3	-1.0	4.6	7.7	3.7	-1.6	4.2	1-1-1	4.4	6. 1	4.3	-1.0	4.3	-1.0
•	Nov 1970	5.2	4.3	9.	4.2	-1.0	4.5	7	3.6	-1.6	4.1	-1.1	4.2	-1.0	4.3	6.	4.2	-1.0
	Nov 1971	5.2	4.2	-1.0	4.2	-1.0	4.5	7	3.7	-1.5	4.0	-1.2	4.2	-1.0	4.1	1.1.1	4.1	-1.1
.as	Apr 1973	5.7	4.6	-1.1	4.4	-1.3	5.1	9.	NG	•	4.5	-1.2	4.6	1.1.	4.8	۱ و.	4.5	,-1.2
Gr.	Nov 1968	6.2	6.9	-1.3	4.9.	1.3	5.4	, , ,			4.9	-1.3	4.8	-1.4	5.1	-r.1	4.9	-1.3
,	Nov 1969	6.3	3.0	-1;3	4.9	-1.4	5.5	ω. !			5.0	-1.3	5.0	-1.3	5.1	-1.2	4.9	-1.4
-49-	Nov 1970	6.2	4.9	-1.3	4.9	-1.3	5.3	6.			4.8	-1.4	6.4	-1.3	5.0	-1.2	4.8	-1.4
« •	Nov.1971	6.2	5.0	-1.2	4.9	-1.3	5.4	ν, φ`	- •	ı	4.8	-1.4	5.0	-1.2	5.0	-1.2	6.9	-1.3
	Apr 1973	6.7	. 5.0	-1.7	5.2	-1,5	5.6	-1.1	*	•	5.0	-1.7	5.1	-1.6	5.3	-1.4	5.1	-1.6
Gr.	Nov 1968	7.2	v		5.7	-1.5	6.7	ا ئ		,	0.9	-1.2	5.4	1.8	5.9	-1.3	0.9	-1,2
-	Nov 1969	7.3		•	5.7	-1.6	9.9	7			5.9	1-1.4	5.3	-2.0	5.9	,-1.4	5.9	-1.4
	you 1970	7.2			5.6	-1.6	6.5	7			5.8	7.	5.3	-1.9	5.9	-1.3	5.9	-1.3
19	Nov 1971	7.2			5.6	, -1-,	9.9	9.		٠.	5.7	-1.5	5.4	-1.8	5.9	1.3	5.9	-1.3
•	Apr 1973	7.7			. 6. 5	1.8	6.9	ω <u>.</u> !	•		5.8	-1.9	5.7	-2.0	6.2	-1.5	6.2	-1.5
	Ë			:. Æ			·		~,			•						

READING SKILLS OF EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS As Shown on the Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test

Date of test: October 1972

National Grade Placement: 8.1

Mean Grade Equivalent of Birmingham City Students: 6.7

The frequency distribution of scores made by 8th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Tests shows that:

- 1. 70 per cent, or 3,604 students, were reading <u>below</u> the national norm for 8th grade pupils.
 - 1,678 students, or 32 per cent (almost one third of the 8th grade students enrolled in the Birmingham Public Schools) were reading on a 4th grade level and below.
- 2. 8 per cent, or 430 students, were reading at 8th grade level.
- 3. 22 per cent, or 1,144 students, were reading above 8th grade level.

Total number of 8th grade students in the Birmingham City Schools who took the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test in October 1972: 5,178.

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES

READING COMPREHENSION SECTION OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST

Birmingham Public Schools Fighth Grade, October 1972

Frequency distribution of scores, showing the number and per cent of students scoring at each grade level:

				•		
Grade Level	Number	of Stu	idents	Per	Cent of	Students
Below 2nd Grade		7 <i>8</i> .		•	. 2	•
2nd Grade		397			8	•
3rd Grade		413	,	• ,	. 8	*
4th Grade	•	, 790		,	15	į
5th Grade	٠	728	•		14	•
6th Grade,	,	457		·	: 9	•
7th Grade		741		**	. 14	ر د
8th Grade	•	430		•	8	•,
9th Grade		383	***	,	, 7	`
10th Grade ~	•	<i>381</i>	, ,	•	. 7	*
11th Grade		154		• • •	3	,
12th Grade		99		•	, 2	
13th Grade		127	• 1		3	•
•		•				
TOTAL	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5,178	• •		100	>



BIRMINGHAM P IC SCHOOLS
GUIDANCE LLPARTMENT

CALIFORNIA ACHÍEVEMENT TESTS MEAN SCORES FOR OCTÓBER 1971 AND OCTÓBER 1972

GRADE, 8

	- : (1	1		· · ·			· · ·		٦.
DEVIATION FROM STATE NORM) oct. 1972		0+	e de la companya de l	<i>0</i> +1	0-3		+0.2	+0.5	+0.3	1
DEVIATI STATE	0ct.	0+	+0.		-0.2	-0.5	· .	0+	+0.5	£.0+	
ON FROM	Oct. 1972	-1.6	-1.4		5.7-	9°I-		-2.3	6.0-	1.1-	,
DEVIATION FROM NATIONAL NORM	0ct. 1971	-1.6	-1.4			1.6	, , ,	2.3	-1.4	1.1	,
IGHAM MEAN PLACEMENT	oct. 1972	6,5	6.7		6.6	. 6.5	**	. 8 . 2.	7.2	7.0	
ВІВМІНОНАМ МЕАН GRADE PLACEMENT	. Oct.	6.5	6.7	·	9.9	6.5		5.8	.6.7	7.0	¥
STATE MEAN RADE PLACEMENT	. Octs. 1972.	6.5	6.7	•	9.9	6.8	,	. 5.6	6.7	6.7	·
STATE GRADE PL	0ct. 1971.	6.5	9		6.8	7.0		5.8	6.2	6.7	
L NORM ACEMENT	Oct. 1972	8.1	8.1		8.1	8.1	,	8.1	8.1	8.1	
NATIONAL NORM GRADE PLACEMENT	Oct. 1971	1.8.	. 8.1.	·^ ·^ ·	8.1.	8:1	,	. 8.1	8.1-	8.1	
		READIM: VOCABULARY	COMPREHENSION	. MATHEMATICS:	COMPUTATION	CONCEPIS & PROBLEMS	LANGUAGE:	· MECHANICS	USAGE & STRUCTURE	SPELLING	

-52**-**

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

ANNUAL REPORT REGARDING THE OTIS-LENNON MENTAL ABILITY TESTS GIVEN IN HIGH SCHOOLS DURING SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73

The Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test, Forms J and K, was made available to high school counselors to be used for aptitude testing of pupils in three semesters. This was put on an optional basis to meet the needs of the individual school. Twelve of the high schools took advantage of this testing. The total number tested was 4;594. The tests were administered by the high school counselors and raw scores converted into IQ scores by the staff of the Guidance Department. Test results were recorded in duplicate; one copy was sent to the individual school and one copy kept for our files.

The attached sheets give the results of the tests in tabular form. median scores of the schools (in alphabetical order) are as follows:

SCHOOL	£	MEDIAN IQ		GRADE TESTED	
Banks		101	(90% White)	. 9	
Carver	•	81	(All Black)	9	
,Ensley	•	96	(10% White)	9	
Ensley		95	(70% White)	10	
Glenn		87	(10% Black)	9 /	
Hayes	•	80	(All Black)	9	•
Huffman	~	106	(97% white)	9	
Jones Valley		. 89	(55% Black)	9 -	٠.,
Parker		83	(All Black)	9	-
Phillips		- 85	(95% Black)	9 `	
Ramsay	•	· 90 ·	(65% Black)	9	
West End	, ,	89	(70.7. Black)	9	
Western		82	(All Black)	9	59
Woodlawn		Did a	not administer	test this year	,

Did not administer test this year

READING SKILLS OF TENTH GRADE STUDENTS IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS As Shown on the Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test

Date of Test: April, 1973

National Grade Placement: 10.7

Mear Grade Equivalent of Birmingham City Students: 8.2

The frequency distribution of scores made by 10th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test shows that:

- 1. 68 percent, or 3,005 students, were reading below the national norm for 10th grade pupils.
 - (a) 1746 students, or 39 per cent, were reading on a 6th grade level and below.
 - (b) 866 students, or 19 percent of the tenth grade students, had scores which were on a 4th grade level or below.
- 2. 9 per cent, or 420 students, were reading at 10th grade level.
- 3. 23 per cent, or 1,017 students, had scores above the 10th grade level.

Total number of 10th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools who took the Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test in.

April 1973 - Total 4,442.

ERIC Fruit text Provided by ERIC

BIRMINGHAM TTLIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE LIPARTMENT

CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

MEAN SCORES FOR APRIL 1973

GRADE 10

. %

`.		NATIONAL NORM GRADE PLACEMENT	STATE MEAN GRADE PLAÇEMENT	BIRNINGHAM NEAN GRADE PLACEMENT	DEVIATION FROM	DEVIATION FROM STATE NORM
	READING:	·	,		· .	
	VOCABULARY	10.7	6°8	8.5	-2.2	.0-4
 	COMPREHENSION	10.7	9.1	8.2.	-2.5	6.0-
. ,	MATREMATICS:		7	•	,	
	COLEUTATION	10.7	8.5	8.1	-2.6	7.0-
	CONCEPTS & PROBLEMS	10.7	0.6	8.2	-2.5	8.0-
	LANGUAGE:					*
	NECHANICS	10.7	r. 8	7.4	-3.3	1.0.7
I	. USAGE & STRUCTURE	10.7.	9.7	8.1	÷2.6	-1.6
l	SPELLING	, 10.7	.; 8.8.	7.8	-2.9	०•्स−
-1						

READING SKILLS OF ELEVENTH GRADE STEDENTS IN THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS

As Shown on the Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test

Date of test: Optober 1972

National Grade Placement: 11.1

Mean Grade Equivalent of Birningham City Students: 9.1

The frequency distribution of scores made by 11th grade students in the Birmingham Public Schools on the Reading Comprehension section of the California Achievement Test shows that:

- 1. 71 per cent, or 3,019 students, were reading below the national norm for 11th grade pupils.
 - 1,352 students, or 32 per cent (almost one third of the 11th grade pupils enrolled in the Birmingham Public Schools) were reading on a 6th grade level and below.
 - 627 students, or 15 per cent of the 11th grade students, had scores which were on a 4th grade level or bolow.
- 2. 6 per cent, or 277 students, were reading at 11th grade level.
- 3. 23 per cent, or 978 students, had scores above the 11th grade level.

 Total number of 11th grade students in the Birmingham City Schools who took the

 Reading Comprehension Section of the California Achievement Test in October 1972:
 4,274.



FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES

READING COMPREHENSION SECTION OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST

Birmingham Public Schools

ELEVENTH GRADE, OCTOBER 1972

Frequency distribution of scores, showing the number and per cent of students scoring at each grade level:

Grade Level	Number of students	Per Cent of Students
٢		
Below 2nd Grade	7 .	Less than 1
2nd Grade	73	2
3rd Grade	. 253	, 6
4th Grade	294	, 7
5th Grade	374	. 9
6th Grade	351	
7th Grade	377	9
8th Grade	360	9 '
9th Grade	485	, 11
10th Grade	. 445	10
llth Grade	277	. 6
12th Grade '	. 384	9
13th Grade	594	14
, 4		
TOTAL	4,274	200



BIRMINGHAM . 3LIC SCHOOLS GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT

CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

MEAN SCORES FOR OCTOBER 1971 AND OCTOBER 1972

GRADE 11

	NATION GRADE P	NATIONAL NORM GRADE PLACEMENT	STATE MEAN GRADE PLACEMENT	STATE MERN DE PLACEMENT	BIRMINGHAM MEAN GRADE PLAČEMENT	IAM MEAN ACEMENT	DEVIATI NATION	DEVIATION FROM NATIONAL NORM	DEVIATION FROM STATE NORM	ON FROM NORM
	0ct. 1971	oct.	oct. 1971	oct. 1972	oct. 1971	Oct. 1972	oct. 1971	0ct. 1972	0ct.	oct. 1972
REP DING:				*						
VOCABULARY	11.1	11.1	9.3	9.3	8.9	8.9	-2.2	-2.2	-0.4	-0.4
COMPREHENSION	.11.1	11.1	9.6	5.6	1.6	9.1	2.0	-2.0	-0.4	-0.4
MATHEMATICS:			•			·				
	7 7 7			1	ţ	. 7	•	,	s	
	7.77	7.77	8./	۸۰,	8.5	· 8:5	-2.6	-2.6	-0.2	-0.2
CONCEPTS & PROBLEMS	11.1	11.1	0.6	8.7	8.7	8.5	-2.4	-2.6	-0.3	-0.2
						,				5
LANGUNGE:	,		,							•
MECHANICS	11.1	11.1	8.3	8.3	7.9	7.9	-3.2	-3.2	-0.4	-0.4
USAGE & STRUCTURE	11.1	11.1	9.7	. 2.6	8.9	8.9	-2.2	-2.2	-0.8	8-0-
SPELLING	11.1	11.1	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	-1.7	+1.7.	0+	0+
					7					

CHAPTER V

PERCEPTIONS, ATTITUDES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS TOWARD PLAN, PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES

Chapter V, like Chapter IV, is concerned with programs and practices incident to desegregation but with a greater emphasis on perceptions of faculty, staff and students. This section is more directly concerned with their impressions of how informed they were of the latest plan, their role, and how the plan has been implemented.

The Plan

Teachers' Perceptions

Forty teachers from the 12 schools included in this study were interviewed, 29 at the elementary school level and 11 at the high school level. Since their degree of involvement in the zoning plan had little relation to grade level or elementary or secondary status, this interview data is reported as a single group. The questions asked and the responses are listed below:

- How were you involved in the desegregation plan?
 Not involved, affected by, or just reassigned 30
 Volunteered to go to another school 4
 Not here at the time 2
 Attended human relations workshops 2
 Got a reassignment because of extensive travel 2
 Faculty meetings as preparation for desegregation 1
- What problems resulted as a consequence of desegregation? No response - 4 Nothing happened here - 16 White teachers refused to leave their prior schools - 2 There has been a decrease in student academic ability -4 Enrollment has decreased - 2 A high turnover of White teachers - 2 Students now take advantage of the teachers - 1 We have no music, art or library - 1 There was intense racial animosity - 1 White teachers think Black kids can't learn - 1 The poorest White teachers were sent here - 1 There are language barriers between mixed teachers and students - 1 White teachers had stereotypes of Black children - 1 Few White college graduates apply for jobs in Birmingham - 1 The faculty is more divided than the community - 1 White teachers didn't want to accept Black teachers as professionals - 1



65

What were some of the major concerns of the parents? Not aware of any or had no contact - 29 Arbitrarity assigning of teachers at a 50-50 ratio - 2 Fear of student hostility toward Whites * 1 Black parents feared that White teachers would not try to help their children - 1 Black parents feared their children would get hurt - 1 Racially mixed children using the same bathrooms - 1 Lower SES White parents objected the most -1Just mixing children was a problem -/1 White parents feared that contact with Blacks would socially contaminate their children - 1 Parents came to sit in my class to see if I was fair and competent - 1 Parents questioned the ability of Black teachers - 1 Some White parents sent insulting notes to Black teachers - 1

- What were some of the major concerns of the local community? Not aware of any, none here, and no response - 38 Private schools were opened - 1 One school was picketed - 1
- 5. What does the school do to promote racial interaction?
 Either all White or all Black school, nothing and no response 35
 It is left to the individual classroom to do something 1
 A few rap sessions and one student banquet 1
 Conducted a character building program 1
 Try to do something in faculty meetings
 (an all Black student enrollment) 1
 Try to get parents committed to PTA 1

These responses support the information reported elsewhere in this document which indicated that the articulation of the paln was primarily one-way, from the administrative level, that the parents were more involved than teachers through the neighborhood meetings, that only a few teachers were involved in the human relations program, that little has been done at the individual school level to improve race relations, and that those interviewees who were most outspoken did suggest a variety of problems existed but have resulted in very few physical or forceful consequences.

Counselors' Perceptions

The six high school counselors who were interviewed indicated that they were somewhat familiar with the desegregation plan. They had become familiar with the plan primarily through conversations with colleagues and newspaper and radio information. They too indicated that teachers had been involved in the plan on a selective basis and that a few of the schools had sent representatives to be involved in its development. The major teacher concerns were directed toward potential conflicts with students of the opposite race; administrators indicated that they would support the teachers in order to tone down this concern. The administration responded to community concerns by conducting neighborhood rap sessions and through the PTA meetings. A few said that expressed concerns were ignored by the district school officials.



66

All agreed that their schools are essentially doing nothing special to facilitate integration.

Community Persons' Perceptions

Although attempts were made to arrange some group interviews with parents, these efforts were unsuccessful. The general rational was given that parents had invested so much energy during the past decade over this issue that the prevailing attitude is to now accept desegregation and to withdraw as much as possible from its discussion. Thus the only interview data collected from the community level comes from three Black spokesmen, two affiliated with the NAACP and the third a minister.

Most of the discussion centered around conflicts, sit-ins, demonstrations, etc that occured during the early 1960's. However, some information was obtained that is directed toward the current plan and its effectiveness. A summary of these findings follows:

It was agreed that during the time of the zoning plan the rough days were a thing of the past. During the drawing up of the zoning plan there was some degree of concern expressed by Black parents over the arbitrary establishment of zone lines that created inconvenient distances for their children to travel. White parents expressed a concern that the quality of the schools would diminish after they were integrated.

In terms of their expression of current concerns these three individuals revealed a variety of issues and spoke of them with varying degrees of intensity. The following concerns will be categorized according to the speaker.

Number 1 - "I don't seem to hear them (Black parents) talking about a whole lot of things. They are concerned about drop-outs and students becoming disenchanted with schools. Some White teachers haven't learned to relate to Blacks and some aren't trying. Some don't want to be in predominantly Black schools. They appear to be unhappy and they take it out on the Black students. The predominantly Black schools are getting poor White teachers. On the other hand, the predominantly Black schools are losing some of their best teachers to White schools. All of this is a part of the drop-out problem."

"The State still has not been effective in setting up human relations programs. They are still resisting and footdragging. Birmingham is ready to go, but the State is still prohibiting certain things."

"It looks like the Black principals' hands are tied when it comes to dealing with White teachers."

"Blacks are excluded form many social situations and others have been taken out of the schools. Some issues have concerned majorettes and the election of all White class officers in ______ and high schools."

"The PTA is integrated but not taking any bold steps to deal with the school problems."



He felt that a strength lies in the fact that there are two Blacks on the Board of Education who are aware of the problems. Because of their presence the situation has been helped. He continued to say, with respect to the two Black city council members, "We have a few people in legitimate places. That makes the situation look a little hopeful." Also he mentioned another hopeful sign, Operation Birmingham, which has equal proportions of Blacks and Whites who deal with some of the problems of the city. When asked about the new superintendent, he responded, "I don't know too much about him. I read about his new program. I am kind of skeptical about it. They are concerned about Blacks teaching Whites. As long as Blacks were teaching Blacks, everything was allowed."

Number 2 - This interviewee also spoke of the inequity of transferring the best Black and poorest White teachers. In addition he said that with respect to parent concerns, such concerns depend "on the area in which schools are located and the kinds of staffs that are there. There are some communities with very few problems" (e.g. some are less hostile, the principal is fair and honest, the teachers realize this is the law and they may as well accept it). He indicated that he had been well received as a speaker in a predominantly White school. He also said that when Black kids were demonstrating at a high school the principal called him to help get the kids back in class; a grievance list was drawn up and they went back in. He further stated that "Most problems occur when it is hot wehater and during election time," and that there should be some ratio established at each school for a percentage of students equal to the minority enrollment to participate in clubs, offices, activities, etc.

"Black kids in the high schools are very, very belligerent. They resent. White teachers and carry a chip on their shoulders. We have to get them to behave for Whites as they do Blacks. There are only a few occasions when there are problems with Whites not respecting Black teachers."

"There are going to be more plans around designing the curriculum of individuals around what their future plans are" (kids that want to go to college will get college prep courses, etc.). "They will have inservice workshops to train teachers to more adequately deal with students around their needs."

Number 3 - He expressed concerns over the drop-out rate of Black students and the transferring of the best Black and poorest White teachers. Along this line he said, "Black teachers knew the problems of Blacks and inspired them to achieve. Whereas, White teachers try to denigrate Black sudents and compare them with middle-class Whites. Many Blacks thought that White teachers were better qualified because of their preparation and education. Now, they know that this is but a dream." Also, "Black educators have lost esteem in Black neighborhoods since Blacks now have to go across the tracks to school. White teachers are so concerned about making it through the day that they are teaching Blacks that the system is working for them as well as for Whites. So a lot of Blacks are finishing and becoming disillusioned when they find that the opportunities are not there."

. "There are many concerns, but little action because there is a lack of power. In the past there was only lay power (boycotts, demonstrations). But this only stimulated the establishment (police) to counter with more



weapons. Also the Black lay demonstrators are disillusioned; they see no progress; they are still making minimum wages! The Black leaders, however, are satisfied. Whites are now appointing Black leaders (cooptation), but only to powerless boards and commissions. Our only hope is in the new leaders returning from colleges, army, etc. Also, the middle-class Black who now is making a decent wage may feel that he is comfortable and doesn't want to 'rock the boat'. Whites have very cleverly allowed for Blacks to move into very wealthy neighborhoods as a levice to coopt. Race is used as a device to exploit the poor."

How Is The Plan Working

Counselors and teachers were asked: "In general, how successful would you say the integration efforts have been in your school?" Samples of their answers follow:

Counselors:

"The desegregation plan is working in Birmingham and in the _____school as well."

"Student interaction and rapport is good to a certain extent. Groups tent to pull together in a natural way. With the faculty it is pretty good but there is still a pulling away."

"Blacks are not represented much in school leadership positions or extracurriculars."

"There are good student-teacher relationships."

"I think that students in grade ten and above are not place in classes where they can make a good living $\underline{\ }$ "

"Uptown (central administration) gives little support at the individual school level."

Teachers

Thirty-four of the teachers interviewed indicated that the plan was working well, fine or good. The other six responded that it was either not working or was "so-so." Examples of positive and negative responses follow:

Positive

"It works fine, but I-don't always like it. Education doesn't meet the standards it once did, but I don't want to go back to segregation."

"This is a good school, and the principal is working hard to keep it that way. It's a pleasure to be here."

"It's working well, there's lots of interaction."

69

"Things are going smoothly, there's a normal emotional climate, but the academics are falling."

Negative

"My-daughter went to a school where she was in a small minority and made good grades but she became withdrawn due to an adverse social climate. It won't work unless you have integrated housing."

"The instructional level has really declined due to poor quality of students and teachers."

"I have taught 35-36 years in Birmingham. These are the worst cultured and belligerent of all the children I've taught."

"The school is still in a position of coping with change."

"In the three years Black students have caused it to work through demonstrations and have demanded that they be given things and they have gotten them."

Key Factors in Success of Plan

Counselors and teachers alike, who indicated the plan was working well, consistently suggested three factors that had led to successful desegregation:
(1) The initial preparatory sessions, (2) a good understanding between the principal and teachers with respect to the kind of support the staff could expect, and (3) the PTA and other student organizations are becoming integrated enough to include the concerns of all people.

Improving the Plan

Parents and teachers were asked to suggest recommendations that they would make if the integration process were to be done again. The few parent comments were already presented in a previous section. Briefly, they said that there need to be more Black administrators, a better apportionment of the higher quality and poorer teachers of each race, and that attitudes of White teachers toward Black students needs improvement.

A few teachers said that Birmingham did as well as could be expected and offered no recommendations. However, most did offer suggestions; a few of those follow:

The response most frequently given was like this one, "I would start in the first and second grades and slowly add the others."

"We should be integrated with a significant number of White students; the Black students lose by not having cultural contact with Whites."

"I would change the zoning procedures and consider that a student could go to any school he chooses as long as the school was not overcrowled."

"The biggest gripe for Black faculty is the fact that many of them are better qualified for supervisory positions than many of the Whites who are put in those positions."

"In the integrated schools there are no Black principals. The situation needs to be changed."

"Teachers weren't prepared properly, psychologically. A lot of insecurity resulted from the Board telling you your assignment at the last minute."

"There is needed a concerted effort for more teaching materials and teaching mathods to promote a better understanding among races."

"There should be more attempts to correct White parent misconceptions about Blacks that has filtered down to their children."

"Make it a rule that parents would have to spend at least one full day in the school with their child."

"Special classes should be provided in each school for especially low and high achievers. It is unfair to the teacher for too wide a spread in abilities."

"Large classes should be avoided at all costs."

"We need more information meetings - just to discuss styles of learning, culture and knowledge about different experiences."

Social and Friendship Patterns

The preceding chapter gave some attention to the social and friendship patterns of students as perceived by the principals, teachers and counselors. Here, student responses are given. Some of these are summarized while others are verbatim. A total of 25 students from three elementary schools were interviewed and thirty from the high schools. Equal proportions of Black and White students were interviewed; they were from the 6th to the 12th.

Elementary Students - White

There was a general consensus that all have Black friends but there is no mixing outside of school. One boy said that he didn't like a Black boy in his class because he, "tells lies and is dishonest." Another White boy mentioned that a Black boy had visited his house and it was Q.K. — even his mother spoke to him. A few White girls felt that they would be upset if a Black boy sat next to them, their parents would be especially upset. Another White boy said that he was the only White on the basketball team; he laughingly said that he was a token White and was treated kindly by the other players. Other interviewees felt that it was important for Black and White students to be in school together because it would help them get along when they became adults.



Elementary Students - Black

For the most part the Black students confirmed the general negative view Whites have of them. For example, one child said, "The White students are O.K. but they think they are better than we are." Another said, "We have lots of arguments. A White girl called me a nigger." A student said there are lots of fights between Blacks and Whites, but not many between Blacks and Blacks or Whites and Whites. Most students indicated that the reverse was true. Also most said that Black and White students separate themselves in the cafeteria, on the playground and at activities.

High School Students - White



In general, the majority of White interviewees seemed to resent the fact that Black students were in their school and participating in activities. In the most desegregated high school it seemed that competition from Blacks was the major issue, while in the high school with only a few Blacks the negative attitudes seemed to be based specifically in prejudice. Those who were not against Blacks being in the schools were strongly in favor of desegregation, there appeared to be no middle ground opinions expressed. One student declared, "Blacks now demand two of everything, two homecoming queens, one Black and one White; we must now have a Black majorette." At the same time a girl was obviously upset that a Black girl had not been selected as a majorette even though she was the best of all those who tried out.

There is no interracial dating at either of these two schools. One Black boy has parties attended by Whites but there is no mixed dancing. Others claimed to have close Black friends but did not visit their homes.

Some random comments follow:

"I mean everyone would be a lot happier if Blacks and Whites went to their own school. I mean I like them now but why do Blacks and Whites have to come pegether; if they wanted to, they just would."

"Integration is alright but I don't like to be forced into it."

Speaking of student government offices, a White boy commented, "It's ridiculous to give a colored person an office like that and a sense of power. This is a White school and the Whites should run it." A girl responded, "I don't think it matters unless that person hates White people and I don't think that's true of most colored people here." The same boy answered that he's prejudiced and feels it is the general attitude. Another student disagreed by saying that he felt it was nearer to being half are and half aren't.

"If they moved into my neighborhood, I would move out."

"They just site ack on welfare and take money from the Federal Government."

"It doesn't matter how a Black treats me, I just couldn't be friends with one. My father says that I say it too."



72

"My father use to say that too -- but he doesn't any more."

The students also expressed a fear of Black students because they felt they are violent. In addition, they said Blacks stick together and so do Whites, they're "almost obligated to do so." Finally, some Whites compalined that they had to attend "Black" programs during Black History Week and on Martin Luther King Day.

High School Students - Black

The social and friendship attitudes of Black students toward White students are illustrated by the following comments.

"Some of them are 0.K. but some of them are prejudiced, about half and half."

"We have some White friends. I have one." Only one other student said they had a White friend. Some said, "I don't have one." ..

"There is separation between the Blacks and Whites." Students in one school said that students segregate themselves by race in the cafeteria with the exception of one table. These students also said that the Blacks and Whites had separate proms because they could not compromise on naming a band, place, price or time.

"I don't htink you should get too close to these people (White students). All they do is tolerate you and then behind your back they talk about you."

"I get together in groups to talk about class stuff; otherwise, I don't have no White friends."

Given the attitudes previously expressed by White students, it appears as if those attitudes are perceived by the Black students and they respond according in affect and in social circumstances.

Attitude Toward School and Teachers

The same students who responded to the previous section also responded to questions relative to their feelings about their school and teachers.

Elementary Students - White

Students from two of the three schools said that they liked their school. Those who viewed their school in a negative fashion did so in a joking way as if it were too pathetic to be taken seriously as a school; for example, it had no library and the textbooks; for the most part, were ten years old. They also felt that their teachers were poor and the principal was incompetent. All expressed which over not being properly prepared for high school. (Note: This school was indeed the most depressing and poorly equipped of all those our research team visited).

Students from both of the other schools indicated a dislike for the dress codes. Also, both had a new principal; one was like better than the predecessor, the other less. Both groups said that their teachers were

one of the better aspects of the schools. Generally, two factors accounted for the liking of teachers — offering a variety of activities and a personal regard for the students. They indicated that Black and White teachers treated them fairly. However, like the group that disliked their school, both of these complained about having old textbooks and old library books.

Elementary Students - Black

The general impressions of the Black interviewees was the same as those of the White groups - two favorable, one unfavorable. All groups expressed that the White students were O.K. but thought they were better than Black students. They also felt that teachers were more strict with Black students. However, all liked their teachers with only a few exceptions not related to race. Only one group liked their principal.

High School Students - White

Both groups expressed that their schools were pretty good. One said that half the students who went to college had to be placed in remedial classes. The other group said that the teachers didn't seem to care much about the students. One group said the school had run down with an influx of Black students who "tear stuff up." Both felt that Blacks get away with behavior that Whites would be suspended for doing. In one school the counselors were perceived as busy workers doing scheduling, etc. while in the other they were more personally oriented. Both felt a lack of contact of students with the principal. They felt that some older Black and White teachers showed prejudice toward students. Students in one school said they got along better with Black teachers than White teachers. Some Black teachers don't understand White students and are viewed as too strict, just tying to show off their authority over White boys, but most are O.K.

High School Students - Black

The students interviewed in the all Black high school were not pleased with the educational experience they were given. They also felt that they were being treated like younger children. One White teacher was viewed as being especially poor, even if he taught White students. However, there was some feeling expressed that the school was O.K.

Some comments about the school in general follow.

"It's alright. They need more Blacks in school. Then we'd have Black football players, cheerleaders and majorettes."

When asked, "What's wrong with White majorettes and football players?" the reply was, "They don't represent me. I have no feelings for them what-soever. I don't even go to the basketball games. The only reason I go to the football games is because I play in the band."

"I don't like it. Everybody's prejudiced to me."

"If there were more Black students over here we could have more programs like Black History Week."



"I think it's a good school but there are adjustments that need to be made...like our lunchroom, the food they serve is supposed to be healthy but it don't look healthy."

Both groups said they dislike their principals. When asked what kind of support he gave them they unanimously answered "none."

The following comments were made about the teachers.

"My history teacher is prejudiced all the way."

"We'll come out better with a White teacher than a Black teacher."

"Black teachers expect more of us than a White teacher."

"I got this teacher (Black), she will let a White get away with anything but let a Black do it she's all upon your back telling you what you should do and shouldn't do."

"They have some understanding teachers up here and this year they have the best counselors we've had in a good while."

"It's the older teachers who cause the trouble (Black and White)."

When speaking of good and bad teachers the common response was like this one, "it's not a color thing, some are good and some are bad."

Interview with the Superintendent

It seems appropriate to conclude this chapter with a summary of an interview with the superintendent. During the time of our field study he had held his present position for less than one year. He said that the major factors that led him to accept his assignment evolved around the progress Birmingham had made over the past decade and the sincere concern and commitment on the part of the town fathers and school board toward making the public school system one of high quality.

Some of his impressions of the effectiveness of Birmingham's desegregation plan follow. In terms of race relations he feels that a breaking down of racial stereotypes has begun. In the area of faculty desegregation, there has been considerable progress. And, from all indications, the various communities seem to be accepting desegregation passively. Although the school system is not very well balanced with respect to student enrollment, he feel that the system may be as balanced as it can be due to the characteristics of the district itself, i.e. housing patterns; shifting population from city to county; White flight; uneven growth within the city; decline in the student population due to highway and airport construction, and a declining birth rate. He also feels that the achievement has generally declined and the quality of the school system has gone down, yet there has been little pressure to improve in these areas:

75

, He also pointed out some problems that currently exist. Some of the building facilities are in a state of disrepair, especially in the poorer neighborhoods. The annexation of a few surrounding areas is needed. And the allocation of monetary resources is unequally distributed among the schools.

Some major priorities were also summarized - a comprehensive reorganization of the district, from the administrative level on down; encourageing individualization of student instruction; and introducing a plan of continuous internal assessment. Some of these priorities are stated more specifically in outline form below.

- I. Improve Quality of Instruction in all the Schools for all the Students.
 - A. Accredit the Elementary Schools.

Establishing libraries in all schools, including staff, books and equipment, reducing pupil-teacher ratios; creating an elementary guidance program; and increasing amount of per pupil expenditure.

B. Establish an extensive staff training program.

To provide 10 days of training for one-half the professional staff each year.

- C. Establish a "Mini-grant" program to support teacher innovations.
- D. Provide adequate time for teachers to plan instruction by varying the length of the student's day.
- E. Reduce clerical work of teachers thereby freeing time for instruction.
- II. Achieve a Mastery of the Basic Skills by all Pupils.
 - A. Establish a Kindergarten for all five-year olds who qualify under Federal ESEA, Title I Guidelines.
 - B. Increase the amount of time devoted to basic skills instruction for those children who have difficulty with mastery.
 - C. Increase teacher's abilities to teach the basic skills.
 - D. Establish a special program for third graders who have not mastered the basic skills to the 2nd grade and 6 month level.
 - E. Establish "Continuous Progress Instruction" as the main instructional philosophy in the school system.
- III. Provide a Curriculum and Instruction Program Appropriate to Young Adolescents by Establishing Middle Schools.

- IV. Modify the Curriculum of the High Schools to Increase the Range of Opportunities and Relevance to Modern Society.
 - A. Upgrade and extend the Career Education/Vocation Education programs available to students.
 - B. Establish "Service to Others" as a recognized part of the high school curriculum.

It was observed that all these recommendations are appropriate and needed. We were extremely impressed with the knowledge, intellect, and enthusiasm of this man.

CHAPTER VI

ANALYSIS -- PLAN, PROGRAMS, PRACTICES, PROCEDURES

A Summary of Birmingham's Plan

In 1969 a decision by the United States District Court decreed that the freedom of choice plan was no longer adequate as a method for desegregating dual school systems. Consequently, Birmingham was directed to submit to the Court a plan intended to disestablish the dual school system. This directive came subsequent to Birmingham to utilize the freedom of choice plan.

The third plan, implemented in 1970 and still in effect, was developed jointly by the Birmingham Board of Education and the court's designated collaborator, the Auburn University Center for Assistance to School Systems with Problems Occasioned by Desegregation. Also, member of the NAACP and the Justice Department assisted in developing the plan before it was submitted to the court.

The proposed plan was divided into four major parts that were discussed in Chapter II and are repeated below:

Student Assignments. Birmingham continued to employ the same organizational structure consisting of elementary schools (grades 1-8) and high schools (grades 9-12). Eight previously all Black elementary schools were closed and the remaining 76 divided into attendance areas, and one previously all Black high school was closed and the city divided into 13 high school attendance areas. A provision was provided for majority-to-minority student transfers at both levels; such right to transfer dependent on the capacity of the receiver school. An additional provision allowed high school students to transfer to a school offering a particular curriculum that was unavailable in his designated school zone. Twelfth graders were allowed, if their parents desired, to continue in the school they attended in 1969-70 in 1970-71. Finally, a small number of students from the county were allowed to continue in particular city schools.

Faculty and Staff Assignments. The board's intention was to assign staff so that 25-33 1/3% of each school's faculty would be in the racial minority.

Buildings and Facilities; Construction and Site Selection. The plan porposed 18 improvement projects for elementary schools and six projects for high schools in order to facilitate the achievement of a unitary school system.

School Activities. The plan merely provided for a merger of all school sponsored functions, i.e. athletics, clubs, leadership groups, etc., insuring equal opportunities.

Minor modifications were suggested by the court but at a later hearing,

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

78

-72_

most were ruled inappropriate and the court concurred with the school board's proposal.

As the school district had not bused students prior to submitting the new plan, busing was not an issue.

The reassignment of teachers resulted in minor resistance, primarily with Whites, but no one lost a job as a consequence; those who left the system did so voluntarily. Principals and administrators too were reassigned and did not lose employment.

Attrition of White students began in 1963 and 1964 and had reached 8,500 by the end of freedom of choice. Since 1970 an additional 8,500 have left. With such a rapid and substantial loss of students and the subsequent shifting Black population, it has been impossible to achieve complete desegration with a zone plan. Thus, more than 25% of the public schools now have either an all Black or all White enrollment.

Birmingham City School District -- A Comparative Desegregation Study Site

Birmingham City School District was selected to participate in this study because it was identified from a collection of resource data as a district that developed and implemented a conflict-free (the current plan) and effective plan. Also, it is located in the southern region and is reflective of a large school system, both criteria for comparative purposes. The major purpose of the project was to identify districts that has been effective and successful in their attempts at desegregation and to describe the processes that led to its effectiveness and/or success.

Seven criteria and accompanying indicators were used to assess the degree to which a district was effective. The following is a matching of the findings in Birmiagham against these criteria.

Criteria I. Evidence that majority and minority students and staff are structurally integrated* into the social system of the school so both hold statuses and plan roles that are equal in power and prestige.

C-1
Indicators -- 1. Composition of the student body in each school -As earlier indicated, Birmingham is a partially desegregated school system with more than 25% of the school being all Black or all White in enrollment.
This finding is not unusual in larger urban cities.
Of the 89 schools only four had racial distributions within the 60%-40% range. Most often distribution

*Structural Integration (definition used by the California State Department of Education)...that situation in which staff member, children and parents of all ethnic groups hold statuses and play roles throughout the school system that are equivalent in power and prestige to those statuses occupied by member of other ethnic groups.

ranges were 80% or more of either race in a particular school.

- 2. Ethnic composition of staff in each school —
 The Black/White staff ratio of 50% each in each school is well within the expected range. There are a few more Black than White teachers in the system. Also, there are more Black teachers in the elementary schools, but more White teachers in the high schools. This difference was justified in terms of the availability of certified subject specialists. Information on principals was not obtained.
- Distribution of majority/minority group students. in each class -- The court did not mandate the distribution of students within each school nor across classes. Observations in elementary school classrooms indicated that each class had distribution comparable to that of the school at large. observed in the one well-integrated high school also reflected good distributions. However, it was indicated that classes in ROTC and foods were primarily Black while classes in trigonomentry, physics and chemistry were almost all White. It was also found that homogeneous grouping for reading caused some resegregation at the elementary level, and that tracking at the high school level resulted in a vast majority of Black students being in the general diploma program.
- 4. Discipline Students, teachers, principals, advisors and counselors generally agreed that discipline was equally administered.
- 5. Integration of minority group members into organizations and activities of school Organizations and activities at the elementary level were meager. Student participation seemed to be based on the racial ratios in a given school, or by the ethnicity of the coach. It was indicated here, as well as at the high school level, that nothing specific was being done to encourage more student participation. Most elementary activities are sports related. One school had racially mixed class officers as decreed by the principal.

The high school having less than a 5% Black enrollment only had Black members participating in one athletic area, track. Blacks in clubs are few and limited. At the best integrated high school most teams and activities were relatively well integrated; exceptions were the all Black Pep Club and basketball team and the predominantly White choir.

- 6. Patterns of students/student interaction 0 Few friendships were reported, almost none at all beyond the school grounds. Blacks were frequently resented in the high schools. There was little mixture in the cafeterias or libraries. There was interaction in the classrooms and on the playgrounds. The school made little provision for social interaction.
- Criterion 2. Evidence that cultural racial isolation has been reduced and is reflected in the heterogeneity of academic and nonacademic activities.

C-2

- Indicators -- 1. (In addition to all the above indicators) A sense of fellowship and mutual respect, as demonstrated by staff and student planning exists -- All observations and marginal interview data indicated that the planning function was maintained by the teachers. Neither observations nor interviews were long enough or great enough in number or adequately tap this criterion.
 - 2. Evidence of avoidance of academic stereotyping —
 Teachers at the elementary level stereotyped more
 on economic and social variables than on race; however, the lower socio-economic students were Black.
 At the high school level students select a course
 of study that tracks them. Again those in the general track were greatly saturated by Blacks. If we
 can say stereotyping was in effect, it was so indirectly.
 - 3. Evidence that teachers have the authority that enables them to work confidently and flexibly with students of varying abilities and talents Observations indicated that teachers have the authority and flexibility to work with students of varying abilities, but only a few did so. Teachers appeared to be rigid traditionalists who could benefit from staff development in-service programs directed toward this issue. A few teachers relied less than most on the outdated testbooks and attempted to make the subject content relevant and on a personal level.
- Criterion 3. Evidence of mutual understanding and positive interaction between majority and minority students and staff.
- C-3
 Indicators -- 1. School's atmosphere All of the schools had a peaceful aura during our visits. At the same time there were no indicators of brotherly love to be seen. The situation is best described as peaceful



coexistence. Interviewees could not recall any racial incidents over the past year and only two over the past four years.

- Student attitudes With the exception of one school the students generally said their schools were fine or good.
- 3. Counseling and guidance services These services were available to both races. Individual counselors were viewed as either good with records, transcripts, advising, etc. while others were viewed as more personally oriented. Black and White students alike held this view and it included counselors of both races.
- Criterion 4. Evidence of Curriculum offerings and materials reflecting cultural diversity.
- Indicators -- 1. Curriculum offerings related to minority experience or to majority/minority relations Nothing uniform has been done; by the district to incorporate minority experiences into the general offerings although the majority of students are Black. Textbooks are old and very little multi-ethnic materials were in the classrooms or libraries. Nothing seems to be done besides the celebration of Black History courses in the high schools.
 - Library volumes related to the minority experience -This area was not ivestigated intensively. The little
 data we secured suggested that such materials were
 minimal.
 - 3. Evidence of varied instructional techniques designed to meet the different learning styles of students All instruction was either traditional or in homogeous grouping. Teachers were aware of learning differences but had no idea how to assess, understand or teach to them.
- Criterion 5. Evidence of successful academic achievement by both majority and minority students.
- Indicators -- 1. Achievement Data on Students in school All achievement data collected indicates that the White students (at least those in the school having White majorities) perform higher and the reverse is true of Black students. Generally, their achievement is separated by the equivalent of two grade levels.



Criterion 6. Evidence of comprehensive efforts to develop and offer programs aimed at equalizing educational opportunity.

C-6
Indicators -- 1. Evidence of Title I, ESAP or other funds to develop compensatory programs - Many special funds were provided for students from grades K-11 and special funds were secured to conduct human relations inservice workshops.

- 2. Evidence of the use of resources within and outside the school district to help devise programs aimed at equalizing educational opportunity Early in the human relations programs resources from Auburn University were called upon. Evidence of other resources were minimal.
- 3. Attempts at in-service training aimed at program development Other than the human relations training there was no evidence of attempts to improve programs through in-service procedures.
- Criterion 7. Evidence of parent and community involvement in the desegregation process.

C-7
Indicators -- 1. Existence of a citizen's committee, or advisory committee, to assist with desegregation plans - The only committee established was for the purpose of holding rap sessions in various neighborhoods prior to the implementation of the plan in order to articulate the new plan and to disspell rumors.

- 2. Evidence of bi-racial school committees No committees existed at the schools visited.
- 3. Evidence that parents and school community are kept informed about problems and successes in the integration process School news as reported by the newspapers and radio are the major methods for keeping parents and communities informed. The degree to which these media were utilized was not ascertained.

CHAPTER VII

"INTEGRATION" IN RETROSPECT

Some Concluding Observations

Jane Mercer, á Sociologist at the University of California at Riverside, California, uses in her studies of school district's desegregation a five stage policy model designed to determine where on the segregation to integration continuum a district falls. Since her model has implications for this paper it is briefly described below:

- Stage 5 Moving Toward Integration: Philosophic Stance--Equality of educational output, cultural pluralism.
- Stage 4 Comprehensive Desegregation: Philosophic Stance--Schools should have the same ethnic proportions as the district's population, students should have equality of educational opportunity--the latter defined in terms of input, same teachers, schools and texts.

Stage 3 - Token Desegregation: Philosophic Stance -- District no longer denies responsibility to desegregate, it alters boundaries, builds new schools, moves toward open enrollment and uses as the underlying theme freedom of choice.

- Stage 2 De Facto Desegregation: Philosophic Stance--The Board of Education does not have the responsibility to change a pattern that it did not cause. The main theme is the neighborhood school.
- Stage 1 De Jure Desegregation: Philosophic Stance--It is not the legal responsibility of the Board to desegregate. The question is raised as to whether the responsibility belongs to the state of to the district.

The Birmingham School District, prior to 1963, was an Stage 1 of the model. From 1963 to 1968, under the freedom of choice plan, the district was at a point some where between Stages 2 and 3. Currently, it is this writer's opinion, the district is operating between Stages 3 and 4, closer to 4. This opinion may be viewed as too liberal by some, but due to the following qualifications it is felt that Birmingham deserves this rating. In the first place, like most models, Mercer's Stages contain descriptors extensive enough for a district pot to be able to meet all the criterion at one stage but, at the same time, meet some criteria at a more advanced stage. In addition, as a comparative study, smaller districts characteristically find it more manageable due to size and manpower to make rapid advances through a stage model. Finally, the model itself can be more restrictive than its original intent, and therefore, doesn't make appropriate allowances for districts operating at a different level of criteria.



In terms of the Stage 3 criteria, Birmingham has long accepted the stance that it was their responsibility to desegregate. It has changed its boundaries, closed some inadequate facilities and improved others in order to disestablish a dual school system. Although it expresses a philosophy of open enrollment, it was demographically impossible to desegregate all schools in 1970, an observation concurred with by the court. Acting under the orders of the court, a zoning plan was produced which would have desegregated almost every school had the parents complied and maintained their housing sites. Also, under the sanction of the court, busing was not considered appropriate because the system had no busing program and the city is so large that desegregation of schools in some communities other than by busing was and is an impossible task. under the existing constraints, Birmingham attempted a program of Comprehensive Desegregation as it was able to do so. An additional factor that best lies in the Comprehensive Desegregation category is the extent to which they have desegregated the staffs. Opinions suggest that this was not done in an equitable manner but, nevertheless, all students are in schools with completely desegregated teaching staffs.

Some of the Mercer criteria are difficult to interpret consistently. One such criterion, at Stage 4, is that students should have equality of educational opportunity. At one level one might correctly observe that even in the most homogeneous of educational settings the opportunities. afforded children at the individual level show great variance. At another level, the one in force in Birmingham, equal opportunity means that at the building level all students have access to the same materials, facilities and comparable teachers. In this sense there is equal opportunity. At the same time there were observed discrepancies in quality and budgetary allocations across schools. In this sense, opportunities are not equal, but not directly because of the race of the students. If one were to interpret equal opportunity in accord with the current educational jargon, the position would be that all students should be taught individually and through techniques appropriate to a variety of learning styles, be they related to race, culture, income level, etc. This position is an ideal, not a technologically practical ability. But up to the current investigation Birmingham has not recognized this view as an objective and for that reason should be rated between Stages 3 and 4.

Another consideration when using the Mercer model to explain or discuss the status of a district's desegregation plan is that one must refrain from assuming that all segments of the community are at the same point on the continuum. It is conceivable that the Board of Education and the district administration could be at a more advanced stage or level of understanding, operation and/or acceptance than parents, other persons in the community or even members of the professional staff in the district. This is often true because of obligations placed on boards of education and school administrations as legal entities to desegregate or otherwise rectify some ill that might heretofore have been perpetuated. An indicator of such a discrepancy is vividly illustrated by the White withdrawal from the public schools to the surrounding county and private schools.

One of the warmest feelings the study team was left with was the potential for improvement within the Mercer model in Birmingham. In spite of



many observations of ineffective education the new leadership and the proposed priorities for improvements are incredibly well founded and conceived. If the expected educational quality accompanies these proposed modifications one could easily speculate that children will return from the private and county schools. Another vitally important factor is the soundness and liberal leadership of the municipal government which goes hand-in-hand with education in Birmingham.

Final Remarks

The data in this report were gleaned from prepared documents, formal and informal observations, and from structured and unstructured interviews. As a study team of five spent five days in only 12 of the 91 schools, this report is by no means exhaustive. Many constraints, primarily time and money, prevented a more thorough study. However, the team attempted to collect the most relevant data and report it in such a fashion that others might learn and benefit from the story of Birmingham.

APPENDIX A

Court Decision Requiring the Implementation of Freedom of

Choice in 1967-68

Ar Donles Boy 114 35202

Freedom of Chair

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

DHIGHT ARUSTRONG, ET AL,

Plaintiffs

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 9678

FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE NORTHEAD THEFTED

•

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF BIRDLEGHAM, JEFFERS COUNTY, ALABAMA, ET AL.

Defendants

MAY 8 - 1507 Due VE /2 Man 1 William

In conformity with the mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, sitting en banc, in the case of United States, et al v. Jefferson County Board of Education, et al (March 29, 1967), the decree of this court entered herein on July 28, 1964, is hereby amended, and corrected to read as follows:

CORRECTED DECREE

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the defendants, their agents, officers, employees and successors and all those in a tive concert and participation with them, be and they are permanently enjoined from discriminating on the basis of race or color in the operation of the Birmingham Public School System. As set out more particularly in the body of the decree, they shall take affirmative action to disestablish all school segregation and to eliminate the effects of the dual school system:

SPEED OF DESEGREGATION

Commencing with the 1967-68 school year, in accordance with this decree, all grades, including kindergarten grades, shall be desegregated and pupils assigned to schools in these grades without regard to race or color.

II.

EXERCISE OF CHOICE

- . The following provisions shall apply to all grades:
- exercised by a parent or other adult person serving as the student's parent. A student may exercise his own choice if he (1) is exercising a choice for the ninth or a higher grade, or (2) has reached the age of fifteen at the time of the exercise of choice. Such a choice by a student is controlling unless a different choice is exercised for him b, his parent or other adult person serving as his parent during the choice period or at such later time as the student exercises a choice. Each reference in this decree to a student's exercising a choice means the exercise of the choice, as appropriate, by a parent or such other adult, or by the student himself.
- (b) Annual Exercise of Choice. All students, both white and Negro, shall be required to exercise a free choice of schools annually.
- (c) Choice Period. The period for exercising choice shall May 15 May 31 eommence Narkal, 1967 and end Jumenal, 1967, and in subsequent years shall commence March 1 and end March 31 preceding the school year for which the choice is to be exercised. No student or prospective student who exercises his choice within the choice period shall be given any preference because of the time within the period when such choice was exercised.

- a choice within the choice period shall not preclude any student from exercising a choice at any time before he commences school for the year with respect to which the choice applies, but such choice may be subordinated to the choices of students who exercised choice before the expiration of the choice period. Any student who has not exercised his choice of school within a week after school opens shall be assigned to the school nearest his home where space is available under standards for determining available space which shall be applied uniformly throughout the system.
- choice period opens, the defendants shall arrange for the conspicuous publication of a notice describing the provisions of this decree
 in the newspaper most generally circulated in the community. The
 text of the notice shall be substantially similar to the text of
 the explanatory letter sent home to parents. Publication as a
 legal notice will not be sufficient. Copies of this notice must
 also be given at that time to all radio and television stations
 located in the community. Copies of this decree shall be posted
 in each school in the school system and at the office of the
 Superintendent of Education.
- (f) Mailing of Explanatory Letters and Choice Forms. On the first day of the choice period there shall be distributed by first-class mail an explanatory letter and a choice form to the parent (or other adult person acting as parent, if known to the defendants) of each student, together with a return envelope addressed to the Superintendent. Should the defendants satisfactorily demonstrate to the court that they are unable to comply with the requirement of distributing the explanatory letter and choice form by first-class mail, they shall propose an alternative method which will maximize individual notice, i.e., personal notice to

parents by delivery to the pupil with adequate procedures to insure the delivery of the notice. The text for the explanatory letter and choice form shall essentially conform to the sample letter and choice form appended to this decree.

- Extra copies of the Explanatory Letter and Choice Form.

 Extra copies of the explanatory letter and choice form shall be

 freely available to parents, students, prospective students, and

 the general public at each school in the system and at the office

 of the Superintendent of Education during the times of the year

 when such schools are usually open.
- (h) Content of Choice Form. Each choice form shall set forth the name and location and the grades offered at each school and may require of the person exercising the choice the name, address, age of student, school and grade currently or most recently attended by the student, the school chosen, the signature of one parent or other adult person serving as parent, or where appropriate the signature of the student, and the identity of the person signing. No statement of reasons for a particular choice, or any other information, or any witness or other authentication, may be required or requested, without approval of the court.
- (i) Return of Choice Form. At the option of the person completing the choice form, the choice may be returned by mail in person, or by messenger to any school in the school system or to the office of the Superintendent.
- (j) Choices not on Official Form. The exercise of choice may also be made by the submission in like manner of any other writing which contains information sufficient to identify the student and indicates that he has made a choice of school.
- (k) Choice Forms Rinding. Whe, a choice form has once been submitted and the choice period has empired, the choice is binding for the entire school year and may not be changed except

in cases of parents making different choices from their children under the conditions set forth in paragraph II (a) of this decree and in exceptional cases where, absent the consideration of race, a change is educationally called for or where compelling hardship is shown by the student. A change in family residence from one neighborhood to another shall be considered an exceptional case for purposes of this paragraph.

- (1) Preference in Assignment. In assigning students to schools, no preferences shall be given to any student for prior attendance at a school and, except with the approval of court in extraordinary circumstances, no choice shall be denied for any reason other than overcrowding. In case of overcrowding at any school, preference shall be given on the basis of the proximity of the school to the homes of the students choosing it, without regard to race or color. Standards for determining overcrowding shall be applied uniformly throughout the system.
- (m) Second Choice where First Choice is Denied. Any student whose choice is denied must be promptly notified in writing and given his choice of any school in the school system serving his grade level where space is available. The student shall have seven days from the receipt of notice of a denial of first choice in which to exercise a second choice.
- (n) Transportation. Where transportation is generally provided, buses must be routed to the maximum extent feasible in light of the geographic distribution of students, so as to serve each student choosing any school in the system. Every student choosing either the formerly white or the formerly Negro school nearest his residence must be transported to the school to which he is assigned under these provisions, whether or not it is his first choice, if that school is sufficiently distant from his home to make him eligible for transportation under generally applicable transportation rules.

- any officials not to Influence Choice. At no time shall any official, teacher, or employee of the school system influence any parent, or other adult person serving as a parent, or any student, in the evercise of a choice or favor or penalize any person because of a choice made. If the defendant school board employs professional guidance counselors, such persons shall base their guidance and counselling on the individual student's particular personal, academic, and vocational needs. Such guidance and counselling by teachers as well as professional guidance counsellors shall be available to all students without regard to race or color.
- authority school officials are responsible for the protection of persons exercising fights under or otherwise affected by this decree. They shall, without delay, take appropriate action with regard to any student or staff member who interferes with the successful operation of the plan. Such interference shall include harassment, intimidation, threats, hostile words or acts, and similar behavior. The school board shall not publish, allow, or cause to be published, the names or addresses of pupils exercising rights or otherwise affected by this decree. If officials of the school system are not able to provide sufficient protection, they shall seek whatever assistance is necessary from other appropriate officials.

III.

PROSPECTIVE STUDENTS

Each prospective new student shall be required to exercise a choice of schools before or at the time of enrollment. All such students known to defendants shall be furnished a copy of the rescribed letter to parents, and choice form, by mail or in person, on the date the choice period opens or as soon thereafted after as the school system learns that he plans to enroll. Where there is no pre-registration procedure for newly entering students, copies

of the choice forms shall be available at the Office of the Superintendent and at each school during the time the school is usually open.

IV

TRANSFERS

- (a) Transfers for Students. Any student shall have the right at the beginning of a new term, to transfer to any school from which he was excluded or would otherwise be excluded on account of his race or color.
- a course of study not offered at the school to which he has been assigned may be permitted, upon his written application, at the beginning of any school term or semester, to transfer to another school which offers courses for his special needs.
- fendants operate and maintain special classes or schools for physically handicapped, mentally retarded, or diffed children, the defendants may assign children to such schools or classes on a basis related to the function of the special class or school that is other than freedom of choice. In no event shall such assignments be made on the basis of race or color or in a manner which tends to perpetuate a dual school system based on race or color.

ν.

SERVICES, FACILITIES, ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS

No student shall be segregated or discriminated against on account of race or color in any service, facility, activity, or program (including transportation, athletics, or other extracurricular activity) that may be conducted or sponsored by the school in which he is enrolled. A student attending school for the first time on a desegregated basis may not be subject to any.

disqualification or waiting period for participation in activities and programs, including athletics, which might otherwise apply because he is a transfer or newly assigned student except that such transferees shall be subject to longstanding, non-racially based rules of city, equal, or state athletic associations dealing with the eligibility of transfer students for athletic contests. All school use or school-sponsored use of athletic fields, meeting rooms and all other school related services, facilities, activities, and programs such as commencement exercises and parent-teacher meetings which are open to persons other than enrolled students, shall be open to all persons without regard to race or color. All special educational programs conducted by the defendants shall be conducted without regard to race or color.

VI.

SCHOOL EQUALIZATION

Inferior Schools. In schools heretofore maintained for Negro students, the defendants shall take prompt steps necessary to provide physical facilities, equipment, courses of instruction, and instructional materials of quality equal to that provided in schools previously maintained for white students. Conditions of overcrowding, as determined by pupil-teacher ratios and pupil-classroom ratios shall, to the extent feasible, be distributed evenly between schools formerly maintained for Negro students and those formerly. maintained for white students. If for any reason it is not féasible to improve sufficiently any school formerly maintained for Negro students, where such improvement would otherwise be required by this paragraph, such school shall be closed as soon as possible, and students enrolled in the school shall be reassigned on the basis of freedom of choice, by October of each year, defendants shall report to the clerk of the Court pupil-teacher ration, pupil-classroom

ratios, and per-pupil expenditures both as to operating and capital improvement costs, and shall outline the steps to be taken and the time within which they shall accomplish the equalization of such schools.

(b) Recedial Programs. The defendants shall provide remedial education programs which permit students attending or who have previously attended segregated schools to overcome past inadequacies in their education.

VII.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The defendants, to the extent consistent with the proper operation of the school system as a whole, shall locate any new school and substantially expand any existing schools with the objective of eradicating the vestiges of the dual system.

VIII.

PACULTY AND STAFF

in the hiring, assignment, reassignment, promotion, demotion, or dismissal of teachers and other professional staff members, including student teachers, except that race may be taken into account for the purpose of counteracting or correcting the effect of the segregated assignment of faculty and staff in the dual system. Teachers, principals, and staff members shall be assigned to schools so that the faculty and staff is not composed exclusively of members of one race, wherever possible, teachers shall be assigned so that more than one teacher of the minority race (white or Negro) shall be on a desegregated faculty. Defendants shall take positive and affirmentive steps to accomplish the desegregation of their school faculties and to achieve substantial desegregation of faculties in as many of the schools as possible for the 1967-60 school year notwithstanting

that teacher contracts for the 1967-63 or 1963-69 school years may have already been signed and approved. The tenure of teachers in the system shall not be used as an excuse for failure to comply with this provision. The defendants shall establish as an objective that the pattern of teacher assignment to any particular school not be identifiable as unloced for a heavy concentration of either Negro or white pupils in the school.

- (b) Dismissals. Teachers and other professional staff members may not be discriminatorily assigned, dismissed, demoted, or passed over for retention, promotion, or rehiring, on the ground of race or color. In any instance where one or more teachers or other professional staff members are to be displaced as a result of desegrégation, no staff vacancy in the school system shall be filled through recruitment from outside the system unless no such displaced staff member is qualified to fill the vacancy. If, as a result of s desegregation, there is to be a reduction in the total professional staff of the school system, the qualifications of all staff members in the system shall be evaluated in selecting the staff meriber to The released without consideration of race or color. A report containing any such proposed dismissals, and the reasons therefor, shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court, serving copies upon opposing counsel, within five (5) days after such dismissal, demotion, etc., as proposed.
 - (c) <u>Past Assignments</u>. The defendants shall take steps to assign and reassign teachers and other professional staff members to eliminate, the effects of the dual school system.

IX

REPORTS TO THE COURT

upon the opposing parties and file with the Clerk of the Court on July 1.

or before lay: 15, 1937, and approximately and in each

subsequent year on or before June 1, a report tabulating by race the number of choice applications and transfer applications received for enrollment in each grade in each school in the system, and the number of choices and transfers granted and the number of denials in each grade of each school. The report shall also state any reasons relied upon in denying choice and shall tabulate, by school and by race of student, the number of choices and transfers denied for each such reason.

In addition, the report shall show the percentage of pupils actually transferred or assigned from segregated grades or to schools attended predominantly by pupils of a race other than the race of the applicant, for attendance during the 1966-67 school year, with comparable data for the 1965-66 school year. Such additional information shall be included in the report served upon opposing counsel and filed with the Clerk of the Court.

- (2) Report After School Opening. The defendants shall, in addition to reports elsewhere described, serve upon opposing counsel on or before October 1st and file with the Clerk of the Court within x15x02yx after the opening of schools for the fall semester of each year, a report setting forth the following information:
 - and school of present attendance of each student who has withdrawn or requested withdrawal of his choice of school or who has transferred after the start of the school year, together with a description of any action taken by the defendants on his request and the reasons therefor.
 - (ii) The number of faculty vacancies, by school, that have occurred or been filled by the defendants since the order of this Court or the latest report submitted pursuant to this sub-paragraph. This report shall state the race of the teacher employed to fill each such

employed or was transferred from within the system.

The tabulation of the number of transfers within the system shall indicate the schools from which and to which the transfers were made. The report shall also set forth the number of faculty members of each race assigned to each school for the current year.

(iii) The number of students by race, in each grade of each school.

EXPLANATORY LETTER

(School System Name and Office Address)

(Date Sent)

Dear Parent:

All grades in our school system will be desegregated next year. Any student who will be entering one of these grades next year may choose to attend any school in our system, regardless of whether that school was formerly all-white or all-Negro. It does not matter which school your child is attending this year. You and your child may select any school you wish.

Every student, white and Negro, must make a choice of schools. If a dild is entering the ninth or higher grade, or if the child is fifteen years old or older, he may make the choice himself. Otherwise a parent or other adult serving as parent must sign the choice form. A child enrolling in the school system for the first time must make a choice of schools before or at the time of his enrollment.

The form on which the choice should be made is attached to this letter. It should be completed and returned by June 1, 1967. You may mail it in the enclosed envelope, or deliver it by messenger or by hand to any school principal or to the Office of

the Superintendent at any time between May 1 and June 1. No one may require you to return your choice form before June 1 and no preference is given for returning the choice form early.

No principal, teacher, or other school official is permitted to influence anyone in making a choice or to require early return of the choice form. No one is permitted to favor or penalize any student or other person because of a choice made. A choice once made cannot be changed except for serious hardship.

No child will be denied his choice unless for reasons of evercrowding at the school chosen, in which case children living nearest the school will have preference.

Transportation will be provided, if reasonably possible, no matter what school is chosen. [Delete if the school system does not provide transportation.]

Your School Board and the school staff will do everything we can to see to it that the rights of all students are protected and that desegregation of our schools is carried out successfully.

Sincerely yours,

Superintendent.

CHOICE FORM

This form is provided for you to choose a school for your child to attend next year. You have 30 days to make your choice. It does not matter which school your child attended last year, and does not matter whether the school you choose was formerly a white or negro school. This form must be mailed or brought to the principal of any school in the system or to the office of the Superintendent, [address], by June 1, 1967. A choice is required for each child.

Name of child (Last)	(First)	(Middle)
Address	•••••	• • • • • •
Name of Parent or other adult serving as parent	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
If child is enterin- first gra	de, date of birth:	•
•		y) (Year)
Grade child is entering School attended last year		
Choose one of the following sch		
Name of School G	' I	•
	···uc	Location
		Location
Signature		Location
Signature Date		Location
•		Location

Done, this the 8th day of May, 1967.

/s/ Seybourn H. Lynne . Chief Judge.

¹ In subsequent years the dates in both the explanatory letter and the choice form should be changed to conform to the choice period.

APPENDIX B

Notification of and Application for
The Exercise of the Freedom of Choice
Option

TO THE STUDENTS OF THE BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM MHOSE RESIDENCES ARE IN THE BIRMINGHAM SCHOOL DISTRICT UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE CITY OF BIRMINGHAM BOARD OF EDUCATION, THE PARENTS OF SUCH STUDENTS, THE TEACHERS AND OTHER SCHOOL PERSONNEL:

The following Descregation Plan hursuant to be Court Order and Decree, Civil Action No. 9678, signed by Judge Seybourn H. ... ynne and filed May 8, 1967 will be continued for the school year 1968-69. The following information is made available for public notice in accordance with the requirements.

All grades in our school system will be desegregated again next year. Any student who will be entering one of these grades next year may choose to attend any school in our system, regardless of whether that school was formerly all-white or all-Negro. It does not matter which school your child is attending this year. You and your child-may select any school you wish.

Every student, white and Negro, must make a choice of schools. If a child is entering the ninth or a higher grade, or if the child is fifteen years old or older, he may make the choice himself. Otherwise a parent or other adult serving as parent must sign the choice form. A child enrolling in the school system for the first time must make a choice of schools before or at the time of his enrollment,

The form on which the choice should be made will be attached to the letter to be sent to the parents on March 1, 1968. It should be completed and returned by March 31, 1968. You may mail it or deliver it by messenger or by hand to any school principal or to the Office of the Superintendent at any time between March 1 and March 31. No one may require you to return your choice form before March 31 and no preference is given for returning the choice form early.

No principal, teacher, or other school official is permitted to influence anyone in making a choice or to require early return of the choice form. No one is permitted to favor or penalize any student or other person because of a choice made. A choice once made cannot be changed except for serious hardship.

No child will be denied his choice unless for reasons of overcrowding at the school chosen, in which case children living nearest the school will have preference.

YOUR FULL COOPERATION IN EXERCISING A CHOICE DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH WILL ENABLE THE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO MAKE ADEQUATE PLANS FOR THE OPENING OF SCHOOLS IN SEPTEMBER. PARENTS OF PROSPECTIVE FIRST GRADERS ARE ENCOURAGED TO MAKE A CHOICE IN MARCH ALSO.

Sincerely yours, ...

Raymond Christian

Superintendent

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2015 7th Avenue, North P. O. Drawer 114 Birmingham, Alabama 35202

March 1, 1968

Dear Parent:

All grades in our school system will be desegregated again next year. Any student may choose to attend any school in our system, regardless of whether that school was formerly all-white or all-Negro. It does not matter which school your child is attending this year. You and your child may select any school you wish for the year 1968-69 which begins September 3, 1968.

Every student, white and Negro, must make a choice of schools. If a child is entering the ninth or a higher grade, or if the child is fifteen years old or older, he may make the choice himself. Otherwise a parent or other adult serving as parent must sign the choice form. A child enrolling in the school system for the first time must make a choice of schools before or at the time of his enrollment. This includes new first graders.

The form on which the choice should be made is attached to this letter. It should be completed and returned by March 31, 1968. You may mail it, or deliver it by messenger, or by hand, to any school principal, or to the Office of the Superintendent at any time between March 1 and March 31. No one may require you to return your choice form between 12 tarch 32 and no preference is given for returning the choice form early within the choice period.

No principal, teacher, or other school official is permitted to influence anyone in making a choice or to require early return of the choice form. No one is permitted to favor or penalize any student or other person because of a choice made. A choice once made cannot be changed except for serious hardship.

No child will be denied his choice unless for reasons of overcrowding at the school chosen, in which case children living nearest the school will have preference. A student whose first choice is denied may make a second choice.

Your School Board and the school staff will do everything we can to see to it that the rights of all students are protected.

YOUR FULL COOPERATION IN EXERCISING A CHOICE DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH WILL.

ENABLE THE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO MAKE ADEQUATE PLANS FOR THE OPENING OF SCHOOLS
IN SEPTIMBER. PARENTS OF PROSPECTIVE FIRST GRADERS ARE ENCOURAGED TO MAKE A

CHOICE IN MARCH ALSO.

· Sincerely yours,

Raymond Christian Superintendent

10

A:

Date Received if after March 31, 1963

Devegregation

CHOICE FORM

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS

1968-69

REQUIR	ED FOR	EACH CHILD.	2015 7th Avenue, North, L	irmingham, Alabama, by Ma	arch 31, 1968, A CHO
ume of child		·	· > /	•	3
		(Last)	(First)	()	Middle)
dress				·	
	•				(Zip Code)
me of parer	nt or other	adult serving as par	cnt	<u> </u>	
				-	·
CRUM IS ENT	ern a Init i	grade, date of birth:	(Month)	(Day)	(Year)
nda ehild se	dil anias ia	September, 1968	*	(54))	(160)
		September, 1708	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ool attende	rd 1967-68.				····
*CHOC	ose <u>one</u>	OF THE FOLL	OWING SCHOOLS .BY	MARKING AN X BEST	DE THE NAME:
Place X		•		•	
By School Chosen		Name of School	Grades	7	•
(Ose Osly		tvalle of School	• /	Location	
		<u>'</u>	нісн schoo	LS	*
, ,		Banks	9-12	721 86th Street, South, 35	
\ \ \ \ \		Carver Topsley	9-12 9-12	3400 33rd Terrace, North,	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ 		Glenn	9-12	2301 Avenue J, Ensley, 35 901 16th Street, West, 353	
(5)		layes		505 43rd Street, North, 35	
		lufiman High	9-11	950 Springville Road, 3521	
		ones Valley High	9-12	2000 31st Street, S. W., 35	211
()		arker	9-12	300 8th Avenue, North, 35	
()		hilips		2316 7th Avenue, North, 3	
\ \ \		Illman	9-12 9-12	1800 13th Avenue. South, 625 12th Street, South, 352	
		Vest End	9-12	1840 Pearson Avenue, 3521	
()		Vestern	9-12	519 12th Street, Ensley, 35	
()	V	Voodlawn	9-12	5620 First Avenue, North,	35212
			ELEMEN'TARY SCI	HOOLS	6,
7-5		vondale	1-8		122
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		aker	1-8	4000 8th Court. South, 352 3013 Avenue F. Ensley, 35	
()		arrett	1-8	7601 Division Avenue, 3520	06
	В	rown	1-8	4811 Court J. 35208	
()		r) ant	1-8	6446 Washington Boulevare	1. 35212
$\dot{\mathcal{C}}$		ush	1-8	1112 25th Street, Ensley, 3	
4		utler		218 48th Street, South. 352	
()		ameron	1-8	3417 34th Terrace, North. Avenue II and 14th Street,	South 352(TS)
(enter Street	1-8	1832 Center Way, 35205	30000, 30200
()		entral Park	1-8	4915 Avenue Q. Central P	ark. 35208
(,)	C	hristian .	1-8	701 Sunset Drive, 35200	
\rightarrow		omer	1-8 1-8	1220 50th Street, South, 35	5222
\ \		urry	1-8	1400 Avenue M. Ensley, 3. 7900 8th Avenue, North, 3	5218 520a
		Pavis	1-8	417 29th Street, South. 352	33
()	D	Pudley	1-8	4308 42nd Street, North, 3	5217 ·
<u></u>		upuy	⅓ 1-S	4500 14th Avenue, North.	35212
بب		agan	1.8	1716 31st Avenue, North,	
,		ast Lake lyton	1-8	7525 Madrid Avenue: East	Lake, 35206
\ \		ureka	1-8	6 Tuscalonea Avenue, 3521. 812 18th Way, S. W., 352.	
		airmont		4320 Lewisburg Road, 3520	
, ,		airview	1-8	2623 29th Street, Endey, 3	5208
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	135 Finley Avenue, West, .	
		inley Avenue	1-8 1-8	6910 Georgia Road, Gate (00204

В3

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (Continued) Place X By School Chosen Name of School e (One Only) Grades Location 956 50th Street, North, 35212 1115 South 11th Street, 35205 Gibson 1-8 Glen Iris 1.8 Going 1015 North Martinwood Drive, 35235 1-8 930 21st Avenue, West, 35204 Gorgas 1-8 300 8th Avenue, We-t, 35204 945 Pineyiew Road, 5228 1240 Cotton Avenue, 35211 Graymont 1-8 Green Acres 1-8 Hemphill 1.8 507 3rd Street, North, 35204 Hill 1-8 5130 8th Court, South, 35212 Holman 1.8 Hudson 1-8 3300 Huntsville Road: No. th. 35207 Huffman Elementary 517 Huffman Road, 35215 1-8 4120 Inglenook Street, 35217 Inglenook 1.8 1401 16th Way, S. W., 35211 Jackson 1-8 2921 Dowell Avenue, S. W., 3.i211 Jones Valley Elementary 1-8 125 63rd Street, North, 35212 801 46th Street, North, 35212 1-8 Kennedy Kingston 1-8 2801 Clairmont Avenue, 35205 Lakeview 1-8 410 13th Street, South, 35233 Lane 1-8 630 18th Street, S. W., 35211 Læ 1-8 1-8 2015 26th Avenue, North, 3523-Lewis 901 9th Avenue, North, 35204 Lincoln 1-8 Martin 1325 12th Street, North, 35204 1-6 McArthur 2418 17th Avenue, North, 35234 1020 Avenue M, Ensley, 35214 McCaw 1-8 4447 Montevallo Road, 35213 McElwain 1-8 2425 Avenue S, Ensley, 35218 Minor Ø1.8 Moore 1.5 1401 Avenue G. Ensley, 35218 North Birmingham 1-8 2620 35th Avenue, North, 35207 300 Red Lane Road, 35215 North Roebuck 1-8 2301 11th Avenue, North, 35234 Northside 1-8 3136 Norwood Boulevard, 3523+ Norwood 1-8 Oliver 1-8 6871 6th Court, South, 35212 ·Patterson 1-8 210 64th Street, South, 35212 Powderly 1-8 Dawson Avenue and 20th Street, S. W., 35211 Powell 1-8 2331 6th Avenue, North. 35203 306 Avenue U, Pratt City, 3521-Pratt 1-8 Price 1-8 532 28th Street, S. W., 35211 1425 2nd Avenue, West, 35208 Princeton 1-8 1757 Montelair Road, 35210 Putnam 1-8 3177 44th Court, North, 35207 Riggins 1-8 Riley 2801 35th Street, S. W., 35211 1-8 1-8 8400 First Avenue, South, 35206 Robinson Cherry Avenue & Hibernian Street, P. C., 3521-Scott 1-8 1-8 500 93rd Street, North, 35206 Scars 2000 Pleasant Hill Road, 35224 Sherman Heights 1-8 3969 14th Avenue, North, 35234 Shields 1-8 1124 Five Mile Road, 35215 Smith 1-8 720 86th Street, South, 35206 South East Lake 1-8 1720 12th Street, S. W., 35211 Spaulding . 1:8 833 8th Street, Thomas, 35214 Thomas Furnace 1-6 Tuggle 1-8 412 12th Court, North, 35204 2009 Avenue Q, 35218 Tuxedo 1-8 Washington 1-8 115 4th Avenue, South, 35205 3716 5th Avenue, Ensley (Wylam), 35224 Welton 1-8 West Center Street 1-8 1712 3rd Streer, S. W., 35211

	Signature	
•	of Person Making the Choice	
1	Relationship to Studene	
• •	Date	
•		
This space is reserved	for the Superintendent of Schools:	

549 43rd Street, North, 35222

116 11th Court, North, 35204 1030 4th Terrace, West, 35204

701 41st Street, Wylam, 35214

1 39th Avenue, North, 35207

1061

School Assigned

1.8

1.8

1-8

1-8

Whatley

Wilson

Wylam

Wilkerson

Zion Heights

APPENDIX C
Superintendent's Letter to

Staff Requesting Transfers

10-

ROBERT C. ARTHUR

DR. C. W. NÉVILLE D. R. PHARES
DONALD L. NEWSOM MRS. SAM. P. PHILLPS

BOARD OF EDUCATION

P. O. DRAWER 114

BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35202

. 323.8521

OFFICE OF SUPE. INTENDENT

June 19, 1968*

To Principals, Teachers, and Registrars in the Birmingham Public Schools:

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit has ordered the Birmingham Board of Education to desegregate the staffs of all schools in the system. Since the Board has no choice in this matter, it is inquiring of each staff member as to his or her willingness to accept a transfer or assignment to a school in Birmingham which, in the past, has been attended by pupils and/or staffed by members predominantly of the race other than his or her own.

Insofar as possible, the Board plans to place teachers who volunteer to change so that two or more teachers of the minority race will be in a school. The Board does not wish to make involuntary assignments. However, unless the Board obtains a sufficient number of volunteers or expressions of willingness to accept such assignments, it may be ordered to make involuntary assignments.

The Board, therefore, solicits your cooperation and requests that you fill out the information requested below and return it to the Personnel Office over your signature (in the enclosed stamped self-addressed envelope). Your immediate attention and reply are urged so that the Board may meet its deadline in making its report to the court.

With appreciation,

Raymond Christian, Superintendent

L.	Would you be willing to accept a transfer or assignment (for the school year beginning
	in September 1968) to a school in Birmingham which, in the past, has been attended by
	pupils and/or staffed by members predominantly of the race other than your own?

pupils and/or staffed by members predominantly of the race other than your own?

CIRCLE ONE: YES NO

• IF the Board were ordered to make involuntary transfers and assignments, and you were so assigned, would you accept?

CIRCLE ONE: Y

* * * * * *

NO

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE			./	•
RACE	SEX	DATE		
APPROXIMATE TOTAL YEARS IN	N THIS SYSTEM	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PRESENT SCHOOL (1968-69)	· .			*
DESCENT CRADE: CURIECT OF				

(PLEASE RETURN ONE COPY - KEEP ONE COPY)

...

 $10\overline{8}$

APPENDIX D

A Report to the Court on Staff

Integration as of

June 21, 1968

BIRMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS A REPORT TO THE COURT ON STAFF INTEGRATION AS OF JUNE 21, 1968

Putsuant to the Court Order of May 8, 1967, an intensive effort was made by the Administrative Staff to Induce teachers to teach in schools where the staff nembers were predominantly of the opposite race. During the summer months of 1,37, Mr. Claude McLain, Mr. N. P. Ardillo, and Dr. W. C. Matherson interviewed some 200 people.

As a result of these interviews, 31 Negro teachers were assigned to predominantly white schools and four white teachers were assigned to Negro schools. These teachers were assigned to eight white high schools, three Negro high schools, and three white elementary schools.

Student teachers were placed in cooperation with the colleges and universities on a non-discriminatory basis. Twenty-six Negro student teachers from Alabama A & M College were placed in predominantly white schools. Five white student teachers from the University of Alabama were placed in predominantly Negro schools.

STEPS TAKEN IN PREPARING FOR THE SCHOOL YEAR 1968-69 WHICH BEGINS SEPTEMBER 1968
(JANUARY 1, 1968 UP TO AND THROUGH JUNE 21, 1968)

- 1. Every new teacher applicant interviewed for employment, both Negro and white, was asked this question: "Will you accept an assignment in an opposite race school where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race?" It is estimated that some 450 people were interviewed in this manner. These interviews were conducted by Mr. Claude McLain, Dr. W. C. Matherson, Mr. N. P. Ardillo, and Mr. James Goodson.
- 2. As a result of these interviews, 26 white applicants expressed a willingness to teach in a school where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race. All 26 of these applicants were sent contracts.

Thirty-five Negro applicants who expressed a willingness to teach in schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race are being assigned to teaching positions as they become available, taking into consideration the fact that volunteers within the system will be assigned before the need for additional new teachers can be determined, and giving consideration to the fact that the overall enrollment of the school system is declining, thus necessitating fewer teachers for next year than were employed this year.

3. Beginning in May 1968, Dr. Raymond Christian, Superintendent, invited each principal in the school system, both white and Negro, to send three to five teachers from his school to meetings to be held in the Board of Education building, so that he personally might explain to them the intent of the Jefferson Decree specifically as it relates to staff desegregation. He made a direct appeal to all 417 people who attended these meetings to consider teaching in a school where staff members are predominantly of the opposite race.

Conferences were held as follows in the Board of Education building:

 Date
 No. Present

 Tuesday, May 21
 83

 Wednesday, May 22
 83

 Friday, May 24
 85

 Tuesday, May 28
 84

 Wednesday, May 29
 82

 417

In order to get this message to all staff members, Dr. Christian asked the school representatives to go back and give the same information they had received to the other staff members in their schools.

All 41' persons were invited to give this matter serious consideration and to express their willingness to transfer. They were asked to give their decisions to either Mr. McLain, Dr. Matherson, Mr. Ardillo, or Mr. Goodson.

As a result of the Superintendent's personal appeal to these 417 people (a) Thirty-one Negro teachers and four white teachers volunteered to teach in schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race.

(b) These 35 teachers will be reassigned for the school year beginning September 1968 to schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race.

5. In another effort to acquaint all the teachers with the Jefferson Decree and to induce them to consider teaching in schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race, questionnaires were mailed (first class mail with an enclosed stamped self-addressed return envelope) to 2,672 principals, teachers, and registrars. They were asked: "Would you be willing to accept a transfer or assignment (for the school year beginning in September 1968) to a school in Birmingham which, in the past, has been attended by pupils and/or staffed by members predominantly of the race other than your own?" and, "If the Board were ordered to make involuntary transfers and assignments, and you were so assigned, would you accept?" (See copy attached). Further reports will be made as to replics received.

Although the teachers who have already expressed a willingness will be assigned to a school attended predominantly by pupils opposite to the race of the teacher, until all replies are received and attitudes expressed, it is impracticable at this time to make definite assignments in regard to a particular school or grade.

6. In day-to-day interviews, a continous effort is being made to get new applicants to teach in schools where the staff members are predominantly of the

opposite race.

7. A number of very fine workshops are being held during the summer at the Board of Education building in which teachers of both races are participating in the preparation of curriculum guides, instructional materials, etc., for the school year 1968-69.

APPENDIX E
Targets for the School Year
1968-69

TARGETS FOR THE SCHOOL YEAR 1968-69

It is the intention of the Birmingham Board of Education to comply with the Jefferson Decree.

(a) It plans to have one or more teachers, and two or more where possible, in each school in Birmingham where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race.

(b) It plans to continue its program of interviewing with a view to persuading teachers to teach in schools where the staff members are

predominantly of the opposite race.

(c) It intends to assign as many teachers to schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race as available positions permit, realizing, of course, that teachers will be assigned in their major area of competency.

(d) Student teachers will continue to be assigned in cooperation with colleges and universities based on the racial composition of the college

or university classes.

(e) A list of substitute teachers of both races will be supplied to all schools.

(f) Throughout the school year as vacancies occur due to resignations, deaths, moving out of the city, etc., continuing efforts will be made to assign teachers to schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race.

The Board of Education feels that progress is being made in staff integration. The number of teachers who are willing to teach in opposite race schools is increasing significantly and as success is evidenced in the over-all program of staff integration, it will make it easier to increase the number of people who will make these changes willingly in the future.

It now looks as if more than 125 teachers will be teaching in schools where the staff members are predominantly of the opposite race. This represents a marked increase over the first year in which the program was put into operation.

Raymond Christian Superintendent

APPENDIX F

Court Decision Containing guidelines .

For the Establishment of a Unitary

School System in Birmingham

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, SOUTHERN DIVISION .

DWIGHT ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

) NO. 9678

VS.

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF BIRMINGHAM, JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

CIVIL ACTION

JUN 19 1970

WILLIAM E. DAVIS CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT,

DEPUTY CLERK

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL JUDGMENT

FINDINGS OF FACT

The defendant Board of Education of the City of Birmingham, Alabama, has been operating a freedom of choice plan of desegregation under the model decree prescribed in United States v. Jefferson County Board of Education, 380 F.2d 385 (5th Cir. 1967). In conformity with the mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in <u>United States v. Board of Education</u> of the City of Birmingham, 417 F.2d 846 (5th Cir. 1969), and United States v. Jefferson County Board of Education, 41,7 F.2d 834 (5th Cir. 1969), holding freedom of choice to be inadequate for the disestablishment of dual school systems and directing the consideration of zone assignments, the defendant board on September 5, 1969, was ordered to prepare and file on December 30, 1969, plans to disestablish the dual school system in the City of Birmingham effective for the beginning of the 1970-1971 school term. Also in accordance with the requirement of United States v. Jefferson County Board of Faucation, supra, and the later decision of the Fifth Circuit Court

of Appeals in Singleton v. Jackson Municipal Separate School District, A19 F.2d 1211 (1969), the board was instructed to request the Office of Education of the United States Department of Health. Education and Welfare to collaborate in the preparation of the plans and to direct such plans to student and faculty assignment, facilities, athletic and other school activities, and school location and construction activities to the end of achieving conversion to a unitary system. Transportation, which is the remaining area in which the climination of racial identification is required by Singleton and by the Supreme Court's decision in Green v.

County School Reard of New Kent County, 391 U.S. 430 (1968), is not a factor here since Birmingham has no school transportation system.

Pursuant to that order the board requested the Office of Education of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare to collaborate in preparation of the plan, and it was agreed by the Office of Education that ach collaboration would be with its designee, the Auburn University Center for Assistance to School Systems with Problems Occasioned by Desegregation. The school board's plan was developed over a period of several months with full participation and collaboration by personnel of the Auburn Center. The plan as prepared by the school board and the Auburn Center was filed on December 30, 1969, as directed by the court's order.

· SCHOOL BOARD PLAN

The school board plan is divided into five major parts relating to student assignments, personnel (including faculty and staff assignments), buildings and facilities (including new construction and site acquisition), school activities (including athletics and other extracurricular activities), and proposals for dissemination of information and techniques to aid in implementation of the plan.

Student Assignments. The Birmingham school system historically has used an 8-4 organizational structure consisting of elementary schools (grades 1-8) and high schools (grades 9-12), and the plan retains this structure. The enrollment in the Birmingham schools for the 1969-1970 school year totaled 66,174 students, consisting of 195.3 high school students and 46,511 elementary school students. The racial composition of the 1969-1970 enrollement was 31,252 whites and 34,922 Regross, of which 9,991 Whites and 9,672 Negroes were in high schools and 21,261 whites and 25,250 Magroes were in elementary schools. During the 1969-70 school year the school board operated 84 elementary schools and 14 high schools. The Birmingham school system does not provide transportation for either elementary or high school students. It is proposed by the school board plan that eight previously all black elementary schools will be closed and the city divided into 76 elementary school attendance areas and that one previously all black high school will be climinated and the city divided into 13 high school attendance areas. For the elementary schools the attendance areas proposed by the school board are those shown on the board's revised elementary school map introduced in evidence as defendants' exhibit 13; for the high schools the proposed attendance areas are those shown on the board's revised high school map in evidence as defendants' exhibit 12. plan also includes majority-to-minority.transfer provisions for both elementary and high schools, with the right to transfer limited by the capacity of the school to which transfer is sought. For high school students (but not for elementary school students) the plan provides additionally for curriculum transfers when a course of study is not available in a student's attendance area but is. available in another area and will permit twelfth graders whose

parents so desire to complete in the 1970-71 school year their schooling at the same school attended by them in 1969-70. The plan also will permit, during the 1970-71 school year only, a small number of students residing in Jefferson County who attended certain Birmingham schools in 1969-70 to continue attending those schools.

Faculty and Staff Assignments. The board intends to assign personnel so as to achieve "a range of 25 to 33 1/3% of all teachers to work in schools where their race is in the minority."

Buildings and Facilities; Construction and Site Selection. The plan states that the school board will use its present facilities and will "construct new facilities, and acquire new sites in accordance with its policy to establish a unitary school system." With respect to school construction and site selection the plan enumerates and describes 18 projects for elementary schools and six projects for high schools which are necessary to the overall effectiveness of the unitary plan. It was made known at the hearings that the board proposes to modify its plan with respect to site expansion for Parker High School. Under the plan as modified the board will acquire 12/2 blocks of property east of Parker's present site across 4th Street North (instead of the block north of the present site as stated in the plan) and also will acquire, if the funds are made available by the next bond election, 1/2 block of property north of the present site. (A map of the property to be acquired was introduced as defendants' exhibit 10.) All other parts of the plan's construction and site acquisition program will remain unchanged.

School Activities. The plan provides for the merger of and equal opportunities in all school activities, including athletics and athletic contests, school sponsored clubs, school sponsored leadership groups, and other school sponsored functions. 118

OBJECTIONS TO SCHOOL HOARD PLAN

The plaintiffs filed no objections or proposed modifications to the school board plan. At the hearing on June 5-9, 1970, it was shown that the plaintiffs had no objections to any portion of the school board plan except (1) its provision for personnel assignments and (2) its provision for the continued attendance during the 1970-71 school year of 229 Jefferson county residents at Jones Valley High School pursuant to an agreement between the Jefferson County and Birmingham boards of education. The plaintiffs were in accord with the school board in regard to student assignments and school construction and sites.

The United States as plaintiff-intervenor on January 12, 1970, filed a motion setting forth specific and limited suggestions for modification of the school board plan. These suggestions originally included proposals for the pairing of two high schools and 12 elementary schools Y Subsequently and at the hearing on June 5-9, 1970, the original objections or suggestions of the United States were substantially narrowed to consist of only the following: (1) With respect to student assignments in elementary schools the only changes suggested were proposals for the pairing of the Tuxedo and Bush schools and the pairing of the Gibson and Kingston schools. (2) With respect to student assignments in high school the only change suggested by the United States was that the zone line dividing the attendance areas for the Ensley and Western (Olin) high schools be drawn as shown on defendants' exhibit 5 in of as shown on the school board's revised high school attendance area map (defendants' exhibit 12). (3) With respect to the proposals in the school board plan for school construction the United States' objection, which was limited to the proposed improvements for Parker High School, was that construction and site location for Parker might serve to minimize desegregation.

plaintiffs, urged that the plan should incorporate the provision, for faculty and staff assignments prescribed by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in Singleton v. Jackson Municipal Separate School-District, supra, 419 F.2d at 1217-18. (5) With respect to attendance by non-residents the United States' position was the same as that of the plaintiffs as stated above relative to the 229 Jefferson County students attending Jones Valley High School in the 1970-71 school year.

The evidence which was presented at the hearing on objections to the plan held by the court on June 5-9, 1970, was confined to the several issues in controversy raised by the United States; suggestions as subsequently narrowed and outlined above.

A UNITARY SYSTEM

The plan and the evidence taken with respect to the limited areas of disagreement have been viewed in the light of the standards for disestablishment of dual schools and for conversion into a unitary school system as set forth in Alexander v. Holme's County Board of Education, 396 U.S. 19 (1969), and Singleton v. Jackson Municipal Separate School District, supra, with recognition that each school system must be judged on its own facts. In view of the suggestions in United States v. Jefferson County Board of Education, 417 F.2d 834, 836-37 (5th Cir. 1969), that "the development of such a [disestablishment] plan in each system can be readily accomplished by local effort" with consideration to be given to the views of "plaintiffs . . . represented by Negro lawyers who reside in the Birmingham area and are familiar with the school systems and the neighborhood patterns," the court also has been mindful of the fact that the plaintiffs are in harmony with the board plan in every respect except faculty assignments and attendance at Dirmingham schools by a few Jefferson County residents.

120

The United States itself, furthermore, made only limited suggestions for changes in the board plan and its witness agreed that "in many areas the plan was indeed quite good." The specific suggestions or objections which were made and as to which evidence was presented will be examined below.

Student Assignments in Elementary Schools. The only elementary school attendance areas as to which there was any dispute were those for Kingston and Gibson and for Bush and Tuxedo. The school board plan proposes that students be assigned to these schools in accordance with the zone attendance areas as drawn for these schools on the board's revised map for elementary schools (defendants' exhibit 13). The plaintiffs concurred in the school board's proposal. The United States suggests the pairing of Kingston and Gibson schools (with grades 1-4 attending Kingston and grades 5-8 attending Gibson) and the similar pairing of Tuxedo and Rush schools.

· While recognizing that the United States' proposal would constitute a departure, for only 4 of the 76 elementary schools, from the 8-4 organizational structure existing in the system, the government's witness (who visited Birmingham approximately one day only for examination of its schools) stated that a 4-4-4 organizational structure had been used in some systems in other parts of the ** country. However, there was substantial and convincing evidence through testimony of educators and traffic engineers familiar with the Birmingham schools and traffic conditions that the pairing of these schools as proposed would be educationally unsound for a . number of reasons; that it would result in a severe increase in the exposure of these children, for whom no school transportation is provided, to traffic hazards and accidents; that it would result in additional costs caused by duplication of facilities and instruction and by necessary changes in physical plant; and that the use of a different organizational structure for only 4 schools

would create administrative problems. Moreover, the proposed special treatment of only 4 of the 76 schools could affect adversely the acceptability of and results achieved under the entire plan throughout the system. As against these considerations, it is evident that the degree of additional mixing in the system as a whole which might be achieved by the proposed pairing would be small indeed. Three of the 4 schools for which pairing is proposed are already mixed. It may be noted also that the predominantly black character of Tuxedo and Bush schools under the board's plan is the result of black or predominately black federal housing projects, a condition for which neither the school board nor the former system of dual schools is responsible.

It is concluded from all of the evidence on this issue that the pairing of these 4 schools proposed by the United States is not justified in view of the resultant educational, safety, and other problems as compared with the minimal amount of additional mixing which it would achieve and would not be consistent with the "proper operation of the school system as a whole." United States v. Board of Public Instruction of Polk County, 395 F.2d 66, (5th cir. 1968). The school board's proposed attendance areas for the Kingston, Gibson, Bush and Tuxedo schools therefore will be approved.

The court accordingly approves the attendance areas for student assignments in elementary schools (grades 1-8) as shown on the school board's revised map to be lementary schools (defendants' exhibit 13).

Student Assignments in High Schools. The school board proposes the assignment of students to Ensley and Western (Olin) High Schools in accordance with the attendance areas shown on the board's revised high school zone map (defendants' exhibite 12). The plaintiffs agree with the board's proposal. The United States suggests and 22 alternative zone line between Ensley and the Western (Olin) schools

as shown on the map of the Engley area introduced as defendants' exhibit 5.

Both of these schools also will be desegregated under the board's proposed attendance areas. The evidence revealed that the government's proposed zone line would cause severe overcrowding of the capacities of the non-vocational facilities of Western (Olin), whereas the board's revised zone line would be substantially consistent with the capacities of both schools. Western (Olin) is composed of two separate buildings, a non-vocational building and a vocational building, and the capacity of the non-vocational building is limited. It was shown that the government's proposed zone line would result in a substantial increase at Western (Olin) in the number of students who would elect non-vocational studies, thereby causing the overcrowding of its non-vocational capacity. The board's plan achieves the maximum amount of desegregation consistent with proper educational practices and administration.

The court accordingly approves the attendance areas for student assignments in high schools (grades 9-12) as shown on the school board's revised map for high schools (defendants' exhibit 12).

School Construction and Site Selection. Among the construction projects set forth in the school board's plan is the proposed construction for Parker High School, consisting of the replacement of a part of the old buildings, remodeling part of the existing facilities, and the expansion of the site as stated in the modified proposal of the board as stated at the hearing and described above. The plaintiffs are in agreement with the reconstruction of Parker as proposed in the school board plan and as thus modified. The United States, which has objected only to the Parker construction project, believes the proposed construction and site location of Parker will serve to minimize desegregation and as presently planned does not meet the requirements of United States of

ξ.

Jefferson County Board of Education, 380 F.2d 385, 395 (5th Cir. 1967), and United States v. Board of Public Construction of Polk County, 395 F.2d 66 (5th Cir. 1968).

The propriety of reconstructing Parker at its present site was first questioned by the United States in early 1969. After a hearing in February 1969 in which some evidence regarding Parker was adduced, the school board was directed by the court to study and submit a report concerning the school construction program. A study then was made by the board staff, with participation by members of the board, to determine whether there was any alternative to the proposed construction of Parker which would achieve greater desegregation. From this study and the evidence developed at the hearing on June 9, 1970, it was shown that the school board did seek to find alternatives to the reconstruction of Parker which would be consistent with the proper operation of the school system as a whole. It is readily apparent that some high school facility is necessary to serve the students living in the Parker area. Because of the concentration of Negroes and the presence of only a few whites in the surrounding areas it simply is not possible to locate a high school facility at any place which could properly serve these students and at the same time achieve an increase in the degree of desegregation. The elimination of Parker at its present site not only would achieve no greater desegregation in the surrounding areas, but it also would cause overcrowding of other high schools. Morcover, the acquisition of a new site would be so expensive that the remaining funds would be insufficient for construction of a building. The existing plant for Parker unquestionable is inadequate and needful of replacement, the board's efforts to provide these students with a proper facility already has been delayed more than $1^{1}/2$ years by the objection of the United States, and the acquisition of another site and construction there would

require another 4 years of delay. The court concludes from all of the evidence that the construction for Parker as proposed by the board will further desegregation to the extent possible and consistent with the proper operation of the school system as a whole, when judged in light of the capacity of existing facilities, the residence of the students, and the alternative rites available. The school board's proposed construction and expansion of the site will therefore be approved. No objection has been made as to any of the other construction projects set forth in the school board's plan and they also will be approved.

The court therefore approves the construction program on pages 9 and 10 of the school board plan including the proposed reconstruction of Parker High School on its site to be expanded through the board's acquisition of 1½ blocks of property east of the present site across 4th Street North (block 6 of the north half of block 7 of the North Smithfield Survey) and its further acquisition, contingent on funds being made available in the next bond election, of 1/2 block of property (the north half of block 9 of the North Smithfield Survey) north of the present site.

With respect to all future school construction programs, site acquisitions, and consolidations additional to that described in and made a part of the school board plan, the school board will be governed by the following provision prescribed in Singleton v.

Jackson Municipal Separate School District, supra, 419 F.2d at 1218

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION AND SITE SELECTION

All school construction, school consolidation, and site selection (including the location of any temporary classrooms) in the system shall be done in a manner which will prevent the recurrence of the dual school structure once this desegregation plan is implemented.

faculty and Staff Assignments. The United States requests the for faculty and staff assignments the board plan be changed to pro-

ERIC Full faxt Provided by ERIC Jackson Municipal Separate School District, supra, 419 F.2d at

1217-18. The plaintiffs agree with the position of the United

States:

Although evidence presented at the hearing casts doubt on the ability of the school board to achieve the ratio prescribed by Singleton for the 1970-1971 school year and indicates that implementation of that ratio in the Birmingham system would result in significant losses of experienced and qualified teaching personnel and in misassignments of teachers to the detriment of the system's educational programs, the decisions of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals have made it clear that the provisions for descargation of faculty and staff as set forth in Singleton must be incorporated uniformly in the plans of all school stystems in the Fifth Circuit. See, e.g., Valley v. Rapides Parish School Board, F.2d (5th Cir., No. 29237, decided March 6, 1970); United States v. Board of Education of Baldwin County, F.2d (5th Cir., No. 28880, decided March 9, 1970); Davis, et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County, et al, ___ F.2d ___ (5th Cir., No. 29332, decided June 8, 1970).

Accordingly, and in conformity with the mandate of the decisions of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, this court must require that, in lieu of the provision at page 8 of the school board plan for assignment of personnel, the plan shall incorporate the following provision prescribed by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in Singleton v. Jackson Municipal Separate School District, supra:

DESEGREGATION OF FACULTY.
AND OTHER STAFF

.127

The School Board shall announce and implement the following policies:

1. The principals, teachers, teacher-aides and other staff who work directly with children at a school shall be so assigned that in no case will the racial composition of a staff indicate that a school is intended for Negro students or white students. The district shall assign the staff described above so that the ratio of Negro to white



teachers in each school, and the ratio of other staff in each, are substantially the same as each such ratio is to the teachers and other staff, respectively, in the entire school system. The school district shall, to the extent necessary to carry out this desegregation plan, direct members of its staff as a condition of continued employment to accept new assignments.

- 2. Staff members who work directly with children, and professional staff.who work on the administrative level will be hired, assigned, promoted, paid, demoted, dismissed, and otherwise treated without regard to race, color, or national origin.
- 3. If there is to be a reduction in the number of prinicipals, teachers, teacher-aides, or other professional staff employed by the school district which will result in a dismissal or demotion of any such staff members, the staff member to be dismissed or demoted must be selected on the basis of objective and reasonable non-discriminatory standards from among all the staff of the school district. In addition if there is any such dismissal or demotion, no staff vacancy may be filled through recruitment of a person of a race, color, or national origin different from that of the individual dismissed or demoted, until each displaced staff member who is qualified has had an opportunity to fill the vacancy and has failed to accept an offer to do so.

"Demotion" as used above includes any re-assignment (1) under which the staff member receives less pay or has less responsibility than under the assignment he held previously, (2) which requires a lesser degree of skill than did the assignment he held previously. or (3) under which the staff member is asked to teach a subject or grade other than one for which he is certified or for which he has had substantial experience whithin a reasonably current period. In general and depending upon the subject matter involved, five years is such a reasonable period.

Transfers and Attendance Outside System of Residence. The school board plan also will incorporate the model provision prescribed by Singleton v. Jackson Municipal Separate School District, 419 F.2d at 1218-19, relating to the attendance outside the system of residence. The plan already provides that, with certain chumerated minor exceptions, the Birmingham system will not accept non-resident pupils from other school systems during the 1970-71 school year. The exceptions provided for by the board plan relate to (1) twelfth grade pupils who attended Birmingham schools in the eleventh grade during

the 1969-70 school year, and (2) the continued attendance during only the 1970-71 school year-of certain Jefferson County residents in two Birmingham schools pursuant to an exchange agreement of long standing between the Birmingham and Jefferson County Boards of Education (estimated to include only 229 eleventh and twelfth graders at Jones Valley High School and 52 students at Sherman Heights Elementary School). No Larty objects to the first exception or to the students at Sherman Heights, but both the United States and the plaintiffs contend that the <u>Singleton</u> provision governing attendance outside the system of residence prevents the attendance during the 1970-71 school year of the few Jefferson County residents at Jones Valley High School. This contention is predicated not on the effect of such attendance on desegregation in the Birmingham system but rather on its effect on desegregation in the Jefferson County system. The court however is unable to conclude from the evidence that the Birmingham school board must discontinue for the 1970-71 school year its accommodation of the Jefferson County students at Jones . Valley because: (1) there was no evidence presented in this case that these students' enrollment at Jones Valley in 1970-71 would reduce descgregation in the Jefferson County system; (2) these students are transported by the Jefferson County school system by bus to the two Birmingham schools and appropriate relief, if necessary, could be obtained from that system; and (3) it does not appear that the continued accommodation of these few students for the limited period of the 1970-71 school year could have any appreciable effect

The court approves the provisions of the school board plan for transfers (to which no objection was made), and the plan also will be deemed to incorporate the following provision prescribed by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in Singleton:

on desegregation of cither system.

ATTENDANCE OUTSIDE SYSTEM OF RESIDENCE

If the school district grants transfers to students living in the district for their attendance at public schools outside the district, or if it permits transfers into the district of students who live outside the district, it shall do so on a non-discriminatory basis, except that it shall not consent to transfers where the cumulative effect will reduce desegrecation in either district or reenforce the dual school system.

Other Provisions of the Plan. All other provisions of the board's plan are approved, no objections to them having been made by any party and it appearing to the court that they are designed to achieve and promote the conversion to a unitary school system.

It is apparent, and the court so concludes, that the school board plan, as modified in the particulars to which reference is made above, establishes a unitary system of public schools in all respects.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The plan outlined in the section of this spinion headed "A Unitary System" constitutes a unitary public school system for the City of Birmingham, Alabama, school district.

FINAL JUDGMENT

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that:

- 1. The Board of Education of the City of Birmingham, Alabama, and its officers, agents, servants and employees shall henceforth operate a unitary school system as described in the section of the foregoing Findings of Fact headed "A Unitary System." The boundaries of the student attendance areas shall be as described and shown on the school board's revised attendance maps for high schools (defendants exhibit 12) and elementary schools (defendants' exhibit 13) respectively.
- 2. The assignment of students and of faculty and staff in accordance with the foregoing plan for "A Unitary System" shall be





officerive for the 1970-71 school year beginning September 1970 and thereafter.

3. On November 1, 1970, the Board of Education of the City of Birmingham, Alabama, will file in the office of the clerk of this court a report containing the information specified in Appendix A hereto concerning students, faculty, transfers, attendance outside system of residence, and construction, and the court 'ill retain jurisdiction to review the progress of desegregation.

Done, this the 1924 day of June, 1970.

CHIEF JUDGE

APPENDIX A

The reports to the Court shall include the following information:

,]

- (a) The number of students by race enrolled in the school district;
- (b) The number of students by race-enrolled in each school of the district.

II.

- (a) The number of full time teachers by race in the district;
- (b) The number of full time teachers by race in each school in the district;
 - (c) The number of part time teachers by race in the district.

III.

State the number of interdistrict transfers granted, the race of the students who were granted such transfers, and the school district to which transfers were allowed.

IV.

Describe all intradistrict transfers requested, granted, denied, together with the reasons therefor, the race of the student involved and the school to which and from which the transfer was requested.

٧.

State the additional courses added to each school pursuant to item 2, page 7, of the school board plan, and the reasons for adding such courses.

VI.

pescribe any present or proposed construction or expansion of facilities, and the effect of such construction on the desegregation of the school system.

APPENDIX G

Procedure for Compliance with Federal Court
Order Regarding Faculty Assignment

THE FEDERAL COURT ORDER REGARDING FACULTY ASSIGNMENT

The primary purposes of all re-assignments will be to comply with the court requirements and to retain a program of quality education in every school. The following procedure is designed to achieve these purposes.

- 1. Although Judge Lynne's order requires that the staff shall be assigned so that the ratio of negro to white teachers in each school, and the ratio of other staff in each, are substantially the same as each such ratio is to the teachers and other staff, respectively, in the entire school system it is deemed necessary that a stabilizing number of personnel be retained at each school to assure stability and continuity of programs, high-level members. Therefore, a committee composed of the principal and appropriate central office staff will determine the personnel in each school that is to comprise this stabilizing nucleus.
- 2. Principals, Administrative Assistants, Counselors, special personnel and itinerant teachers will be assigned administratively as required. Insofar as possible, these groups, especially principals, will be considered in the stabilizing nucleus and retained in their present assignments.
- 3. Transfer of teachers of the visually impaired, the hard of hearing, those with speech problems, the emotionally disturbed and the educable mentally retarded will be made only after careful consideration of their specialty, the availability of qualified replacements, and related considerations.
- 4. Once the stabilizing nucleus is determined for each school, teachers will be considered for transfer based on qualifications and experience in the Birmingham Schools. Teachers qualified for positions available who have less Birmagham School experience will be considered first for such reassignments. This procedure will add other qualified teachers to the stabilizing nucleus in each school, thereby assuring a well rounded faculty at every school.
- 5. As ratios of "substantially the same" are calculated, fractions of numbers will be dropped.
- 6. Personnel already working in schools with faculties predominately of the opposite race will be considered in the ratio but eliminated from re-assignment.
- 7. Teachers may volunteer for re-assignment before assignments are made. They may list two choices and be assigned on the basis of qualifications, senioriand available positions.
- 8. Informal arrangements may be made between two teachers so long as they satisfy the requirements of the Court Order and are approved by the Administration. For example, two teachers may swap assignments if both find commuting to school an extreme hardship.
- 9. In schools where a large percentage of teachers may have long experience, should it become necessary to transfer teachers of one race to other schools formerly for pupils of the same race as the teacher in order to create open positions so as to comply with the "ratio" provisions of the Court Order in all schools, such transfers will be made on the basis of qualifications, seniority and need.
- 10. No salary réductions will be made, regardless of re-assignment.
- 11. A review committee will be set up to hear hardship and grievance cases.
- 12. Final selection for re-assignment will be made by the same committee established for determining the stabilizing number of personnel to be returned at the

135

APPENDIX H

Teacher Transfers

`.F.

TEACHER TRANSFERS

The practice followed by the Personnel Department of the Birmingham Board, of Education is consistant with the mandate as set forth in the Court order dated June 19, 1970.

Desegregation of Faculty, and other Staff (Page 12).

- 1. The principals, teachers, teacher-aides and other staff who work directly with the children at a school shall be so assigned that in no case will the racial composition of the staff indicate that a school is intended for Negro students or white students. The district shall assign the staff described above so that the ratio of Negro to white teachers in each school, and the ratio of other staff in each, are substantially the same as each such ratio is to the teachers and other staff, respectively, in the entire school system. The school district shall, to the extent necessary to carry out this desegregation plan, direct members of its staff as a condition of continued employment to accept new assignments.
- 2. Staff members who work directly with children, and professional staff who work on the administrative level will be hired, assigned, promoted, paid, demoted, dismissed, and otherwise treated without regard to race, color, or national origin.

Also: Section 355 of Chapter V of the Rules and Regulations and Policies of the Birmingham Public Schools reads as follows:

Section 355 - Transfers of Teacher - Any teacher on continuing service status, upon the recommendation of the superintendent and the approval of the employing Board of Education, may be transferred for any succeeding year from one position, school or grade to another by being given written notice of such intention to transfer by the employing board, except that such transfer shall be without loss of status or violation of Contract, and such transfer may not be for political or personal reasons.

PROCEDURES:

- 1. The transfer of a teacher can be considered only when it conforms first, to the best interest of the total school program.
- 2. All requests for transfers must be made in writing by the teacher requesting the transfer giving his reason, showing how the transfer will conform to the best interest of the total school program,
- 3. Any teacher or other personnel has the right to request a transfer.
- 4. The decision to effect the requested transfer will be made by the Superintendent and his staff with the approval of the Board of Education.
- 5. All requests for transfers should be in the office of Personnel before June 1. However, some consideration might be given to requests made forty-live days prior to the opening of school.
- 6. The teacher requesting the transfer will be notified in writing if the



137

transfer is approved.

- C. The following lists the priorities under which a transfer is considered.
 - 1. The best interest of the total school program,
 - 2. Teacher's training and experience in the area of request.
 - 3. Ability of the Personnel Division to fill position vacated if transfer is made.
 - 4. Seniority of teachers requesting transfer to the position.
- D. When a teaching position becomes available because of retirement, resignation, leave of absence, etc: the following practice is followed in filling the position.
 - 1. The principals of the schools involved in the teacher transfer and placement, are consulted so that any change in faculty will be made in the best interest of the pupils.
 - 2. The qualified teacher with the longest service in the Birmingham Schools, who had been transferred from the school to another school to satisfy the court requirements will be offered the position.
 - 3. If he refuses, the position will be offered to the other qualified teachers, who had been transferred from the school to satisfy the court order in the order of their service in the Birmingham Schools.
 - 4. If no other qualified transferred teachers are available from the school, then the position will be offered to transferred teachers from schools in the adjoining areas until the position is filled.
 - 5. If no qualified transfer teacher is available, a new teacher will be hired for the position.



'APPENDIX I

Enrollment Reports for Birmingham Public

Schools from 1970-71 through 1973-74.

These reports include a breakdown of student enrollment and faculty composition according to individual school. Each report was submitted in September of the indicated school year and reflects the initial status of enrollment and staff assignments subsequent to the reporting of each to individual schools.

FIRST UNITARY

(a) The number of students by race enrolled in the school district. Principals' Report 9-14-70.

		Nonwo	- Total
7	MILLEG	<u>——уювто —</u>	10141
	. 7.4	•	
Birmingham School			
Birmingham School District	27,962	34,081	62,043

(b) The number of students by race enrolled in each school of the district.

School .	White	Negro	Total
Banks High	1,465	101	1,566 ⁻
Carver High	1 .	1,363	1,364
Ensley High	1,268	369	1,637
Glenn lligh	378	360	738
Hayes High	37	1,338	1,375
Huffman High -	1,456	13	1,469
Jones Valley High	799	204	1,003
Parker High	0	1,783	1,783
Phillips High	431	1,264	1,695
Ramsay High	568	604	1,172
West End High	984	. 763	1,747
Western High	1	1,345	1,346
woodlawn lligh	1,700	459	2,159
•	********		
Total High	9,088	9,966	3.9,054
Elementáry	`	•	• .
Avondale	506	155.	661
Baker	300	52	352
Barrett	764	167	931
Brown	733	43	776
Bryant	. 7	201	208
Bush	367	223	590
Calloway	· 0	488	488 [.]
Center Street	3	786	789
Central Park	. 569	. 3	572
Christian	491	Ö	491
Comer	385	. 27	412
Councill	0	1,026	1,026
Curry	729	101	830
Davis	. 0	480.	480
Dupuy	75	323	398
Eagan	52	· 286	338
Elyton ·	117	361	478
			•

School	White:	Negro	Total
Fairmont	. 212	69	202
Fairview	. 300	. 0	281. 300
Finley Avenue	· 500	403	408
Gate City	431	251	682
Gibson	- 562		- 621
Glen Iris	409	202	631
Going	1,089	0	1,089
Gorgas	159	123	282
Graymont	178	426	604
Green Acres	598	0	598
Hemphill	409	105	514
Rill	0	478	478.
Holman	328	90	418
ludson	0	1,795	1,795
Huffman	655	1	656
Inglenook	630	. 181	811
Jackson	, 390 ·	576	966
Jones Valley Elem.	236	228	464
Kennedy	205	119	324
Kingston	3 -	· 725	728
Lakeview	212	185	397
Lane	0	3.05	105
Lce	451	147	598
· Lewis	3	1,295	1,298
Lincoln	. 0	- 900	900
Martin)	0	475	475
McArthur	31 .	563 -	594
· McCaw	.0	73.1	711
McElwain	631	. 0	631
Minor	310	. 0	310
Moore .	· O	205	205
North Birmingham	206	260	466
North Roebuck	835	34	869
Northside	53	287	340
Norwood	320	· 163	483
Oliver	€, ~·	403	403
Parental	15	15	30
Patterson	41	248	289
Powderly	27 .	5 59	5 86
· Powell	137	138	~275
Pratt	. 44	471	515
Price	439	. 0	439
Princeton	27	142	169
Putnam	458	0	458
Riggins	0	209	209
Rilcy Robinson	. 472	. 413	415
Scott	472	66	.538
,	.3	43.6	419
Sherman Heights Shields	0	205	205
Smith -	878	· 629 .	640
South East Lake	642	0	898 643
- Danie Bust Danie	042		642
• •	. 1.4		· · ·

I. (b) Continued

· School	White	Negro	Total
Tugg le	3 •	927	930
Tuxado	. 0	386	386
Washing ton	0	1,041	1,041
West Center Street	3	272	275
· What Ley	50	.736	.786
Wilkerson	. 0	670	670
Wilson	297	107	404
Wylam .	3 25	161	486
Speech & Hearing	31	. 1.8	49
Total Elementary	18,874	24,115	` 42, 989
Grand Total	27,962	34,081	62,043

(a) The number of full time teachers by race in the district:

•	White	Negro	Total
Birmingham School	*		
. District	1,193	1,215	2,408

(b) The number of full time teachers by race in each school in the district:

School	White	Negro	Total
Banks High	· 42	2 5	67
Carver High	22	38	60
Ensley High	41	2 8.	69
Glenn High	29	14 -	43
Hayes High	22	39	61
Huffman High	38 .	. 2 5	63
Jones Valley High	25	17 •	42
Parker High	22	5 5	7 7
Phillips High	52	· 2 8	80
Ramsay High	27	22	49
West End High	44	30	74
Western High	20	50	70
Woodlawn High .	_56	36	92
Total High	440	. 407	847
Elementary		•	•
Avondale	13	11	24
Bake=	7 .	. 5	12
Barrett	20	12	32
Brown	15	9	.24
Bryant	. 4	6 .	10.
Bush	. 4 14	. 8 -	22
Calloway	· 8	16	24
Center Street	· .9	· 18	27 .
Central Park	.11	. 7	18
Christian	10	6	. 16
Comer	3 9	⋄ 5	14
Councill	13	. 22	35
Curry	17	·10	27
Davis	. 7	15	22
Dupuy_	6 .	8	14 ·
Eagan		. 6	. 11
Elyton	. ' 9	. 6 :	• 15

Robinson

Scott	6	10	16
Sherman Heights	3 -	; <u>-</u> 6	. 9
Shields	6.	18	. 24
Smith	. 17	11	28
South East Lake	11	. 9	· 20
Tuggle	11	23	. 34
Tuxedo	5	13	18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
Washington	12	.29	41
West Center Street	. 4 .	6	10
Whatley	9	18	27
.Wilkerson ·	. 9	· 13	22
Wilson	9	7	16
Wylam	11	7	18
•			
Homebound	5	. 2	7
Elyton-Charlanne PH	. 6	σ	6
Lakeview-Spch. & Hear.	18.	.0	18
Lewis PH	0	2	2
Slossfield-Spch. & Hear.	0	_6	6
Total Elementary	753 ·	808	1,561
Grand Total	1,193	1,215	2,408

145

(a) The number of students by race enrolled in the school district. Principals' Reports 9-10-71.

`	White	Negro	Total
Birmingham School		•	
District	26,076	33,671	59,747

(b) The number of students by race enrolled in each school of the district.

School	White	Negro	Total
Banks	1,416	151	1,567
Carver	10	1,334	1,344
Ensley	1,248	416	1,664
Glenn	300	451	751
Hayes 4	27	1,283	1,310
Huffman High	1,727	18	1,745
Jones Valley High	688	285	973
Parker	. 0	1,662	1,662
Phillips	270	1,375	1,645
Ramsay	543	429	972
West End	833	906	1,739
Western	o O	1,385	1,385
Woodlawn	1,634	613	2,247
Homebound High	14	6	20
	•		
Total' lligh	8,710	10,314	19,02/
Elementary			
Arthu-	496	0	496
Avondale	465	141	606
Baker	253	44	297
Barrett	679	163	842
Brown	. 621	29	650
Bryant	7	171	178
Bush	302	223	525
Calloway	0	608	608
Center Street	. 9	712	721
Central Park	593	6	599
Christian	449	Ó	449
Comer	334	26	360
Councill .	0	948	948
Curry	632	149	781
Davis	1.	459	460
Dupuy	21	349	370
Eagan	65	289	354
Elyton	124	313	437
Fairmont	178	72	250
Fairview	268	ō	268
_			-

I. (b) Continued

	•		• .
School	White	Negro	Total
Finley Avenue	. 2	350	352
Cate City	377	299	676
Gibser -	580.	51	631
Glen tris	339	200	539
'Going	546	0	546
Corgrs	156	112	268
Graymont	168	370	
Green Acres	574	0	. 538
Hemphill ·	414	100	574 514
Rill	0	483	. 483
Holman	323	102	
Hudson	,23 0	1,500	425
Buffman	593	1,500	1,500 . 594
Inglenok	550	192	
Jackson	167	835	742
Jones Valley	209	234	1,002
Kennedy	215	102	443
Kingston	12	662	317
Lakeview	135	192	674 . 327
Lee	401	135	536
Lewis	2	1,283	
Lincoln	0	837	1,285 837
Martin ·	. 2	386	388
McArthur	25	515	540
McCaw	0	- 651	651
McElwain	595	0	595
Minor	307	3	310
Moore	. 0	. 189	189
North Birmingham	122	- 302	424
North Rocbuck	780	41	. 821
Northside	66'	· 295	361
Norwood	216	294	510
Oliver - '	. 0	383	. 383
Parental	16	12	. 28
Patterson	22	228	250
Powderly	13°	576	589
Powell	. 124	117	.; 241
Pratt	. 34	- 549	583
Price	454	. 4	458
Princeton	38	128	166
Putnam	439	0	439
Riggins	. 0	206.	206
Riley	٠ 3	418	421
Robinson	·483	176	659
Scott	"1	388	389
Shorman Heights	۰0	128	128
Shields	. 13	570	. 583
Smith	585	` · O	585
South East Lake	622	0	622
		-	

I. (b) Continued

School	White	Negro	Total
Turgle 🥌 🕆	2	. 700	
The sale	2	. 788	. 790
Tuxedo	. 0	· 369	· 369
Washington	0	998	998
West Center Street	0	253	253
Whatley	37	7 36	773
Wilkerson	, 0	620	620
Wilson -	289	113	402
Kright	464	0	464
Wylam	308	161	- \469
llomebound	5	2	7
Speech and llearing	41	16	57
Total Elementary	17,366	23,357	40,723
Grand Total	26,076	33,671	59,747

ERIC Trull Start Provided by ERIC

•	(a) The number of full tim	e teachers	by race i	n the district:
•	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
		WHITE	NEGRO	TOTAL .
	Birmingham, School	•	٠.	•
	District	1232	1199	2431
•	(b) The number of full tim in the district:	c teachers	by race i	n each school
	School	. White	Negro	Total
•				•
	Banks	34	33	67
	Carver	· 28	28	. 56
	Ensley	35	34	69
	Glenn	21	• 20	41 .
	Hayes	30	30	60
	Huffman	37 .	37	74
	Jones Valley	23	22	45
	Parker	36	40	.76
	Phillips	41	35	76
	Ramsay	23	22	45
	West End	39	38	77
	Western	36	40	76
	Woodlawn	_55	47	102
	Total High School	439 ·	425	864
	Elementary			•
•	Arthur	8	8	· 1 6
	Avondale	10	10	20
	Baker	6	5 .	· 11
•	Barrett .	14	14	28
	Brown 5%	11	10	. 21
	Bryant	4	5 ··	9
_	Bush	- 10	9	19
•	Calloway	12	13	25
	Center Street	11	13	24
	Central Park	• 9	9	18 /
-	Christian	8	7	15
•	Comer	6 ·	6	12
	Council1	15	17	32
•	Curry	. 14	13	27
	Davis	10	11	21
	Dupuy	7	8	15
•	Eagan	6	7	· 13
	Elyton	7	8	15
	Fairmont	4	4.	8
	Fairview	5	4	9
	Finley Avenue	6,	6	12
	Gate City	12	13	25
r	Gibson	îī	10	21
	Glen Iris	12	11	· 23
	Going	• 9	8	. 17
-	Gorgas	5	5 '	10 ··

		•		II.	,
Grayuont '			.10	11	21
Green Acres			10	· 9	19
Hemphill	•		8 .	9	. 17
Hill			10	10	20
Nolman			7	7 .	14
lludson	•		24	25	49
Huffman	•	_	10	9	19
Inglenook		• •	12	12	. 24
Jackson 💮			17	2.6	33
Jones Valley	•		8		15
Kennedy			7	. 5	12
Kingston			11	12	23
Lakeview			. 7	8	15
Lee		_	10	9	19
Lewis			25	28	53
Lincoln			18	• 20	38
Martin			8	9	17
McArthur			10	10	20
McCaw	•	•	13	14	27
McElwain		•	10.	9	-19
Minor	-		5 T	5	10
Moore			4	5	9
North Birmingham			9	8	` 17
North Roebuck			13	. 13	26
Northside			. 6	. 13 7	13
Norwood .			9	• 9	18
Oliver -			7.	8	15.
Patterson `	-		4	5 -	<u>~</u> _ 9
Powderly		•	11	10	21
Powells "	•		5	. 4	9
Pratt			10	12	. 22
Price	• •		8	7	15
Princeton				4	9
Putnam .			5 7	7	14
Riggins			4	. ,5	. 9
Riley		•	7.	8	. 15
Robinson			10	9	. 19
Scott			7	8	15
	•		4	. 5	. 9
Sherman Heights Shields			12	13	25
Smith	•		10	9	19
South East Lake	•	:	10	9	19
		•	13	15	28
Tuggle Tuxedo		•	9	10·	19
		•	18	22	40
Washington West Center Street			5	6	11
Whatley			12	13 .	25
Wilkerson	_		11	13	24
Wilson		•	7	. 13	14
Wylam		,	9	8	. 17
			8	, o .	15
Wright -	•			. —	
Total Elementary		•••	726	···744	71470
	•		•		•
•	_	•	•	4 1 4 1 1	-
, ;		•		150	

'.m

· .			
Adult Workshop ENR	1	0	. 1
Pratt ENR	. 1	1	. 2
Homebound .	4	4	8
Calloway EMR	· <u>~</u>	i	i
Barrett EMR	1.	- 0	· 1
Bryant ER	Ō,	ĭ	. î
Bush EMR	. 2	Õ.	2
Gate City ENR	., -	1	. 3
Gibson ENR	. 2	1 '	
Hemphill EMR	. ī	1	3 2
Kennedy EMR	, 2	1.	3
Lakeview EMR	. 1	1	2
Lewis EMR	i	1 .	2
Lincoln ENR	· î	1	2
Martin EMR	1.	. 0	
McArthur EMR	-	• 0	1
Tuxedo EMR	0	1	1
Washington EMR	1	1	2
Enrichment	i.	1	2
Elyton Charlanne Phys. Hand	- 6	U·,	. 6,
Lewis-Slossfield Phys. Hand.	l. 3½	1	45
		~ 1	· 2½
Lakeview Spch. & Hear. (Deaf		0	9
Lewis-Slossfield Spch. & Hrg		5	· 9.
Lakeview Spch. & Hrg.	11 .	" 2	13
Barrett Partially Seeing	1	. 0	1
Tuggle Partially Seeing	1	1	. 2
Glen Iris LD	1.	0	1
Barrett Blind	1	0	1
South East Lake LD	_1_		1.8
m	4-	•	
Total Elem. Special Edu.	62 "	27	89
7 1	_		
Parker H. S. EMR	· 1	2 ,	3
Phillips H.S. EMR	4	1	5
		~	. •
Total High School Sp. Edu.	5	3	8
	_		
Total Special Education	67	30	97 `
Total High School	. 439	425	864
Total Elementary	726	744	1470-
	•		
Grand Total	1232	1199	2431
	•	•	

(a) The number of students by race enrolled in the school district. Principals' Reports 9-13-72.

•	White	Negro	Total
Birmingham School		•	•
District	23,486	34,284	57,770

(b) The number of students by race enrolled in each school of the district.

HIGH

SCHOOLS		White	Negro	Total
Banks	١.	1,352	1.32	. 1,484
Carver	•	1	1,362	1,363
Ensley		1,139	432	1,571
Glenn	•	273	452	725
Hayes		12	1,211	1,223
Huffman	. 1	1,810	18	1,828
. Jones Valley	1	533	466	999
Parker	•		1,673	1,673
Phillips /	1	152	1,436	1,588
Ramsay	1	348	- 635	983
West End	\	653	1,020	1,673
Western	\ •		1,370	1,370
Woodlawn	./	1,601	575	2,176
Homebound	\ ;	19	7	26
	\			
Total High		7,893	10,789	18,682
ELEMENTARY	•	•		
Arthur	<i>\</i>	'508	2	510
Avondale	/.	428	115	543
Baker .	\	219	. 38	257
Barrett	/	·629	126	755 ⁻
Brown		610	39	649
Bush		290	230	/520
Calloway		\ .	522	522
Center Street		1.2	650	662
Central Park	_	515	√ 6	/ 52i
Christian	_	392	• —	392
Comer		282	. 34	316
Councill		7-	826	826
Curry	•	608	165	773
Davis			388	388
Dupuy		10	. 387	397' ~
Eagan		21	387	408~
Elyton	_	115	226	341
Fairmont		144	74	218
Fairview		277	8	285
Finley Avenue	•	. 3 .	318	321
Gate City		313	365	678
Gibson	•	556	70	626
	. •	-		

152

I. (b) Continued

•			5
SCHOOL	White	Negro	Total
Glen Iris	304	· 135	439
Going	539		539
Gorgas'	137	89	226
Graymont	. 145	420	565
Green Acres	521	420	521
Hemphi'1	. 396	96	492
Hill	550	429	429
Holman	2 82	67	
Hudson	. 202	1,382	349
Huffman	530	. 1	1,382
Inglenook	5 00	187	531
Jackson	5 6	939	687
Jones Valley	1 80	289	995 ×
Kennedy	1 89	. 171	469
Kingston	19	667	360
Lakeview	108		686
Lee		167	275
Lewis	349	145	494
Lincoln .	8	959	967
Martin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73 4	734
McArthur	2	348	350
McCaw	20 .	512	532
McElwain	500	59 8	598
Minor	527		321
	293	10	303
Moore		199	199
North Birmingham	86	385	471
North Roebuck	. 641	41.	682
Northside .	49	253	302
Norwood	122	450	572
Oliver	-	363	363
Parental	6	1 5	. 21
Patterson	23	199.	222
Powderly	. 3	879	882
Powell	89 •	153	242
Pratt	. 42	51 0	552
Price	· 364 {	20	384
Princeton	. 29	136	165
Putnam	424	-	424
Riggins		591	591
Riley	, 5	380	385 ⁻
Robinson	. 454	163	617
Scott		382 .	· 382
Sherman Heights	-	. 145	145
Shields	.7	559	566
Smith	· 538		538
South East Lake	572		572
Tuggle	1 2	687 .	689
	τ		

I. (b) Continued

· SCHOOL '	White 1	Negro	Total
Tuxedo Washington West Center Street Whatley Wilkerson Wilson Wylam Wright Spaulding Homebound Speech and Hearing	212 309 521 4 43	396 951 222 719 573 144 148 	396 951 222 730 573 356 457 521 490
Total Elementary	15,593	23,495	39,088
Grand Total	23,486	34,284	57,770

• ,	11-2-72			,			9					_
177	CEN/fw	•			·•		#. {	•	•	•	•	
	••	•		•	•	•		. •		•		
-	Birmingkam School Distric	t by E	ace	and	har Sola	001	· TA	-ACH	ERS.			
Γ.	1.7	<u> </u>		******	by acti	0015.		<u>-</u>				
	SCHOOL.		EGRO	וֹד (TLE PR	oc : 27	HITE	ጥፐጥ	DE PROG.	で ○で 4 手		
			•	<u>ن</u> ـ		<u> </u>	11116	. 111	DE TROU.	TOTAL.	TITLE PR	(),
٢	Banks High School	3	1	0	•	• 3.	5.	0		66	0	
	Carver High School	3	2	.0	_	2		ĭ	•	55	1	
٠.	Ensley High School	_	4	1	•	3		2	,	70 70	7	
L	Glenn High School		5	,· 0		2:		ī		, 37	1	
1	Hayes High School		2	, 2 .		. 2		î		60	7	
l.,	Huffman High School	3	3	.0		4:		Ô	•	73	0.	
	Jones Valley High School	2	0	0		2		ດ້	~	45 ·	ι 0 . 1 0	
	Parker High School	4	3	0	•	4		2	•	83	2	
t_	Phillips High School	2	7 .	. 0		3		ō		74	0	
	Ramsay High School	- 2	0	0	•	2:		2	1	43	2	
	West End High School	3	7	0		3		7		75.	7	
<u></u>	Western High School	4	6	1	•	2		2	••	72	÷ .	
	Woodlawn High School	4	1	0			5.	2	•	93	2	
				`						22		
L.	Total High Schools	42	1	4	•	430	3	14		851 -	18	
-						•		-		VJI.	10	
<u>.</u> '	Arthur Elementary		8	0		•	3	0	•	.17	n	
	Avondale Elementary	1	0	0	٨	10)	Ō		20	0 ,	•
4	Baker Elementary		5	· 1	·		5	0	•	11	; 1	
<u></u>	Barrett Elementary		3	0		18	3	1		31	ĩ	
'	Brown Elementary		۵. ′	0		. 13	L.	0	•	21	<u> </u>	
نا ـ	Bush Elementary		0	2		13	3	2		23	4 .	
r.~	Calloway Elementary	1		·2	,	1. 5	}	0		22	2	٠
	Center Street Elementary	, 1		1	•	12	?	0	· •	25	ī.	
<u> </u>	Central Park Elementary		8	0		· 11		0		_19	<u> </u>	_
•	Christian Elementary	,	6	0		8	3	0		14	0	
,	Comer Elementary		5 ·	0	••	7	7	0		12	Ô	
٠ ،	Councill Elementary	2		.2		15	`	0	•,	35 :	2	
	Curry Elementary Davis Elementary	.14		1	,	14	ŀ	2	•	·28°	3	
Γ.,	Dupuy Elementary	10		3	•	6	•	0	•	16	3 .	
<u> </u>	Eagan Elementary		B	0		. 6		0		14	0	
•	Elyton Elementary		3	Ť	_ •	7	,	0 .	.*	15	1 ·	
ſ.	Fairmont Elementary		/ /.	. T	-	11		0	•	18	1	
L.	Fairview Elementary		ŧ . ", ኢ	. 0		5		0		9	0	
	Finley Avenue Elementary		† 7	1		. 6		0	*	10	0	
1	Gate City Elementary	14	,		•			0		13	1	
L	Gibson Elementary	1:		2	•	15		4		29	8	,
	Glen Iris Elementary	î		۶.		14 . 11		÷.	*	26	3	
5	Going Elementary		3	7				7	. ,	21	4	,
	Gorgas Elementary			٠,		10		0.		18	0 :	•
_	Graymont Elementary	13	•	1		5	•	0		9	1	•
ſ	Green Acres Elementary	- 8		ñ	~	10		Ŭ .		20	~1	•
	Hemphill Elementary	Š	3	ņ		12		2	,	18	0 '	
L	Hill Elementary	Ì)	. 3		14	•	7		20	2 .	
ry	Nolman Elementary		,	Ô		. 7		٠. ١		16	4 "	
	Homebound	, <u>į</u>	}	0		<u>/</u>		7		12	. U .	
L	Hudson Elementary	28		· 3		. 20		7		9	0	•′
~~	Nuffman Elementary ·	- 8		0	•	10		U ·	•	48	.4	
r	Inglenook-Elementary	12	•	3		13			•	18	2 155	
لي.	Jackson Elementary	18		ī		· 17		0		25 35~	1	~
	0	•	•	(contin			۲ ,	•	٠		
FR	ŘĬC								•	,	• •	
A Full Text Pr	ovided by ERIC	•			I16 ⁻	•			/ .		·	
					110	. •		•	(. 7

SCHO	OL	• .	NEGRO	TTT	E PP.OG	. WHITE	TITLE P	ROG. TOT	AL TIT	LE P
	•	a a				~ 	•			
	s Valley Element	tary	8	0 /		. 11 '	2 _ s	19	. 2	•
	edy Elementary	1	. 7	1 \	`	8	Ö	15	Ţ	
	ston Elementary	. \	14	1	· •	12	0	26	1	
	view Elementary	į ,	5.	,O		7	1	12	• 1	
Lake	view Speech & he	earing \setminus	2	0	•	21	0 ,	23	0	
Lec	Elementary ~		∖ 9	1 1		11	0	20	. 1	
Lewi	s Elementary	•	23 .	2		. 1,7	2	40	4	
Line	oln Frementary		\19 .	4		, 1 .3	0 .	32	. 4	
Mart	in Elementary	•	√ 9 ·	2		6	0	15	2 .	
McA	thur Elementary	•	1,10,	· 2	•	. 10	0	20	2	
	w Elementary		12	1		11	2 .	23	3	
	wain Elementary		8	0	_	11	. 0	19	. 0	
	or Elementary .		5	0		6	0	11	0 .	
	e Elementary	•	6 \	2 ′		4	0	10	· 2	
	th Birmingham El	emen tarv	10 🛝	ī	•	10	2	20	3	
	h Reobuck Eleme		11	· 0		12	O	23	Ō	
	hside Elementar		7	\ 1"		5	Õ.	12	1	
	rood Elementary	,	10	\ ī	,	10	õ	20	. # 7.	
	ver Elementary	^	9	- 2		6	1	15	3	
	terson Elementar		. `6	Ť		, A	$\hat{\mathbf{o}}$.	10	í	
	derly Elementary		18	1		12	0	30	1	
		. «	E .	7	•	. 42 7	3	12	. 3	
	ell Elementary	-	. 13	.3 \		. 6	2	. 22	2	
	tt Elementary		. 13	0	\		.0	. 14	<u>~</u>	
	e Elementary	•	0	0	\	، د	0 .		. 0	
Pri	nceton Elementar	y .	. 4	1 Q	.\ .	2	2 . ,	19	<i>L</i>	
	nam Elementary	_	/	Û (<i></i> :\	8	U	15.	0	•
	gins Elementary	_	II ·	0 -	/	10	. 1	21	· T	
	ey Elementary	•	9	٠,٢		7	Ţ	. 16		•
	inson Elementary	· . 4 .	8	. 0	•	13	O O	21	. 0	
	tt Elementary.		9	2		7	0	16	2	
	rman Heights Ele		5	3.	,	. 2	0	7	3	
	elds Elementary	•	`14	2 -		9	0,	23	2	
Smi	th_Elementar;		8	0		10	0	. 18	0	•
Sou	th East Lake Ele	mentary	7	0		11	O ,	. 18	0	
Spc	ech & Hearing Co	enter	2,	.0		21	. 0	23	0	
Tug	gle Elementary		15	. 1		10	0	. 25	1	
Tux	edo Elementary		10	1	•	6	0	16	1	
Was	hington Elementa	iry	22	4\	•	1 5	0 .	37	4	
	t Center Street		ry 6	, 1 [\]		4	σ	10	. 1	,
	tley Elementary	`	13	0	•	11	0	24	. 0 .	•
	kerson Elementar	v	12	-1	•	11	0	23		,
•	son Elementary		6	. 2		9	1	1.5	3	
	ght Elementary	•	. 8	0		10	. 0	18	_ o	•
	am Elementary		7	0	•	10	i	17		
	ulding Elementar	~~	9	. 0.		8	<u> </u>	-17		
, opu	araziie mrementar	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		^		<u></u>			• \	•
Tot	al Elementary So	chools	764	79	•	. 762	37	1526	118	į
Tot	al High Schools	•	421	4		430	14	851	_18	3 · /
Tot	al All Schools	•	1185	. 83		1192	51	2377	134	
+01	di lili belloots	•	+202	.5		. ==>=		-511	A 1	,

II

(a) The number of students by race enrolled in the school district. Principals' Reports 9-12-73.

	White	Negro	Total
Birmingham School	••	•	
District	20,867	33,621	54,488

(b) The number of students by race enrolled in each school of the district.

•				
HIGH SCHOOLS	White	Negro	Total	6 5-
Banks	1,291	128	1,419	
Carver	2	1,336	1,338	
Ensley	1,064	448	1,512	
Glenn	197	476	673	
Hayes .	9	1,164	1,173	
. Huffman	1,862	25	1,887	
Jones Valley	427	530	957	
Parker `	١	* 1,574	1,574	
Phillips	[•] 84	1,451	1,535	
Ramsay	315	618	933	
West End	506	1,073	1,579	۲,
Western (Jackson-Olin)		1,282	1,282	
Woodlawn	1,403	610	2,013	
Homebound	24	12	36	
Workshop, Inc.	8_	10	18	
Total High	7,192	10,737	17,929	
ELEMENTARY		• •		
Arthur	543	2 ·	545	
Avondale	344	73	417	
Baker	17 7	42	219	
Barrett	568	125	693	
Brown	5 45	47	592	
Bush	[′] 304	241	545	
· Calloway	****	494	494	
Center Street	21`	601	622	
Central Park	502	12	514	
Christian	3 53	<i>′</i> ——	353	
Comer	242	35	277	
Councill .	•••	755	755	
Curry	541,	148	689	
Davis	•	368 .	368	
Dupuy	· 6	. 364	370	
Eagan	24	. 386	410	
Elyton	87 ·	256	343	
Fairmont `	1 17	, 89 ,	206	1
<u>*</u> Fairview	2 52	£ £, 17	269	
_				

Γ.

·	-	♣ '	•	
SCHOOL.	White	Negro '	Total	
Finley Avenue	21.40	28 9	289	
Gate City	200	406	606	
Gibson ·	499	72	571	
Glen Iris	199	86	285	
Going	518		~ 518	•
Corgas, ·	103	** 84	187	
Graymont	86	. 458	544	•
Green Acres	459		. 459	
Hemphill	339	86	425	
H111	3 37	4 26	426	•
Holman	238	64	302	X
Hudson ·		1,256	1,256	`
Huffman	470	9	479	
Inglenook	470	175	674	
Jackson	24	1,007	1,031	
	. 133	306	439	•
Jones Valley	147	139	÷ 286	-
Kennedy	13	•		
Kingston		619	. 632 266	
Lakeview	92 260	174		
Lee	269	144	413	
Lewis	. 8	825	833	**
Lincoln	the s	661	661	
Martin	·	312	312	
McArthur	18	.531	549	
McCaw	en Esó	515	515	•
McElwain	459		459	
Minor	311	14	325	•
Moore		-187	187	
North Birmingham	63	479	542.	• ′
North Roebuck	557	44	601	
Northside	26	229	. 255	
Norwood .	60	552	612	
Oliver	***	327	327	•
Parental	16	14	30	
Patterson	17	197	214	•
Powderly	****	834	834	,
Powel1	59	182	241	-
Pratt	41	487 + ^	528	
Price	265	·• 90	355	`
Princeton	13	122	135	`
Putnam	354	****	354	
Riggins		561 ′	` 561	•
Riley .		384	, 384	
Robinson	379	152	531	
Scott	·	379	379	
Sherman Heights	•	132	. 132	
Shields	8	535	543	
Smith	502	·	. 502	
South East Lake	542	2	544	4 ~ *
Spaulding		. 511	511	158
Tuggle		677	677	
				•

I. (b) Continued

SCIIOOL -	White	Negro	Total
•	•		•
Tuxedo	-	393	393
Washington		· 895	· 895
West Center Street		202	202
Whatley	15`	706	721
Wilkerson	·	513	513
Wilson	154	194	348
Wright	511	Pro-440	511
Wylam	266	142	408
Epic .	71	~ 27	98
Homebound	6	4~ .	10
Speech and Hearing	40	18	58
Total Elementary	13,675	22,884	36,559
Grand Total	20,867	33,621	54,488

(a)	The number o	f full	time t	eachers	Ъy	race	in	the	district	-
•	1973-74 (as	of Oct	ober 2,	1973):						

(a) The number of full 1973-74 (as of Oct		by race in	the district	-
•	White	Negro	Total	
Birmingham School			•	
District	1,125	1,157	2,282	
.•		-		•
(b) • The number of full the district:	time teachers	by race in	each school	in '
HIGH SCHOOLS	White	Negro .	Total	
¹ Banks	37	29	66	
Carver	24	37	61	
Ensley	38	32	70	
Glenn	27	-14	. 41	
Hayes	28	31	5 9·	
Huffman	51	33 '	84 .	
Jones Valley	24	· -21	45	
Parker	. 34	44	7 8	
\Phillips	. 36	36	72	•
Ramsay	24	20	44	
West End	38	36	. 74	
Jackson-Olin	23	. 47	70	
Foodlawn	. 54	34	88	
Holy Family		1	1	
Total High	4 38	415	853	
ELEMENTARY	•	••		
Arthur	10	. 10	20	
Avon dale'	8	8	16	
. Baker	5	4	9	
Barrett -	14	_ 11	25	•
Brown	11 .	10	21	
Bush .	. 9	9 .	18	•
Calloway	8	10	18	
Center Street	11,	14	25	
Central Park	10	. 9	. 19	•,
Christian	7	6	13	
Comer'	6	4	10	
Councill	13	16	2 9	
Curry	15	15	30 15	
Davis	6 7	9 7	13	
Dupuy	7	8	15	
Eagan	6 .	7	13	
Elyton EPIC	8	6	14	
		3	6	
East Lake Kindergarten Fairmont	3 3 5	, ,	, , 9	100
Fairview .		. 6 5 `	, 10	160
Finley Avenue	5	6	11	•
Gate City	· 12	11	23	•
• •	11 .	· <u>11</u>	22	121
Gibson	<u> </u>	7.7	66	

II. (b) Continued

_School ~	White	Negro	Total
Glen Iris Coing	. 8 10	5·	13 17
Corgas	4	9	19 \
Graymone	7	5	, g \
Green Acres	10	12 8	19
Hemphill .	8	8	18
Hill	9		16
r' Holman	8	11 5	20
lludson	. 21	30	13
Huffman	. 21	8	51
Inglenook	h. 13 ~	12	17
Inglenook Dudley		12	· 25
garten	3	3	6
Jackson	18	18	36
Jones Valley	9 .	. 8	17
Kennedy	5	5	10-
Kingston	. 10	14	24
Lakeview	5	1 4	9
Lee	8	N 6	14
Lewis	15	18	33
Lincoln	. 10	14	. 24
Martin	5	- 7·	. 12
McArthur	9	• 11	20
McCaw	8	11	J9
McElwain ~	. 9		18
Minor	6	9 5 ,	îi
Moore	4	4	8
North Birmingham	10	10	20 ,
North Roebuck	11	11	22
Northside	4	. 6	10 .
Norwood	11	12	23
Oliver	8	9	17
Patterson	4	9 - 5	9
Powderly	14	· 16	· 30
Powell	6	· , 4	_ 10
Pratt	, ' 9	10	19
Price	7 .	. ^ 6 ,	13
Princeton	, , , 5	5	10
Putnam	7	6	13
Riggins	11	11	2 2
Riley	6	10	16
Robinson	10	10	2 0
Scott	7	10	17
Sherman Heights	2	5	. 7
Shields	11	13 1	24
Smith South East Lake	. 9	9.	18
Spaulding	12	10	22
Tuggi	9	10	19 161
Tuxedo	'10	14	24
, ,	, 6	. 8	14

II. (b) Continued

School	White	Negro	Total
Washington	. 12	22	34
West Center Street	5	4	9
Whatley	- 13	14	27
Wilkerson	9	11	20
Wilson	9	8	17
Wright	10	9	19′
Wylam	9	9	18
Total Elementary	687	742	1,429
Total High School	438	415	853
Total Elementary	687 [,]	742	1,429
Total All Schools	1,125	1,157	2,282

(Holy Family - Mrs. Gwendolyn White - B and 1 B Aide)

APPENDIX J-1

Program Outline for the Preparation of Principals, Supervisors and Directors for Desegregation of Schools.

P. S. V.

APPENDIX J-2

Program Outline for the Preparation of School Leadership Group (500 members from 89 schools) for Desegregation of Schools.

S		LEAD! THE GROUP (CLG)			,	ide that the standard and the	
ER FullText Prov	op:	15-19 Monday-Fred:					XIQN. JV.
ided by ERIC		ICIPATIS: Approx.	PARTICIPATIS: Approx. 500, Team members from 39	Schools (13 H	PHILLIPS HIGH SCHOOL 18th - 76 Elementary)		
PROGRAM:	-1:	ire containing conte	Prochure containing content and organization pl	กกกเร of		# C	
3 7		CONTRAT			METHOD	OBJECTIVES	FINDS RECHTRED
21-5 21-5	1~1:30	REGISTRATION	·			TO BE RET	י י י י י י י י י י י י י י י י י י י
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1:30-1:50	ORIENTATION .	ENTIRE GROUP seated as they wish	DR. CHRISTIAN	PLANNED PRESENTATION	1, 7, 10	V) (
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1:55-2:30	THE PLAN	SAVIE	DR. SPAIKS	PLANNED PRE-	9, 10	no funds for speaker
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2:30-2:45	INTRODUCTION OF WORKSHOP STAFF	SAME	DR. MATHERSON DR. PHILLIPS	VISUALS	1	· :
	2:45-3:15	BREAK				/ 0, 7	spun sou
J-2/1	β:15-4:45 _ξ	TALENT SHOWCASE	ENTIRE GROUP seated according to preplanned inter- racial pattern	BLACK AND WHITE STUDEN IS	HUS-C, DANCE, DRALA, DEBATE, ART, FASHIONS) 1 1	no stipend-funds to purchase small memen to for student
	4:50-5:50	FENCES	ENTIRE GROUP	GROUP OF ACTORS	איאשמ	1. 10	participant
:	5:50-6:00	WHAT WE HAVE DONE AND WHERE WE ARE	ENTIRE GROUP				·
, i	CHECK OUT	-			7		
3	1:00-2:00	LOOK AT US We are different - but alike	ENTIRE GROUP Seated according to preplanned inter- racial pattern	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	CREATIVE REPORT 1, 10 ON SURVEY OF VALUES (BLACK & WHITE STUDENTS)	, 10 ENTS)	\$15 for 500 - \$7,500
	2:00-3:00	SOME PROBLEMS OF THE BLACK MAN IN OUR COMMUNITY	SANIE AS ABOVE	URBAN LEAGUE ()	TALK - SLIDES I	1,10	no funds required
•	167	•	h-				166
	1	The state of the s				Contract Con	

i	ON NEWS PRINT	HAMBLING LISTED ON NEWS	AND SUGGESTIOMS FOR	or group appoint - problems	PREPARATION OF G	5:30-6:00 CHECK OUT	
168		-	•	, ,	ING TOGETHER EFFECTIVELY AND STRATEGIES FOR CROSSING THEN	169	
\$25 for 40 FACs	1, 2, 3, 10	GROUP LISCUSSION	DISCUSSION LED	20 GROUPS OF 25. EACH	BARAICRS WILCH PRIVERT OUR WORK-	4:10-5:30	
	IN YESTERDAY	GROUP THEY WERE I	- PARTICIPANTS REIMIN IN SAME GROUP THEY WERE	ETING PLACE - PARTICIPA	HOVE TO GROUP HEETING PLACE	4:10-4:20	
\$100 consultants f	1, 2, 10	LECTURE & DE-KONSTRATION	NTL REP.	ENTIRE GROUP Preplanned scating	LEARNING TO NON: TOCKINER (Principles of group dynamics)	3:10-4:10	
-	•				BREAK	2:40-3:10	, .
no funds for progr	1, 3, 10	PANEL	PAMEL OF TRACHERS WHO HAVE HADE TRANSITION SUCCESSFULLY	ENTIRE GROUP	II DIO NOT Harren to de	1:40-2:40	.J-2/2
no funds for progr	1, 10	PLANNED PRESEWIATION INC.	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	ENTINE GROUP Seated as they vish (make count)	PEARS ESSSION	1:10-1:40	<u> </u>
\$15 for 500					CHECK IN	1:00-1:10	71-9
	SD HANDLING	LONG WITH SUGGESTI	STED ON NEWS PRINT ALONG WITH SUGGESTED HANDLING	PREPAREU - FEARS LI	GROUP RUPORT TO BE	5:45-6:00	•
\$25 for 40 FACs	1, 2, 3, 10	DISCUSSION .	DISCUSSION LED BY INTERRACIAL TEAU OF 2 FACS	20 GROUPS OF 25 EACH	IN FFARS AND HOW TO HANDLE	4:45-5:45	
•	emily, erc.	GROUPED ACCORDING TO SCHOOLS, COLEMPITY,	ANTS GROUPED ACCORDI	- PARTICIP	HOVE TO GROUP HEETING PLACE	4:30-4:42	
\$50 consultants fe	1, 10	LECTURE AND USE OF BOOKLET PRE-	A GPO;) PSYCHIATRIST	Same as above.	AUXIETY AUD HOW TO HANDLE IT	3:30-4:30	1 2
					BREME	3:00-3:30	MI DE PRICE
الالدالية ال	,		•		פגסניזי	L LEAD" SHIP GROUP	ER Full Text Provide

Married Comments of the comment of t					
\$25 for 40 FACs	DISCUSSION 1, 2, 3, 10	GROUP DISCUSSION LED BY FACS	13 GROUPS' High School with feeder schools	HOW CAN WE ORGANIZE TO WEET OUR NEW PROBLEMS?	4:20-5:15
0	. ,	•	TING PLACES	HOVE TO GROUP MEETING PLACES	4:10-4:20
no funds for teachers	PLANNED 1, 5, 6, 8, PRESENTATION, 10 DEMONSTRATION	TEACHERS TO DEMONSTRATE, DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE TO PLAN	ENTIRE GROUP :: Seated as they wish ,	TEACHING TECHNI- QUES & APPROACHES WHICH HAVE WORKED IN INTERRACIAL SETTING	3:10-4:10
				впелж	2:40-3:10
Consultant's fee	TALK 1, 4, 10	DR. LAURA GAINES	ENTIRE GROUP Planned seating	LEARNING TO TALK WITH EACH OTHER	1:40-2:40
	VISUALS, BROCHURE 1, 3, 4, TO BE GIVEN OUT PLANNED PRESENTATION	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	ENTIRE GROUP Planned seating	REPORT ON NEGATIVE WORDS & FACILITATING PLANS	1:10-1:40
\$15 for 500				CHECH IN	6-19 1:00-1:10
	NTE COMMUNICATION	LANS TO DO TO FACILITATE	REPORT ON WILL SCHOOL PI	RE GROUP	
	1, 3, 4,	IMFORMAL DISCUSSION	89 GROUPS OF 5 EACH	FACILITATING COSEUNICATION IN OUR SCHOOL	5:00-5:45
7		S AND MANNERISMS	PREPARE GROUP REPORT ON NEGATIVE WORDS		4:30-5:00
\$25 for 40 FACs	GROUP DISCUSSION 1, 3, 4,	DISCUSSION LED BY FACS	20 Groups of 25 Each	BUILDING A NEW VOCABULARY	3:30-4:30
consultant's fee	TALK 4, 10	DR. LAURA GAINES	ENTIRE GROUP seated as they wish	LAGUĄGE AND SPEECH PATTERNS	2:05-3:00
no funds	TAIK, SLIDES, 1, 2, 3, ROLE PLAYING 10	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	ENTIRE GROUP Scated as they wish	DARRIERS AND STRATEGIES	.1:10-2:00
\$15 £ 500	7-24			CHICK IN	1:00:1
APISTADIX P. 3			•	, que e	dilisdwir, 70 R

r

. :

172

J-2/

APPENDIX J-3

Program Outline for the Preparation of 100 High School Student Leaders for Desegregation of Schools.

ERIC.	ur work "10P:	June 22-26 Honday, PARTICIPANTS: App	Honday-Friday 1 P.H. S. Approx. 100 High School by letter from Superintes	ool stude, leaders from 13 intendent of Schools prior	PHILLIPS HIGH SCHOOL Leaders from 13 High Schools to be invited Schools prior to June 1, 1970	to be invite 70	d d
PROGRAM:		brochure containing	Written brochure containing content and organization plus		names of participants will	- 1	- 1
DATE	14	CONTENT	STRUCTURE	וא אווסיו	ורעונסה	OBJECTIVES TO BE MET	FUIDS REQUINED
£ 6-22	1:00-1:30	PESISTRATION			•	, •	no stipend to stud
	1:00-1:30 MUSIC	MSIC	GMTIRE GROUP	insic grout	LIVE PRESENTATION,	1, 10	\$20 to 12 FACs
,	1:30-1:50	ORIENTATION .	HNTIRE GROUP seated as they wish	DR. CHRISTIAN	PLANNED PRESENTATION	1, 7, 10	on funds
_	1:55-2:30	THE PLAN	SAME AS ABOVE	DR. SPARKS	LECTURE, VISUALS	9, 10	no funds
•	2:30-2:45	INTRODUCTION OF . WORKSHOP STAFF	SAME AS ABOVE	DR. HATHERSON DR. PHILLIES			· .
J-3	2:45-3:15	BREAK - : WSIC AND COKES	cokes.		₽	• •	
· /1·	3:15-4:45	TALENT SHOWCASE	ENTIRE GROUP seated according to preplanned interracial pattern	BLACK AND VHITE STUDENTS	YUSIC, DANCE, DRAMA, DEBATE, ART, FASHIONS	'I' 10 ·	no funds
	4:45-5:00	WHERE HAVE WE BEEN AND WHERE WE ARE GOING	ENTIRE GROUP same as above	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	Plesentation	1, 10	· · ·
6-23	1:00-1:15	REGISTRATION				•	no stipends for students
175	1:00-1:30	:usic	ENTIRE GROUP seated according to plan	MUSIC GROUP	LIVE PRESENTATION	1, 10	17/
),	1:30-2:30	EEARNING TO WORK TOGETHER (Principles of group dynamics)	ENTIRE GROUP seated according to plan	NTL REP.	PLANNED PRESENTATION		

T Now	
ER	200

Sed by ERIC SAQ

401.

BREAK - CORES, MUSIC AND MOVE TO CROUP MELITIME PLACE 2:30-3:00

1, 2,3,10 \$20 for 12 FACs

GROUP DISCUSSION

GROUP DISCUSSION TURNED ON BY

6 GROUPS OF APPROX. 15 EACH

FACs

4 P.P. W. DIK

HORKING TOGETHER CFFEC"IVELY AND STPATEGIES FOR BARRIERS WIICH PREVENT OUR 3,700-4:30.

CROSSING THEM

4:30-5:00

ENTIRE GROUP TURN OFF AND PREPARE REPORT CHECK IN AND rusic

1:00-1:30

CHECK OUT

no stipend for

students

PRESENTATION

MOSIC GROUP

Preplanned Seating

BARRICRS AND REPORT ON

1:30-2:00

SAME AS ABOVE.

ASSOCTA

task* by student then drawing of PRESENTATION CREATIVE APPROACH P_ANNED

DIRECTOR AND

SAME AS ABOVE

FOR REMAINDER OF TASK DESCRIPTION

2:00-2:30

WORKS110P

J-3/2.

177

STRATECIES

ASSOCIATE

10

from cach group

176

Write poems or jingles (o create better understanding among students Write a 25 minute play or skit to create better interracial understanding among students Make posters and write slogans to create better in erracial understanding among students Write a 25 minute play or skit to create better interracial understanding among students Write songs to create better interracial understanding among students. Develop a plan for welcoming and orienting new students

BREAK - COKES, MUSIC AND MOVE TO GROUP HEETING PLACE

(2 groups will be assigned this same tash:)

2:30-3:00

6 CROUPS ASSIGNED LIGHT ON 3:00-5:00

TASKS CHECK OUT

GROUP ACTIVITIES SPARKED BY FACS

ACTIVITIES

CREATIVE

\$20 for 12 FACS

materials funds for

•	
,	, ,
	,
÷	
) 1	

2. 2. 3.	the		
CKOUP	35	•	
 L. TIKE	seated	wish	

ווונונ פויסתי

no stipends for

students

P.S.S.T.YTION

\$20 for 12 FACs

CREATIVE AJTIVITIES

SPARKID BY INTER-

same composition

MACIAL TEAM

GROUP ACTIVITIES

1	۳)
	ġ
3	٠
_	
)
7	•
107:N	7
Ğ	-
7	•
1	
_	
*	
-	-

1	
63	
٠.	
PEND!	

funds for refreshments for students

4,10

\$20 for 12 FACs

1,2,3,10

no stipends for

students

PRESENTATION

CREATIVE ACTIVITIES

SPARKED BY INTER-

MACIAL TEAM

MUSIC GROUP

seated as they

DISM: GIT S

CHECK IN

1:00-1:30

wish

CHTINE GROUP

3:00-3:39 BREAK - IUSIC, ICE CREAM, FAVORS

3:30-5:00 SHOW AND TELL

CIIÉCIKYOUT

GROUPS 1,2,3

SHOW AND TELL

1:30-3:00

GROUP ACTIVITIES

6 GROUPS

HORK ON ASSIGNED

3:30-5:00

TASK

178

ב אַסוויי־יוטף		
1:00-1:30 CHICK IN AND HUSI	CHICK IN AND HUSIC	30 W.I
1:30-3:00	WORK ON ASSIGNED TASK	. sa
3:00-3:30	BREAK - COKES, NUSIC	ສາຂາຊ

(.)	
_	
-	
USIC	-
ഗാ	:=
	õ

6 GROUPS

APPENDIX J-4

Program Outline for the Preparation of Approximately 200 P.T.A. Members and Officers for the P.T.A. Council for Desegregation of Schools.

/1		
XICHIAA.	٦. م	
;		
RANSEY HIGH SCHOOL	composed of .wo members each school P.T.A. and officers	
3:3" - 12:00	on seed of two members and	-
June 29, 30 and July 1, 1970	rents	of the V-1-A. Councill
crot:		
ν. ς		
EI	RI(ERIC TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

			•	•	•		
DATE	TIR	CONTENT)	SIRUCTURE	BY 1/110:4	INTIIOD }	OBJECTIVES TO BE NET	FUNDS REQUIRED
6-29	8:3019:00	REGISTRATION AND MUSIC	nsıc	HIGH SCHOOL GROUP	LIVE PRESENTATION	1, 10	no stipend for parents
, .	9:00-9:20	ORIENTATION	ENTIRE GROUP Random seating	DR. CIRISTIAN	LECTURE	1,7,10	\$20 for 16 FACs no funds for spea
	9:25-9:55	THE PLAN	Same as above	DR. SPARKS	LECTURE, VISUALS	9, 10	no funds for spec
·	9:55~10:10	9:55-10:10 INTRODUCTION OF W.S. STAFF	Same as above				
	10:10-10:30	BREAK			٠		,
J-4/1	10:30-11:45	BEST OF "SHOW & TELL"	ENTIRE GROUP seated according to preplanned interracial pattern	BLACK AND WHITE STUDENTS	STUDENTS WORK	1,3,4,10	no funds requeste
	11:45-12:0	11:45-12:00 WHERE HAVE WE BEEN TODAY? WHERE ARE WE GOING TOHORROW?	ENTIRE GROUP	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE		1, 10	***
	. CHECK OUT						
6-30	£;30-3:45	CHECK IN	÷.			G.	no stipend for pare
	8:45-9:45	IT DID NOT HAPPEN TO ME	ENTIRE GROUP Preplanned Scating	PANEL OF TEACHERS WHO HAVE HADE TRANS!-	PATEL	1,3,10	\$20 for 16 FACs

J-4/1

consultant's fee

PLANNED PRESENTATION, LECTURE

ANTL REP.

ENTIRE GROUP Preplanned seating

9:45-10:45 LEARNING TO WORK TOGETHER. (Principles of group dynamics)

182

10:45-11:00 BREAK AND NOVE TO GROUP NEETING PLACE

;[/] 181

ERIC Full East Provided by ERIC	GROUT.		,			•	Afrendix P. 2
6-30	11:00-11:55	BARRIERS WHICH PREVENT OUR WORK- ING TOGETHER EFFECTIVELY AND STRATEGIES FOR CROSSING THEI	8 GROUPS OF 25 MEMBERS	DISCUSSION SPEAR- HEALED BY FACS	GROUP DISCUSSION	2,3,10	
7-1	11:55-12:00	CHECK IN A			•		-
•	8:45-9:30	REPORTING ON BARRIERS AND STRATEGIES	ENTIRE GROUP seated as they wish	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	TALK; SLIDES, ROLE PLAYING	1,2,3,10	\$20 for 16 FACS no stipend for parents
	9:30-9:45	BREAK AND HOVE TO GROUP NEETING PLACE	ROUP NEETING PLACE		•		
	9:45-11:00	HOW CAN WE PREPARE OUR RESPECTIVE COIL- CUNITIES TO ACCIPT CHANGE	8 GROUPS OF 25 MEMBERS	DISCUSSION LED BY INTERRACIAL TEAM FACS	GROUP DISCUSSION	1,2,4,10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
J-4/2	11:00-11:40	REPORTING Prepare written report	REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH GROUP (5 minutes)			3,4,10	
· ·	11:40-12:00	WHAT HAVE WE ACCO: PLISHED?	ENTIRE CROUP seated as they wish	dr. Sparks dr. Christlan	PA;;EL .	7, 10	no funds request
		WRAP UP				,	٠
		•					•

APPENDIX J-5

Program Outline for the Preparation of All School Personnel in 1970-71 for Desegregation of Schools.

p. 1 The for 540 SLGs & d for principals	prx. 4000 teachers for principals	prx. 4000 teachers for principals	equested f on payroll	funds requested as staff on payroll		FUNDS REQUIRED	•			186	,	1
P. FUNDS NEFORM * \$15 stipend no stipend f	\$15 for apprxing stipend for	\$15 for apprx. no stipend for	No funds requested Total staff on pay	No funds rec Total staff	l be provided	OBJECTIVES F TO BE MET			1,7,9,10	.1,4,10	1,2,3,4,10	1,10
.) TORIUM	S IN INDIVIÔUAL SCHOOLS Clerical, Lunchroom, Janitorial	SCHOOLS hroom, Janftorfal	SCHOOLS	SCHOOLS	of participants will	метнор	LIVE PRESENTATION	<i>→</i>	TALK, TAPES, FILM, VISUALS	TALK	GROUP INTERACTION	CREATIVE PRESENTATION
INVES HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM	INGS IN INDIVIÔUAL SCHOOLS 11, Clerical, Lunchroom, J	MEETINGS IN INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS ssional, Clerical, Lunchroom, J	THENICIPAL AUDITORIUM REETINGS IN INDIVIUDAL SCHOOLS Pal	MEETINGS IN INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS	ition plus names o	BY WICH	HIGH SCHOOL MUSIC GROUP		SUPT., DIRECTOR	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	TEACHERS AND PRINCIPALS	CO: CULTY RESOURCE PERSON
8 A.M 1 P.M. HAYES	8 A.M 1 P.M. , METINGS Total Staff - Professional, C	3 A.M 1 P.H. MEETINGS IN INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS Total Staff - Professional, Clerical, Lunchroom, Janftorial	9 A.M 12 NOON THING 1 P.M 3 P.M. HEETI Teachers and principal	S A.M 3 P.M. MEET. Teachers and principal	Written brochure containing content and organization plus names	STRUCTURE		•	FvTIRE GROUP seated as they wish	TASK SETTING SAME AS ABOVE FOR REMAINDER OF WELK BREAK AND MOVE TO GROUP MEETING PLACES	89 GROUPS, HEETING 4 GROUPS TO A ROOM	ENTIRE GROUP
AUGUST 24,1970 8 PARTICIPAUTS; A ₁	AUGUST 25,1970 8 PARTICIPANTS: 10	AUGUST 26,1970 'S PARTICIPANTS: TO	AUGUST 27,1970 9 1 PARTICIPANTS: TO	AUGUST 28,1970 S PARTICIPANTS: TO	n brochure contains	CONTENT	REGISTRATION		PROGRESS REPORT	TASK SETTING FOR REMAINDER OF HELK BREAK AND MOVE TO	HAVING PLANS . FOR WORKING . WITH INDIVIDUAL SCHOOL FACULTIES	ATTITUDE THERAPY
TVKOIS OCT A					PROGRAM: Writter	DATE TIME	8-24 8:00-8:30	•	8:30_10:00 J-5/	10:00-10:15	. 18:45-12:30	7. 12:40-1:00

CHECK OUT

RDFESSTORING NOW TOP		ė
Diox."	į.	
Diox."		
Diox."	•	
Diox."	ŧ	
Diox."		
Diox."	•	
Diox."	к.	
Diox."		
DOW TYPOYSSAID		
KOFESSTONE NOW	-	
BION TYPOTSSAJON	F	
NOW TYPOISSAIDS		
CONTINUESSAGA	67	
ON THURSSAIDE	٠.	
W TVLOISSAJOH	7	
TYLIOISSAJOH	8-	
TYPOISSAGE		
TVLOISSAIGH		
TVLIOISSAIGH	F .	
VIOISSAGN	٠	
WOISSAIDH.	-	
COISSAIGH	-	
OISSAGA.	-	
YSSAGN	-	
TSSAGE.	$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	
SSAGE		i
SSSAGN		ľ
SAJON	E/I	
SACA.	Α.	
a.ada.	r:	
AGA.	33	
ida.	ъ.	
da.	•	
À.	\mathbf{c}^{2}	•
L	٠.	
_		
		i

	-				· ·		
			200 10		· .	•	
•		. •		•	ING OF SCHOOL	·	188
	1.3.10		TOTAL STAFF	ENTIRE SCHOOL STAFF	CETTING READY	8:30-3:00	
	1,3,10	GROUP INTERACTION	STC .	EXTIRE SCHOOL STAFF	CHECK IN AND COFFEE	8:00-8:30	8-28
		•		- 1		CHECK OUT	12
		•	, PRINCIPAL	ENTIRE SCHOOL STAFF	GETTING READY FOR THE OPENING	1:00-3:00	
		.,	•	FESSIONAL SCHOOL STAFF			
NGUST 25	SESSION OR DURING WORKSHOP AUGUST	PLAMING SESSION	TEAN	FGLI JW FORWĄT DEVELOPED BY FACILITATING	FGLI JA FORMAT DE	9:30-1:00 CHECK OUT	
4			·	Ą	WELL IN INTER- PACIAL SETTING	.(•
Si gane	5,8,10	ITV	DIRECTOR AND ASSOCIATE	ENTIRE STAFF	TEACHING TECH- NIQUES WHICH HAVE WORKED	8:30-9:30	
	1,3,10	GROUP	SLG	ENTIRE SCHOOL STAFF	CHECK IN AND COLFEE	8:00-8:30	8-26
•	AUGUST 24	PLANNING SESSION AUGUST	IG TEAN DURING PREPLANNING	FOLLOW FORMI DEVELOPED BY FACILITATING	FOLLOW FOUML DE	10:00-1:00 CHECK OUT	,
	1,3,10	GROUP INTERACTION	SLG	SAIE AS ABOVE	GUTTING ACQUAINTED	9:00-10:00	
·	7,9,10	/ ALI	DR. CHRISTIAN DR. SFARKS	ENTIRE SCHOOL STAFF	WILY OF WORKSHOP	8:30-9:00	, • •
,	1,3,10	GROUP	SI,G	ETTRE SCHOOL STAFF	REGISTRATION COFFEE	8:00-3:30	SI Dy ERIC
Argunda P.		.:		,		TWO TWO TEST TO	ER Full Text Provid
× 1011						かうさ さくこうべんの	